

\$250 million programme. As regards that programme, it is our hope that with further exploration of the modalities of payment it may be found possible to utilize completely pledges so far made, both to the agency and under the Security Council resolutions regarding the emergency programme. We should further hope that governments and agencies which have not yet done so would explore the possibility of offering all the aid within their abilities in order to meet the requirements for funds outlined by the Agent-General in his report.

Note: Voting results and the resolution adopted are operative paragraph 3 of the resolution fully sets out these considerations and makes the appropriate recommendations.

In the uncertainties which beset us today, it would be inadvisable, as the Agent-General has noted in his report (paragraph 6 page 2), to attempt detailed plans running far into the future. On the other hand, the sponsors of this resolution believe that the full implementation of the original programme of the United Nations for Korean rehabilitation, which for one reason or another -- none of them a reflection upon the agency itself -- has up to now been delayed, should certainly be carried through. It is as a means of doing this that we would propose to the Committee the adoption of the resolution contained in Document A/C.2/L.218.

As Chairman of the Advisory Committee to the Agent-General, I am especially pleased to introduce to this Committee the resolution contained in Document A/C.2/L.218, which my delegation has co-sponsored with the delegations of Argentina, France, the Philippines, the United Kingdom and the United States and by which the General Assembly would approve subject to consultation between the Agent-General and the Advisory Committee, the programme for

Voting Results

Canada shared with five other countries in co-sponsoring a six-power resolution (Doc. A/C.2/L.219) urging governments to complete payment of pledges and to make contributions within their financial possibilities. The resolution was approved in the Second Committee on December 2, 1953 by a vote of 33 in favour (including Canada), none against and 5 abstentions (Soviet bloc). When the resolution came up in plenary session on December 7, it was approved without change by a vote of 52 in favour, none against and 5 abstentions.

ment. It is not my intention, therefore, to dwell on them at length, since they speak for themselves. The Canadian Government, as the Committee is probably aware, pledged toward the original target of \$250 million established by the Meadville Committee in 1950 \$7,250,000 Canadian, a pledge which has been honoured in full. I should perhaps



Canadian Delegation Canada's proposal until the end of the year to which it is prepared to assume new financial commitments to meet UNKRA requirements beyond the original