

THE DAILY KLONDIKE NUGGET.

DAWSON, Y. T., TUESDAY, MARCH 10, 1903.

Nugget Advertisements Give Immediate Returns.

PRICE 25 CENTS

The Nugget Circulates From Skagway to Nome.

REPLY TELEGRAM

Received by the Board of Trade

From Sir Wilfrid Laurier Relative to the Treadgold Concession.

Ottawa, March 9, 1903.

Mr. C. Macaulay,
President Board of Trade,
Dawson.

You are altogether misinformed with regard to what you call the Treadgold concession. No such concession contemplated either by legislation or government order.

(Sgd) WILFRID LAURIER.

The above telegram was received by the board of trade yesterday in reply to the following sent by the board on the 5th inst. to various government officials at Ottawa:

"Hon. Sir Wilfrid Laurier, Premier of Canada; Hon. Clifford Sifton, Minister of Interior; Hon. Wm. Mulock, Postmaster General; Hon. Jas. H. Ross, Member for Yukon Territory.

"Ought any attempt to pass orders in council or act in parliament that will in any way confirm Treadgold's concession. Are having monaster petition signed and reliable data prepared to forward Ottawa asking government to aid in furnishing water for mining purposes. People are a unit in making demands and will insist on government protection from Treadgold's monopoly.

"Dawson Board of Trade."

It appears to the members of the board of trade that the parties who are mentioned regarding the situation are the officials at Ottawa and in order that the matter may be put before them in a proper and at the same time vigorous manner a petition together with a letter setting forth the object of the petition has been drawn up and will be presented for consideration tomorrow in the morning.

The meeting of the board of council and mining committee of the board of trade was held last night and accepted the petition which was presented by the committee appointed for that purpose at a previous meeting and instructions were given to have a large number of the petitions signed to that the getting of signatures might be made as expeditiously as possible.

The lists will be placed at different places and will be given a thorough distribution on the creeks and tributaries.

The letter which has been drafted and which was presented to a special meeting of the board of councilors last afternoon, sets forth the desires of the concession grant and gives an idea of the probable effect of the granting of the same to a private corporation will have on the mining industry and the business activity of the territory which will in turn affect the entire industrial condition of Canada.

This letter will be forwarded to the boards of trade throughout Canada, the Canadian Manufacturers' Association and to all members of the Dominion parliament, to get their views directed towards the cancellation of the Treadgold grant and the substitution of a government water system.

In order to make stronger representations to parliament, the board is preparing data, including facts, figures, statistics, maps, etc., on the

JUDGMENT RENDERED

Concerns the Forfeiture of Mining Claims

Lists of Licenses Issued at Other Points in the Dominion Not Deemed Sufficient

By a judgment rendered this morning in the gold commissioner's court the point is established that though a miner may neglect to take out a certificate of work for his annual representation within the year yet he need not necessarily forfeit his claim on account of his carelessness, providing the work as required by the regulations has been done. The case in which the decision was rendered was that of R. B. Ackerman vs. Thomas Lemar and Charles Mageau, the ground in dispute being the upper half of No. 2 Ora Grande gulch. The opinion of the gold commissioner is as follows:

"The defendant Mageau staked the upper half of creek claim No. 2, Ora Grande gulch, December 3rd last and grant was issued to him therefor upon the same day. The next day (that is, December 4th) Mageau transferred the claim to the defendant Lemar. The plaintiff Ackerman, who was the owner and staked the claim, No. 2, under the original location made in 1897, was required under the regulations to do his representation before November 13th, 1902. He neglected to prove his representation work before the expiration of the grant under which he held the ground, and the upper half of said claim on being repleated by Mageau was granted to him.

"Upon hearing the evidence of the plaintiff and of Louis Denslow on his behalf, I have come to the conclusion that the representation work was done for the year ending November 13th last, work to the value of about \$275 having been performed. The upper half of said claim was, therefore, not open for location on December 3rd last. The grant to the defendant Mageau should be cancelled and the transfer to the defendant Lemar should be set aside. I do not consider from the evidence that Lemar is a purchaser for value without notice as litigation had been going on with reference to this claim between the same parties and he was aware that the plaintiff still claimed an interest in this ground. The plaintiff, however, is at fault in not seeing that his representation work was proven at the proper time so as to prevent others from obtaining a grant for the ground. I will, therefore, make no order as to costs."

Crystal Laundry

UNDER NEW MANAGEMENT

Having acquired the interests of all parties who were connected with the Crystal Laundry, together with all book accounts and claims due the old management, and all claims against the old firm which I am now settling, I beg to announce to the public that this business will be conducted under the most careful management through my own direct supervision. I have called for and delivered promptly all bills payable to the public for their past accounts.

JOS. MCGILLIVRAY.

Nice Felt Hats

FOR SPRING WEAR AT LOW PRICES

SUMMERS & ORELL,
10 SECOND AVENUE

Good Dry Wood!

A. J. PRUDHOMME
211 Harper St., N. Free Library
Phone 214-A

EXCITEMENT IN NEW YORK

Attendant Upon Falling of Prices

Stock Market is Panicky and One Business House Closes Its Doors.

Special to the Daily Nugget.
New York, March 10.—There is great excitement in New York consequent upon falling prices in the stock exchange. One failure has been reported in this city and another in Chicago.

JUDGMENT RENDERED

Concerns the Forfeiture of Mining Claims

Lists of Licenses Issued at Other Points in the Dominion Not Deemed Sufficient

By a judgment rendered this morning in the gold commissioner's court the point is established that though a miner may neglect to take out a certificate of work for his annual representation within the year yet he need not necessarily forfeit his claim on account of his carelessness, providing the work as required by the regulations has been done. The case in which the decision was rendered was that of R. B. Ackerman vs. Thomas Lemar and Charles Mageau, the ground in dispute being the upper half of No. 2 Ora Grande gulch. The opinion of the gold commissioner is as follows:

"The defendant Mageau staked the upper half of creek claim No. 2, Ora Grande gulch, December 3rd last and grant was issued to him therefor upon the same day. The next day (that is, December 4th) Mageau transferred the claim to the defendant Lemar. The plaintiff Ackerman, who was the owner and staked the claim, No. 2, under the original location made in 1897, was required under the regulations to do his representation before November 13th, 1902. He neglected to prove his representation work before the expiration of the grant under which he held the ground, and the upper half of said claim on being repleated by Mageau was granted to him.

"Upon hearing the evidence of the plaintiff and of Louis Denslow on his behalf, I have come to the conclusion that the representation work was done for the year ending November 13th last, work to the value of about \$275 having been performed. The upper half of said claim was, therefore, not open for location on December 3rd last. The grant to the defendant Mageau should be cancelled and the transfer to the defendant Lemar should be set aside. I do not consider from the evidence that Lemar is a purchaser for value without notice as litigation had been going on with reference to this claim between the same parties and he was aware that the plaintiff still claimed an interest in this ground. The plaintiff, however, is at fault in not seeing that his representation work was proven at the proper time so as to prevent others from obtaining a grant for the ground. I will, therefore, make no order as to costs."

Crystal Laundry

UNDER NEW MANAGEMENT

Having acquired the interests of all parties who were connected with the Crystal Laundry, together with all book accounts and claims due the old management, and all claims against the old firm which I am now settling, I beg to announce to the public that this business will be conducted under the most careful management through my own direct supervision. I have called for and delivered promptly all bills payable to the public for their past accounts.

JOS. MCGILLIVRAY.

Nice Felt Hats

FOR SPRING WEAR AT LOW PRICES

SUMMERS & ORELL,
10 SECOND AVENUE

Good Dry Wood!

A. J. PRUDHOMME
211 Harper St., N. Free Library
Phone 214-A

WILHELM III

Receives President of St. Louis Exposition

ROAD TO DAWSON

Special to the Daily Nugget.
Berlin, March 10.—Kaiser Wilhelm received President Francis of the St. Louis exposition yesterday. Germany will be well represented at the exposition.

JUDGMENT RENDERED

Concerns the Forfeiture of Mining Claims

Lists of Licenses Issued at Other Points in the Dominion Not Deemed Sufficient

By a judgment rendered this morning in the gold commissioner's court the point is established that though a miner may neglect to take out a certificate of work for his annual representation within the year yet he need not necessarily forfeit his claim on account of his carelessness, providing the work as required by the regulations has been done. The case in which the decision was rendered was that of R. B. Ackerman vs. Thomas Lemar and Charles Mageau, the ground in dispute being the upper half of No. 2 Ora Grande gulch. The opinion of the gold commissioner is as follows:

"The defendant Mageau staked the upper half of creek claim No. 2, Ora Grande gulch, December 3rd last and grant was issued to him therefor upon the same day. The next day (that is, December 4th) Mageau transferred the claim to the defendant Lemar. The plaintiff Ackerman, who was the owner and staked the claim, No. 2, under the original location made in 1897, was required under the regulations to do his representation before November 13th, 1902. He neglected to prove his representation work before the expiration of the grant under which he held the ground, and the upper half of said claim on being repleated by Mageau was granted to him.

"Upon hearing the evidence of the plaintiff and of Louis Denslow on his behalf, I have come to the conclusion that the representation work was done for the year ending November 13th last, work to the value of about \$275 having been performed. The upper half of said claim was, therefore, not open for location on December 3rd last. The grant to the defendant Mageau should be cancelled and the transfer to the defendant Lemar should be set aside. I do not consider from the evidence that Lemar is a purchaser for value without notice as litigation had been going on with reference to this claim between the same parties and he was aware that the plaintiff still claimed an interest in this ground. The plaintiff, however, is at fault in not seeing that his representation work was proven at the proper time so as to prevent others from obtaining a grant for the ground. I will, therefore, make no order as to costs."

Crystal Laundry

UNDER NEW MANAGEMENT

Having acquired the interests of all parties who were connected with the Crystal Laundry, together with all book accounts and claims due the old management, and all claims against the old firm which I am now settling, I beg to announce to the public that this business will be conducted under the most careful management through my own direct supervision. I have called for and delivered promptly all bills payable to the public for their past accounts.

JOS. MCGILLIVRAY.

Nice Felt Hats

FOR SPRING WEAR AT LOW PRICES

SUMMERS & ORELL,
10 SECOND AVENUE

Good Dry Wood!

A. J. PRUDHOMME
211 Harper St., N. Free Library
Phone 214-A

IRISHMEN HONORED

Tendered Great Reception in Chicago

Two Thousand Patriots Join in Greeting Distinguished Guests.

Special to the Daily Nugget.
Chicago, March 10.—Two thousand Irish Americans honored Joseph Devlin, M.P., and John E. Finerty in Chicago on Sunday. A reception was given and addresses of welcome delivered.

JUDGMENT RENDERED

Concerns the Forfeiture of Mining Claims

Lists of Licenses Issued at Other Points in the Dominion Not Deemed Sufficient

By a judgment rendered this morning in the gold commissioner's court the point is established that though a miner may neglect to take out a certificate of work for his annual representation within the year yet he need not necessarily forfeit his claim on account of his carelessness, providing the work as required by the regulations has been done. The case in which the decision was rendered was that of R. B. Ackerman vs. Thomas Lemar and Charles Mageau, the ground in dispute being the upper half of No. 2 Ora Grande gulch. The opinion of the gold commissioner is as follows:

"The defendant Mageau staked the upper half of creek claim No. 2, Ora Grande gulch, December 3rd last and grant was issued to him therefor upon the same day. The next day (that is, December 4th) Mageau transferred the claim to the defendant Lemar. The plaintiff Ackerman, who was the owner and staked the claim, No. 2, under the original location made in 1897, was required under the regulations to do his representation before November 13th, 1902. He neglected to prove his representation work before the expiration of the grant under which he held the ground, and the upper half of said claim on being repleated by Mageau was granted to him.

"Upon hearing the evidence of the plaintiff and of Louis Denslow on his behalf, I have come to the conclusion that the representation work was done for the year ending November 13th last, work to the value of about \$275 having been performed. The upper half of said claim was, therefore, not open for location on December 3rd last. The grant to the defendant Mageau should be cancelled and the transfer to the defendant Lemar should be set aside. I do not consider from the evidence that Lemar is a purchaser for value without notice as litigation had been going on with reference to this claim between the same parties and he was aware that the plaintiff still claimed an interest in this ground. The plaintiff, however, is at fault in not seeing that his representation work was proven at the proper time so as to prevent others from obtaining a grant for the ground. I will, therefore, make no order as to costs."

Crystal Laundry

UNDER NEW MANAGEMENT

Having acquired the interests of all parties who were connected with the Crystal Laundry, together with all book accounts and claims due the old management, and all claims against the old firm which I am now settling, I beg to announce to the public that this business will be conducted under the most careful management through my own direct supervision. I have called for and delivered promptly all bills payable to the public for their past accounts.

JOS. MCGILLIVRAY.

Nice Felt Hats

FOR SPRING WEAR AT LOW PRICES

SUMMERS & ORELL,
10 SECOND AVENUE

Good Dry Wood!

A. J. PRUDHOMME
211 Harper St., N. Free Library
Phone 214-A

IRISHMEN HONORED

Tendered Great Reception in Chicago

Two Thousand Patriots Join in Greeting Distinguished Guests.

Special to the Daily Nugget.
Chicago, March 10.—Two thousand Irish Americans honored Joseph Devlin, M.P., and John E. Finerty in Chicago on Sunday. A reception was given and addresses of welcome delivered.

JUDGMENT RENDERED

Concerns the Forfeiture of Mining Claims

Lists of Licenses Issued at Other Points in the Dominion Not Deemed Sufficient

By a judgment rendered this morning in the gold commissioner's court the point is established that though a miner may neglect to take out a certificate of work for his annual representation within the year yet he need not necessarily forfeit his claim on account of his carelessness, providing the work as required by the regulations has been done. The case in which the decision was rendered was that of R. B. Ackerman vs. Thomas Lemar and Charles Mageau, the ground in dispute being the upper half of No. 2 Ora Grande gulch. The opinion of the gold commissioner is as follows:

"The defendant Mageau staked the upper half of creek claim No. 2, Ora Grande gulch, December 3rd last and grant was issued to him therefor upon the same day. The next day (that is, December 4th) Mageau transferred the claim to the defendant Lemar. The plaintiff Ackerman, who was the owner and staked the claim, No. 2, under the original location made in 1897, was required under the regulations to do his representation before November 13th, 1902. He neglected to prove his representation work before the expiration of the grant under which he held the ground, and the upper half of said claim on being repleated by Mageau was granted to him.

"Upon hearing the evidence of the plaintiff and of Louis Denslow on his behalf, I have come to the conclusion that the representation work was done for the year ending November 13th last, work to the value of about \$275 having been performed. The upper half of said claim was, therefore, not open for location on December 3rd last. The grant to the defendant Mageau should be cancelled and the transfer to the defendant Lemar should be set aside. I do not consider from the evidence that Lemar is a purchaser for value without notice as litigation had been going on with reference to this claim between the same parties and he was aware that the plaintiff still claimed an interest in this ground. The plaintiff, however, is at fault in not seeing that his representation work was proven at the proper time so as to prevent others from obtaining a grant for the ground. I will, therefore, make no order as to costs."

Crystal Laundry

UNDER NEW MANAGEMENT

Having acquired the interests of all parties who were connected with the Crystal Laundry, together with all book accounts and claims due the old management, and all claims against the old firm which I am now settling, I beg to announce to the public that this business will be conducted under the most careful management through my own direct supervision. I have called for and delivered promptly all bills payable to the public for their past accounts.

JOS. MCGILLIVRAY.

Nice Felt Hats

FOR SPRING WEAR AT LOW PRICES

SUMMERS & ORELL,
10 SECOND AVENUE

Good Dry Wood!

A. J. PRUDHOMME
211 Harper St., N. Free Library
Phone 214-A

IRISHMEN HONORED

Tendered Great Reception in Chicago

Two Thousand Patriots Join in Greeting Distinguished Guests.

Special to the Daily Nugget.
Chicago, March 10.—Two thousand Irish Americans honored Joseph Devlin, M.P., and John E. Finerty in Chicago on Sunday. A reception was given and addresses of welcome delivered.

JUDGMENT RENDERED

Concerns the Forfeiture of Mining Claims

Lists of Licenses Issued at Other Points in the Dominion Not Deemed Sufficient

By a judgment rendered this morning in the gold commissioner's court the point is established that though a miner may neglect to take out a certificate of work for his annual representation within the year yet he need not necessarily forfeit his claim on account of his carelessness, providing the work as required by the regulations has been done. The case in which the decision was rendered was that of R. B. Ackerman vs. Thomas Lemar and Charles Mageau, the ground in dispute being the upper half of No. 2 Ora Grande gulch. The opinion of the gold commissioner is as follows:

"The defendant Mageau staked the upper half of creek claim No. 2, Ora Grande gulch, December 3rd last and grant was issued to him therefor upon the same day. The next day (that is, December 4th) Mageau transferred the claim to the defendant Lemar. The plaintiff Ackerman, who was the owner and staked the claim, No. 2, under the original location made in 1897, was required under the regulations to do his representation before November 13th, 1902. He neglected to prove his representation work before the expiration of the grant under which he held the ground, and the upper half of said claim on being repleated by Mageau was granted to him.

"Upon hearing the evidence of the plaintiff and of Louis Denslow on his behalf, I have come to the conclusion that the representation work was done for the year ending November 13th last, work to the value of about \$275 having been performed. The upper half of said claim was, therefore, not open for location on December 3rd last. The grant to the defendant Mageau should be cancelled and the transfer to the defendant Lemar should be set aside. I do not consider from the evidence that Lemar is a purchaser for value without notice as litigation had been going on with reference to this claim between the same parties and he was aware that the plaintiff still claimed an interest in this ground. The plaintiff, however, is at fault in not seeing that his representation work was proven at the proper time so as to prevent others from obtaining a grant for the ground. I will, therefore, make no order as to costs."

Crystal Laundry

UNDER NEW MANAGEMENT

Having acquired the interests of all parties who were connected with the Crystal Laundry, together with all book accounts and claims due the old management, and all claims against the old firm which I am now settling, I beg to announce to the public that this business will be conducted under the most careful management through my own direct supervision. I have called for and delivered promptly all bills payable to the public for their past accounts.

JOS. MCGILLIVRAY.

Nice Felt Hats

FOR SPRING WEAR AT LOW PRICES

SUMMERS & ORELL,
10 SECOND AVENUE

Good Dry Wood!

A. J. PRUDHOMME
211 Harper St., N. Free Library
Phone 214-A

IRISHMEN HONORED

Tendered Great Reception in Chicago

Two Thousand Patriots Join in Greeting Distinguished Guests.

Special to the Daily Nugget.
Chicago, March 10.—Two thousand Irish Americans honored Joseph Devlin, M.P., and John E. Finerty in Chicago on Sunday. A reception was given and addresses of welcome delivered.

JUDGMENT RENDERED

Concerns the Forfeiture of Mining Claims

Lists of Licenses Issued at Other Points in the Dominion Not Deemed Sufficient

By a judgment rendered this morning in the gold commissioner's court the point is established that though a miner may neglect to take out a certificate of work for his annual representation within the year yet he need not necessarily forfeit his claim on account of his carelessness, providing the work as required by the regulations has been done. The case in which the decision was rendered was that of R. B. Ackerman vs. Thomas Lemar and Charles Mageau, the ground in dispute being the upper half of No. 2 Ora Grande gulch. The opinion of the gold commissioner is as follows:

"The defendant Mageau staked the upper half of creek claim No. 2, Ora Grande gulch, December 3rd last and grant was issued to him therefor upon the same day. The next day (that is, December 4th) Mageau transferred the claim to the defendant Lemar. The plaintiff Ackerman, who was the owner and staked the claim, No. 2, under the original location made in 1897, was required under the regulations to do his representation before November 13th, 1902. He neglected to prove his representation work before the expiration of the grant under which he held the ground, and the upper half of said claim on being repleated by Mageau was granted to him.

"Upon hearing the evidence of the plaintiff and of Louis Denslow on his behalf, I have come to the conclusion that the representation work was done for the year ending November 13th last, work to the value of about \$275 having been performed. The upper half of said claim was, therefore, not open for location on December 3rd last. The grant to the defendant Mageau should be cancelled and the transfer to the defendant Lemar should be set aside. I do not consider from the evidence that Lemar is a purchaser for value without notice as litigation had been going on with reference to this claim between the same parties and he was aware that the plaintiff still claimed an interest in this ground. The plaintiff, however, is at fault in not seeing that his representation work was proven at the proper time so as to prevent others from obtaining a grant for the ground. I will, therefore, make no order as to costs."

Crystal Laundry

UNDER NEW MANAGEMENT

Having acquired the interests of all parties who were connected with the Crystal Laundry, together with all book accounts and claims due the old management, and all claims against the old firm which I am now settling, I beg to announce to the public that this business will be conducted under the most careful management through my own direct supervision. I have called for and delivered promptly all bills payable to the public for their past accounts.

JOS. MCGILLIVRAY.

Nice Felt Hats

FOR SPRING WEAR AT LOW PRICES

SUMMERS & ORELL,
10 SECOND AVENUE

Good Dry Wood!

A. J. PRUDHOMME
211 Harper St., N. Free Library
Phone 214-A

SHE STOOPS TO CONQUER

Presented at Auditorium Last Night

Amateurs Score Great Success in Goldsmith's Famous Comedy.

Oliver Goldsmith's comedy "She Stoops to Conquer or the Mistake of a Night" was presented at the Auditorium last evening by purely local talent, in a manner that met enthusiastic approval from a highly critical if rather small audience.

The play is one of the classics of English and as such stood the year and tear of centuries and doubtless will live and be appreciated long generations hence. It is a clever and absorbing presentation of living breathing humanity, offering as it were to the eyes of the audience a picture taken from the actual life of the time when the play was written. It is needless to repeat the plot for it is known to all with its wealth of fun and humor and yet with a gentle touch upon those elements of character which have constituted the basis of true manhood and womanhood in all ages.

As to the play as given last evening, it went off with a smoothness and finish that betokened hard work and arduous rehearsals on the part of everyone in the cast.

The settings and costumes were irreproachable, and indicated a true conception of the requirements. The ladies were gowned as became their rank and station and the men were brave in satins and laces affected by the gallants of the period.

Miss Jessie Jones in the character of Miss Hardcastle was a most winsome country lass, coy, demure and piquant, essentially simple but without endowed most liberally with those indefinable laminations which have ever served to lure backward masculinity to the altar.

Her part is filled with difficult situations but she rose to each emergency in a charming manner which brought liberal and well-deserved applause.

As Mrs. Hardcastle, the thrifty but nervous matron, devoted to her graceless son Tony, Miss Miles was excellent. She catches the spirit of the play and sustains her character admirably.

Mrs. Arthur G. Smith as Miss Neville shares the honors with the other ladies as does also Miss Shannon in the minor part of Dolly.

With regard to the men, Mr. E. S. Long as Harcourt, the old country gentleman, was splendid. His interpretation of the part is unexceptionable, and in the house where his home is presumed by young Marlowe and Hastings to be a public house, he is mirth provoking in the extreme.

Mr. Carnegie in the character of young Marlowe, the gay rake and chosen beau of bar maids—but handsome and diffident in the presence of ladies of his own station, was all that could be desired. His low making scene was life like and indicated knowledge born of experience of as well as on the stage. He was ably supported by Mr. Sanson the impetuous lover of Miss Neville.

Mr. P. Wilson was Tony—the manor boy. He created the fun for the entire piece—and his acting and enunciation showed polish as well as an intelligent appreciation of the possibilities of his part.

G. White-Fraser was cast for Sir Chas. Marlowe, but would have done to better advantage in the character of Jeremy, which part, however, was taken most excellently by Fred Atwood.

The play will be repeated again tonight when a full house should greet the performers. It is announced that the curtain this evening will rise promptly at 8:30.

The entire cast is as follows:

Sir Charles Marlowe
Mr. Geo. White-Fraser
Young Marlowe
Mr. Carnegie
Miss Hardcastle
Mr. F. S. Long
Hastings
Mr. Sanson
Tony Lumpkin
Mr. R. P. Wilson
Stango
Mr. E. S. Long
Jeremy
Mr. E. N. Westford
Roger
Mr. L. A. Westford
Twist
Mr. O. S. Frasse
Ananadab
Mr. G. Fitzmorris
Wiggins
Mr. H. A. Robertson
Slang
Mr. W. J. B. Pinder
Mrs. Hardcastle
Miss Miles
Miss Hardcastle
Miss Jessie Jones
Miss Neville
Mrs. Arthur G. Smith
Dolly
Miss Shannon

JUDGMENT RENDERED

Concerns the Forfeiture of Mining Claims

Lists of Licenses Issued at Other Points in the Dominion Not Deemed Sufficient

By a judgment rendered this morning in the gold commissioner's court the point is established that though a miner may neglect to take out a certificate of work for his annual representation within the year yet he need not necessarily forfeit his claim on account of his carelessness, providing the work as required by the regulations has been done. The case in which the decision was rendered was that of R. B. Ackerman vs. Thomas Lemar and Charles Mageau, the ground in dispute being the upper half of No. 2 Ora Grande gulch. The opinion of the gold commissioner is as follows:

"The defendant Mageau staked the upper half of creek claim No. 2, Ora Grande gulch, December 3rd last and grant was issued to him therefor upon the same day. The next day (that is, December 4th) Mageau transferred the claim to the defendant Lemar. The plaintiff Ackerman, who was the owner and staked the claim, No. 2, under the original location made in 1897, was required under the regulations to do his representation before November 13th, 1902. He neglected to prove his representation work before the expiration of the grant under which he held the ground, and the upper half of said claim on being repleated by Mageau was granted to him.

"Upon hearing the evidence of the plaintiff and of Louis Denslow on his behalf, I have come to the conclusion that the representation work was done for the year ending November 13th last, work to the value of about \$275 having been performed. The upper half of said claim was, therefore, not open for location on December 3rd last. The grant to the defendant Mageau should be cancelled and the transfer to the defendant Lemar should be set aside. I do not consider from the evidence that Lemar is a purchaser for value without notice as litigation had been going on with reference to this claim between the same parties and he was aware that the plaintiff still claimed an interest in this ground. The plaintiff, however, is at fault in not seeing that his representation work was proven at the proper time so as to prevent others from obtaining a grant for the ground. I will, therefore, make no order as to costs."

Crystal Laundry