

anything about the immigration of the past few months, but I am sure that there were very few Asiatics—probably half a dozen.

Hon. Mr. TANNER: I am not charging my honourable friend with bringing them in.

Hon. Mr. BUREAU: How many Arabians came in?

Hon. H. J. LOGAN: Honourable members, I think we are getting a little away from the Bill in hand, and into the field of politics. I submit we should not view this question in the light of political allegiance. I am not antagonistic to the granting of \$20,000,000 for unemployment. The very illuminating and splendid address of the right honourable member for Ottawa (Right Hon. Sir George E. Foster) is one to be appreciated. He mentioned the fact that different Provincial Governments are coming to this Government asking for relief while they are selling over the counters of liquor stores \$200,000,000 worth of liquor. It should not be a very serious problem for this Parliament to grant one-tenth of that amount for the relief of unemployment.

I should like to have some information from the honourable member from Welland (Hon. Mr. Robertson). I understand that this \$20,000,000 is to be spent primarily for work alone. Am I right?

Hon. Mr. ROBERTSON: Such portions of it as are to be spent at all are to be spent on unemployment relief, preferably in providing work. Where work cannot be provided the municipality may give unemployment relief, in which case the Federal Government will participate.

Hon. Mr. LOGAN: That does not remove the relief very far from the dole. I can quite understand that it may be difficult in some cases to give work. For instance, indigent old women or old men cannot be given work. They are too old to work. They should be given some assistance.

Can a municipality make a direct request to the Federal Government?

Hon. Mr. ROBERTSON: They can make requests, but the Federal Government will not make agreements direct with the municipalities.

Hon. Mr. LOGAN: Am I to understand that if such requests are received they will be referred to the Provincial Government?

Hon. Mr. ROBERTSON: Those matters of detail must be determined by the Governor in Council after the Bill is passed.

Hon. Mr. LOGAN: We should know how this money is to be given.

I understand that when the money is given to a Provincial Government on behalf of a municipality, for every dollar paid by the Federal Government the municipality will pay one dollar and the Provincial Government one dollar. Am I right?

Hon. Mr. ROBERTSON: No.

Hon. Mr. COPP: Not according to this Bill.

Hon. Mr. LOGAN: What proportion does the municipality pay?

Hon. Mr. ROBERTSON: Again I say that these details must be matters of agreement among the Federal Government, the provinces, and the municipal authorities. Whether any distribution is made or not must depend on circumstances.

Hon. Mr. LOGAN: I should like to call attention to the fact that you will find it very difficult to induce one municipality to pay when another is not doing so. There must be some rule by which this money is to be distributed.

I presume there will be expenditures made on public works of the provinces. When an expenditure is made by the Provincial Government, without reference to the municipality, will the Federal Government pay one-half of that provincial expenditure?

Hon. Mr. ROBERTSON: It will pay a portion.

Hon. Mr. LOGAN. That is not very satisfactory.

If money is to be paid direct, is the municipality to be compelled to pay anything? Can the Dominion Government go into a municipality and pay out money direct without any contribution from the Provincial Government or the municipality?

Hon. Mr. ROBERTSON: Yes, if it is carrying on a federal work.

Hon. Mr. LOGAN: I mean paying cash—a dole.

Hon. Mr. ROBERTSON: No.

Hon. Mr. LOGAN: It will not pay unless the municipality contributes?

Hon. Mr. ROBERTSON: The Act so provides.

Hon. Mr. LOGAN: I do not think it does. However, the honourable gentleman should know, and he says it does.

This is a very serious problem. We are giving \$20,000,000, and it seems to me that