

Order Paper Questions

Hon. Daniel J. MacDonald (Minister of Veterans Affairs): Planning for the replacement of Senneville Lodge in Quebec has been deferred while discussion is continuing with the Province of Quebec with respect to the transfer of Queen Mary Veterans Hospital. When these discussions are completed, the Department will undertake definitive planning for the replacement of Senneville Lodge.

MR. DOUGLAS DURHAM

Question No. 633—Mr. Beatty:

1. Did the US Federal Bureau of Investigation notify the Royal Canadian Mounted Police of the covert intelligence activities conducted in 1973 by Mr. Douglas Durham, a former FBI undercover agent, in Edmonton, Alberta and, if so, on what date?

2. Did the government give American authorities permission to let Mr. Durham into Canada for the purposes of conducting a covert intelligence operation?

Mr. Art Lee (Parliamentary Secretary to Solicitor General): 1. On October 1, 1973, the Federal Bureau of Investigation notified the R.C.M.P. of Douglas Durham's intentions to enter Canada and on February 14, 1974, the Bureau reported that Durham had been in Edmonton, Alberta on or about October 4, 1973. The R.C.M.P. is not aware of any "covert intelligence activity" conducted by Durham while in Edmonton, Alberta.

2. No.

MR. GILBERT TALMA LAVOIE

Question No. 634—Mr. Beatty:

1. Did the RCMP list Mr. Gilbert Talma Lavoie in CPIC as "unlawfully at large" in (a) October 1972 (b) February 1973 following his escape from Stony Mountain Penitentiary on June 17, 1972 and, if not, then by whom and on what date was he listed?

2. (a) Was a warrant issued by the RCMP for Mr. Lavoie and listed on CPIC in late January or early February, 1975 for failing to appear for trial and, if not, then by whom and on what date was it issued (b) did the RCMP enter a notice of a warrant on CPIC for Mr. Lavoie's failure to appear at his January 26 trial and again on May 20, 1976 and, if not, then by whom and on what date was it entered?

3. Is it common practice for police authorities to enter information into CPIC which had already been entered into the computer on an earlier occasion and, if not, for what reason was this done in Mr. Lavoie's case?

Mr. Art Lee (Parliamentary Secretary to Solicitor General): 1. (a) No. CPIC became operational for Wanted Persons only in November of 1972. (b) Yes.

2. (a) No. (b) No. A Canada Wide Warrant was issued for Lavoie for the original offence in 1972 under Section 133 c.c. (unlawfully at large) by R.C.M.P. Stonewall Detachment, Manitoba, on May 20, 1976.

3. No. The original Stonewall Detachment entry of November 1, 1972, for Lavoie was removed from CPIC by Stonewall Detachment on August 8, 1973 following Lavoie's arrest by the Vancouver Police Department on August 3, 1973. On May 20, 1976, a new Canada Wide Warrant was issued for Lavoie for the original offence in 1972 under Section 133 c.c. (unlaw-

[Mr. Herbert.]

fully at large) and a new CPIC entry was made by R.C.M.P. Stonewall Detachment.

ACTIVITIES OF FORMER CHAIRMAN OF ANTI-DUMPING
TRIBUNAL

Question No. 637—Mr. Beatty:

1. Has the Minister of Finance made inquiries arising out of his commitment as recorded on page 14758 of *Hansard*, of the First Session of the 30th Parliament, to determine whether the former Chairman of the Anti-Dumping Tribunal reimbursed the public treasury for time spent on private business while on the public payroll or for the use of government-paid travel or any other government facilities for private business purposes?

2. What was the amount, if any, that was repaid to the public treasury and what expenses did it cover?

Mr. Bob Kaplan (Parliamentary Secretary to Minister of Finance): 1. Yes.

2. In so far as can be determined, there is no evidence that would constitute the need for any restitution to be made to the Crown by the former Chairman of the Anti-Dumping Tribunal in regard to conducting personal business while on the public payroll. Furthermore, all travel expenses paid to him by the Crown were in connection with Anti-Dumping Tribunal business and were in accordance with Treasury Board Travel Directives that were in force at the time of the audit of those travel claims.

ELECTRONIC PAYMENT SYSTEMS

Question No. 638—Mr. Beatty:

What individual or bodies within the government currently have a responsibility to make recommendations to the government relating to electronic payment systems and, in each case (a) who is the individual or members of the body involved and for which department or agency does he or she work (b) to whom are reports made (c) on what date was the responsibility for electronic payment systems first given to that individual or body (d) on what date does the mandate of the individual or body expire (e) what reports or recommendations have been made to the government prior to June 16, 1976 and, on what date (f) what action has been taken by the government as a result of the recommendations and, on what date?

Mr. Bob Kaplan (Parliamentary Secretary to Minister of Finance): In so far as the Department of Finance is concerned: In April 1973, the Government published a Green Paper entitled "Computer Communications Policy, a Statement by the Government of Canada." That paper contained a number of statements indicating the government's "current perception" on various computer/communications issues. Statement 16 of this paper said in part: "Recognizing the critical and pervasive role of financial institutions in the functioning of the economy, it is very important that, in the rapid evolution of computer/communications involving the payments system of the nation and other financial services, a competitive environment should be maintained and that developments be consistent with the general policies outlined herein." The Department of Finance, with the assistance of the Receiver General for Canada, the Bank of Canada, the Departments of Communications; Industry, Trade and Commerce; Consumer and Corporate Affairs; and others was given the task of preparing recommendations to ensure the continuing development of an