

the Crown means in this country? We are appointed by the Government as representing the Crown, and who appoints the Government but the people! Let us look at the United States, which is a great country. Did the hon. gentleman ever read of the scramble there is in the State Legislatures when they appoint a Senator for the Upper House at Washington? I have, if he has not, and I do not want to see anything of that kind in this country as the outcome of an elective Senate by the Local Legislatures. With all due deference to my hon. friend, I have not found, in going through the country, that strong feeling against the Senate that he speaks of. The Senate is respected by everybody, and we will continue to be respected just as long as we respect ourselves. The press of the country say we do no work, that we adjourn at six o'clock. Why not? We do all the work we have to do; and all we want, to bring more work into this Chamber, is to have some member of the Cabinet in the Senate. Let us divide the work with the Commons. To talk about the Senate being unpopular in the country is absurd. My hon. friend has referred to the men who were in former days elected to the Legislative Council. I say if he takes the men standing before him to-day, man for man, and compare them with the House of Commons, I think we will come out on the favorable side. That is my opinion. I have had experience in both Houses and I do not belittle the members of the House of Commons when I say that; far from it. I think they are an able body of men in that House, but we are equally so here. I am not going to advocate the violent means that my hon. friend from Victoria advises, and throw out the Tariff Bill because we do not get what we want at once, but I would say to the leader of the Government here, so that he can bring it before his colleagues, that we are complaining, and that we have just ground for complaint, that we do not have more Ministers of the Crown in this House, and that if we do not have more legislation and more work to do, it is not our fault. We are ready to do it, and prepared to do it, and it will be to the advantage of this country if we get it to do. Any man who looks at the legislation as it comes from the House of Commons, and who listens to our Speaker as he reads the Bills as they are returned to us from the

House of Commons, will see that they agree with all our amendments one after the other. I had no intention of taking up the time of the House, but I could not sit still when I heard an hon. gentleman propose an elective Senate, and such scrambles for appointments as I have read of and witnessed in the United States. I would prefer a second Chamber appointed by the people in the same way as we are now. My hon. friend is very generous in providing that Senators shall only be appointed by the Local Legislatures, as we die out. The change is only to come by degrees, and as he is a young member, in course of nature, his time is not likely to come for many years.

HON. MR. HAYTHORNE—I do not think that the House feels disposed to continue the debate at the present time or what the views of the leader of the House are, but I think there are several Senators besides myself who wish to offer some observations on this resolution, and if it would meet the convenience of the House, I would move the adjournment of the debate.

HON. MR. POWER—This is a very important question; the discussion has only been begun, and there are a number of members who wish to speak on it, and cannot do so this evening. We have not a great deal of work on our Order paper for to-morrow, and I think the course usually adopted in the Senate when dealing with questions as important as this, is to adjourn the debate to permit the routine business to be worked off and resume the debate when the House has leisure. I think it would be exceedingly injudicious to conclude the debate now, as it cannot be finished until late in the night, and I think we had better follow the usual practice.

HON. MR. KAULBACH—I think it was arranged that we were to go on with the debate to-day and hon. members have been preparing to speak on it, and if we adjourn the debate now it will only come on again when there is more pressing work before the House.

HON. MR. ABBOTT—I regret to say that I cannot predict that we shall have, during the rest of this Session, any time when there will be little work to do. I see no prospects of anything of the kind before us at this stage of the Session. The