ods.

d by

very

rch,

e or

e of and

preit he

rary lesi-

the

sive wed

ably

only

tion

this its

istic

l ob-

d by

ı, be

or-

the

crip-

le of

bted

are

g is

rgyrict,

the

eavthat

'To

the Right Reverend the Bishop, and Reverend the Clergy, and to the faithful in Christ, of the Diocese of A. We, B., by Divine permission, Bishop of C., send greeting in the Lord. We recommend to your brotherly kindness, by these our letters, D. E., Priest [or Deacon] of our own diocese, beseeching you to receive him in the Lord, as a brother sound in the faith, of a well ordered and religious life, and worthy of all Christian fellowship, and to render him any assistance of which he may stand in need; and so we bid you farewell in Christ our Lord. Witness our hand.

A., Bishop. B., Sec'y."

Now, if a clergyman, disapproving of these novel ceremonies and observances, and refusing to comply with them, voluntarily resigned the appointment he held, according to his promise in that Declaration; or if he should be compelled to resign it, by the sentence of the tribunal mentioned therein, and should wish to remove to another diocese, or district, and obtain a cure of souls, or an appointment of trust there, he would not obtain either without that letter of recommendation. This the bishop would, of course, refuse to grant, because of that non-compliance with those ceremonies and observances established by the Synod and sanctioned by the bishop; for it must be borne in mind, that they could not have been established without his assent, as his veto, as in other cases, could and would have prevented it. Here, then, would be another and still greater injury, probably even utter ruin to the poor persecuted clergyman, in regard to his temporal interests.

It is but just and proper to mention, that in all the Church assemblies herein treated of, there were some wise and faithful men, who contended against the erroneous proceedings of the other and misguided members, but unhappily, the latter, for the time, formed the majorities.

The proceedings of the Lambeth Conference have been reviewed and scrutinized in a very keen and able manner, by an English writer, in a course of letters, under the signature of "an ex M. P." addressed to the Archbishop of Canterbury, the President of the Conference. In his first letter, referring to those proceedings in relation to the Colonics, he says;—"I apprehend that our Colonies carry with them, and in no small measure, the traditions and feelings which they have imbibed at home;—the dislike of arbitrary