

of the bank; and the debentures were not given back to the company. The other debenture holders claimed that the debentures deposited with the bank were satisfied by the payment of the credit, and could not be re-charged with the £500 or any other sum. Warrington, J., so held, and the Court of Appeal (Cozens-Hardy, M.R., and Barnes, P.P.D., and Kennedy, L.J.) affirmed his decision.

INFANT—WARD OF COURT—RELIGIOUS EDUCATION OF WARD—
WELFARE OF INFANT—INFANT'S CHOICE OF RELIGION—
CHANGE OF RELIGIOUS EDUCATION AT REQUEST OF INFANT—
DISCRETION OF COURT—FORM OF ORDER AS TO RELIGIOUS EDU-
CATION OF INFANT.

In *Re W. W. & M.* (1907) 2 Ch. 557, an application was made to the Court by the next friend of an infant for an order authorizing a change in the religious education of the infant in the following circumstances. The applicant was a youth of fourteen, and he and a sister who was about eleven, were the children of a Jewish father, both parents were dead, and the children were wards of Court. An order had been made in 1904 for the bringing up of both children in the Jewish faith. The boy had accordingly been placed with a Jewish schoolmaster, but had expressed a desire to be educated as a Christian. He and his sister were attached to each other, and Kekewich, J., after seeing the boy came to the conclusion that his wish should be gratified, and as he thought it would be detrimental to the affection between him and his sister that they should be educated in different faiths, he made an order that both should be brought up as Christians. The guardian of the infants appealed and the Court of Appeal (Cozens-Hardy, M.R. and Moulton and Farwell, L.JJ.), while upholding the order as being in the circumstances in the best interest of the boy, considered that there was no sufficient ground for making the order as to the girl, as to whom it was therefore rescinded.

COMPANY—DIRECTORS' LIABILITY FOR FALSE PROSPECTUS—CON-
TRIBUTION—DIRECTORS' LIABILITY ACT, 1890 (53-54 VICT.
c. 64)—(R.S.O. c. 216, ss. 4-6.)

In *Shepherd v. Bray* (1907) 2 Ch. 571, the defendants appealed from the judgment of Warrington, J., (1906) 2 Ch. 235 (noted ante, vol. 42, p. 640) and after the case has been partially argued the judgment was reversed and action dismissed,