+++ W+ L+++7 *3334

Educational Weekly

• • •• • •••• •**••**•

Vol. IV.

EHS

THURSDAY, OCTOBER 7TH, 1886.

Number 90. و میکانون از در بایان به میشون به میکویو و . مربقهای در میبر بیدار ۲۰۰۰ و در با میکویو در ایند.

The Educational Weekly, Edited by T. ARNOLD HAULTAIN, M.A.

TERMS : Two Dollars per annum. Clubs of three, \$5.00. Clubs of five at \$1.60 each, or the five for \$5.00. Clubs of twenty at \$1.50 each, or the twenty for \$30.00.

New subscriptions may begin at any time during the year.

Payment, when sent by mail, should be made by post-office order or registered letter. Money sent in unregistered letters will be at the risk of the senders.

The date at the right of the name on the address label shows to what date the subscription is paid. The change of this date to a later one is a receipt for remittance.

Subscribers desiring their papers to be discon-tinued are requested to give the publishers timely notification.

In ordering a change of address, or the discon-tinuance of the paper, the name of the post-office to which the paper is sent should always be given.

Rates of advertising will be sent on application. Business communications and communications intended for the Editor should be on separate papers.

PUBLISHED BY THE GRIP PRINTING AND PUBLISHING CO.. TORONTO, CANADA. JAMRS V. WRIGHT, GENeral Manager.

TORONTO, SEPTEMBER 30, 1886.

WE cheerfully publish in this issue the letter of Mr. W. J. Robertson animadverting on the review of "The Public School History of England and Canada" which appeared in the EDUCATIONAL WEEKLY of the 23rd ult.

The chief point upon which the reviewers (fcr the work was criticised by two persons) based their criticism was that the narrow limits of the work precluded the possibility of writing such a history of England and a history of Can ada as would meet the demands and the requirements of the pupils or the teachers of public schools, and that the method of treatment dealt too largely in abstract terms to allow of the histories being sufficiently interesting to captivate the attention of youthful readers.

Upon these two points we adhere to the opinion expressed in the review.

The position advanced by Mr. Robertson is that the authors purposely left to the teacher the duty of making interesting to the pupil the skeleton sketched for

them in the text book. Whether the average public school teacher is equal to this task is, we fear, an open question. The authors have certainly done their best to aid him, and have given long lists of authorities which they recommend him to read. The lists so given are admirable. They comprise names of splendid writers, historical, romantic, and other. But-and this is a most important question-will these lists be of practical value to these average public school teachers? For example, for the Victorian era of English history the teacher is referred to May's "Constitutional History," Mc Carthy's "History of Our Own Times," Mackenzie's "XIXth. Century," Molesworth's "History of England," Sir Theo dore Martin's "Life of the Prince Consort," Morley's "Life of Cobden," Ashley's "Life of Palmerston," Trevelyan's "Life and Letters of Lord Macaulay," Kinglake's "Crimean War," Mrs. Oliphant's, Taine's, and Morley's " English Litera ture." A good list everybody will grant. But will the average teacher, to whom twenty-five per cent. of these works probbably will be known only by name and reputation, be able to peruse all these before commencing the study of the Victorian era? To have placed this list before him is something. Could nothing more be done? In the limited space allowed to the authors it could not. Here again comes in the disadvantage of narrow limits.

THE following sentences from the London Advertiser show pretty plainly the trend of popular opinion on a subject that was much discussed at the last meeting of the Ontario Teachers' Association :---

There can be no question that whatever tends to raise the average of intelligence and culture of the great army of public school teachers will tend to improve the efficiency of the schools and enlarge their influence for good. In fact, we are heclined to believe that our future educational progress will be made mainly in this direction. At the recent convention of teachers in Toronto it was boldly asserted

by some that the Teachers of Ontario could hardly be said to have attained to the dignity of a profession; that they on one hand lacked the professional esprit du arps, and that on the other hand they did not, as a rule, receive either the remunerative or the social consideration accorded to the members of other professions. When one glances over the departmentat reports and notes how large a proportion of the teachers actually engaged are working for mere putances of \$300 or \$400 a year, and in many cases for even less, he is forced to the conclusion that the speakers alluded to were right, so far at least as the question of remuneration is concerned. This is not as it should be. To the teachers of the public schools we, as parents, entrust our most precious possessions, and as a public our country's dearest interests Whether we reflect upon the delicacy of the plastic material upon which the teacher is daily operating by precept and example or upon the tremendous influence he exerts in moulding and preparing for active life our future citizens, we cannot fail to see that upon the members of no other profession, the ministry not excepted, rest weightier responsibilities. Such responsibilities should be entrusted to none but men and women of the highest character and the best education that can be procured.

THE St. Louis American Journal of Education is making a strong fight for longer terms of school and better wages to teachers. It demands of the state nine months of school each year and that the minimum salary paid teachers be \$50 per month. The former demand is based on the argument that a tax necessary for the support of the schools for that length of time would be less than that required for the support of paupers, criminals, incbriates, etc., due to ignorance. The in crease in salary is asked in the belief that it would call to the schoolroom more competent and efficient instructors, elevate the standard of the profession, and enhance the results desired to be obtained by popular education.