

ment upon the mode in which the damages were to be ascertained.

The plaintiff discovered the fraud in October, 1884, and commenced his action on February 4, two days after a petition had been presented for the winding up of the company.

Bompas, Q.C., and *E. W. Byrne*, for the plaintiff, contended that the actual loss sustained was the true measure of damages.

Graham Hastings, Q.C., and *Phipson Beale*, for one of the defendants, argued that the mode of computing the damages was to ascertain the difference between the price paid and the value of the shares at the date of the purchase; and, alternately, that the value ought to be ascertained at the moment when the fraud was discovered.

Their Lordships held that the measure of damages was the difference between the price paid for the shares and the value of the shares immediately after the date of the purchase; that such value was not the market value, but the real value, which might be ascertained by the light of subsequent events, showing that the shares were originally worthless; and that the plaintiff had not acted so unreasonably in not selling his shares upon the discovery of the fraud as to disentitle him to take into account events which happened subsequently; and they directed an inquiry upon that footing.—*Law Journal*, 22 N.C. 145.

INSOLVENT NOTICES, ETC.

Quebec Official Gazette, Dec. 10.

Judicial Abandonments.

J. A. Dufresne, Cacouna, Dec. 1.

Thomas McCord, Quebec, Dec. 7.

Isaÿe Riopel, Joliette, Nov. 25.

Curators appointed.

Re James Dairymple, Montreal.—*J. McD. Hains*, Montreal, Dec. 6.

Re Langlois and Ellison.—*G. E. A. Jones*, Quebec, curator, Dec. 1.

Re W. Pringle.—*W. C. Simpson*, Montreal, curator, Dec. 7.

Re C. Robert & Co., furriers.—*J. McD. Hains*, Montreal curator, Dec. 1.

Re A. O. Turcotte, Broughton.—*H. A. Bedard*, Quebec, curator, Dec. 9.

Re George Walker.—*James G. Ross*, Quebec, curator, Dec. 6.

Dividends.

Re François Allard.—First and final dividend, *A. A. Taillon*, Sorel, curator.

Re Copland & McLaren.—Second and final dividend, payable Dec. 28, *A. W. Stevenson*, Montreal, curator.

Re Guillaume Gariépy.—Dividend of 33½ p.c., payable Dec. 27, *H. A. A. Brault* and *O. Dufresne*, Montreal, joint curators.

Re Louis Labelle.—Dividend, *A. A. Taillon*, Sorel, curator.

Re L. Lassonde, St. Zephirin.—Dividend, payable Dec. 30, *Kent & Turcotte*, Montreal, curator.

Re Wm. Mansfield.—First dividend, payable Dec. 20, *C. Desmarteau*, Montreal, curator.

Re Hermyle Parant, Rivière Blanche.—Second and final dividend, payable Dec. 18, *H. A. Bedard*, Quebec, curator.

Re Olivier Proulx.—First and final dividend, *A. A. Taillon*, Sorel, curator.

Separation as to Property.

Georgine Archambault vs. Damase Perrault, trader, Montreal, Dec. 1.

Hélène Grenier vs. Achille Fereol Fleury, physician, Lanoraie, Dec. 1.

Marie S. Hudon vs. George Chaussé, carpenter, Montreal, Dec. 1.

Exilma Plamondon vs. Napoléon Godbout, merchant, St. Marcel, Aug. 19.

Anna Savaria vs. Omer Dufresne, trader, Montreal, Sept. 3.

Cadastre.

Sub-division of lot No. 1006, St. James Ward, Montreal, deposited.

Court Terms.

District of Iberville.—Court of Queen's Bench, criminal terms to be held 25th October and 26th March. Superior Court terms to be held 23rd January, March, May, September and November; and from 15th to 20th of February, April, June, October and December. Circuit Court, district of Iberville, 11th to 14th of February, April, June, October and December. For County of Iberville, 6th to 10th of February, June and October. For County of Napierville, 1st to 5th of February, June and October.

GENERAL NOTES.

Un incident comique est venu égayer jeudi l'audience correctionnelle de Saint-Julien (Haute-Savoie.)

Un jeune homme de dix-neuf ans comparaisait pour répondre à une accusation de vol. On introduit le premier témoin, vieux bonhomme à la mine rusée et chafouine qui porte gaillardement ses soixante-quinze ans; et sa vareuse de campagne endimanchée. C'est à lui qu'appartenait la paire de bottes, cause de l'accusation, et on peut lire sur son visage tout le désir qu'il a de retrouver le voleur de ses chaussures.

Reconnu suez-vous, lui dit le président en lui désignant l'accusé, cette personne pour celle qui a volé vos bottes?

Notre paysan, se faisant un abat-jour de ses deux mains et se plaçant à une distance respectueuse de l'accusé, le lorgne et l'examine un instant en silence, puis ne pouvant se prononcer, il s'approche de l'inculpé, le palpe, le retourne, lui caresse le menton, lui passe la main dans les cheveux et hésite encore, il s'en empare de nouveau, le fait pirouetter; quand frappé soudain d'une idée lumineuse, il lui saisit une mâchoire de chaque main; puis ni plus ni moins que s'il avait affaire à un taureau ou à une jument s'écrie: "Montrame la dent," et satisfait de son examen: "N'y est pas ce z'itite, Mons le président." Ce n'est pas celui-là, Monsieur.

Il est inutile d'ajouter que cette sortie inattendue fut accueillie dans l'auditoire par un feu rire dont les magistrats eux-mêmes ne purent se défendre.