

It's a well known fact in the publishing business that initial production represents the major cost; after that, running off additional copies is relatively inexpensive.

The most interesting proposal, however, came from a group of political science professors at Carleton University. They met last week at the invitation of Doug Anglin, whom most of you know, and with whom I had spoken of this matter. They emphasized the importance as they see it, in any record we might undertake of retaining non-official opinion of the type that now appears in "*International Canada*". They maintained that this was the more important as Canada - so they claimed - has no newspaper which is indexed. There was therefore, they said, no reference source to matters researchers might wish to check.

(As I later learned, they were wrong in this contention. Laval University does an index of *Le Devoir* which has recently been enlarged to include some articles from *Le Soleil* and *La Presse*. A subscription to the index costs \$500 a year.)

But no matter. What the Carleton political scientists proposed was this: If External is to produce a loose-leaf record, the CIIA or some other body should be asked to prepare an analysis of non-official opinion in the same format, so that it would fit into the same binder. And it would have a common index, though the pages might be of a different colour.

To sum up, a big majority of those with whom I have discussed the pros and cons of inaugurating a new-type foreign affairs record have strongly approved the proposal. Included is one of our senior Depart-