

Cullers Act

Mr. McIlraith: I suppose there would be no authority for the revision committee to drop it at that time, because it had not been repealed. There was nothing in the operation of law that rendered the act useless; it was simply not made use of. That would not give the revision committee authority to remove it.

Mr. Hodgson: Why does this pertain only to Ontario and Quebec?

Mr. McIlraith: Because it was passed in 1842 by the united Canada of that time which consisted only of the provinces of Ontario and Quebec.

Mr. Hodgson: Do you mean to say that the rest of the provinces have no cullers now?

Mr. Drew: The simple fact is that all the provinces have their own cullers acts at the present time and this is a carryover which would have no application. Is that not the fact?

Mr. McIlraith: Technically, one section would have application, the section covering exporters.

Section agreed to.

Bill reported, read the third time and passed.

BUSINESS OF THE HOUSE

Mr. St. Laurent moved the adjournment of the house. He said: I think we have reason to congratulate ourselves that the motion that was adopted with respect to possible sittings tomorrow has now lapsed and that when we adjourn we shall do so until three o'clock on Monday afternoon.

Mr. MacNicol: What is the business for Monday?

Mr. St. Laurent: I have placed on the order paper notice of a motion to ask the house to consider on Monday, as the first order of business after routine proceedings, questions and notices of motions for the production of papers, government notices of motions for the purpose of moving a motion standing in my name with respect to the north Atlantic pact. If that motion is carried by the house it will be our intention to deal with only this one government notice of motion, that concerning the north Atlantic pact; and if it is disposed of before the end of the day, it will be our intention to revert to the standing order which would then call for the consideration of public bills.

Mr. Coldwell: I hope that later on, if time permits, some consideration will be given to according an opportunity to private members. There is no objection to the suggested procedure for Monday. In fact, I think the suggestion of the Prime Minister is one that the house should support. But I think that private members' days should be restored and that, if possible, time should be given for discussing some of the resolutions placed on the order paper by private members.

Mr. St. Laurent: After Monday there will be nothing in existence to interfere with the application of the standing orders.

Mr. Coldwell: That is all right.

Motion agreed to, and the house adjourned at 10.15 p.m.
