

<i>Taxation</i>				
Name	Job Description	Annual Salary	Date of Appointment	Previous Government Employment
L. Melville	Maid	\$11,707—12,704	19-05-81	None
R. Pottier	Cook	\$15,506—16,955	05-09-78	None
Y. Vincent	Chef	\$24,728—27,517	01-10-75	National Arts Centre
H. West	Senior Maid	\$12,815—14,004	01-01-73	Governor General Prime Minister's Residence
(b)	Summer Residence			
A. Desjardins	Maid (Part-time)	\$ 5,853— 6,952	28-10-76	Revenue Canada—Taxation

\* \* \*

[English]

**QUESTION PASSED AS ORDER FOR RETURN**

**Mr. David Smith (Parliamentary Secretary to President of the Privy Council):** Madam Speaker, if question No. 1,340 could be made an order for return, this return would be tabled immediately.

[Translation]

**Madam Speaker:** The questions enumerated by the hon. parliamentary secretary have been answered. Is it the pleasure of the House that question No. 1,340 be deemed to have been made an order for return?

**Some hon. Members:** Agreed.

[Text]

MR. KENNETH BACKWELL

Question No. 1,340—**Mr. Cossitt:**

1. Is Mr. Kenneth Backwell employed by the Department of Public Works and, if so (a) in what capacity (b) what is his annual salary (c) is he connected with the property management division?

2. Has the Department of Supply and Services or any other government department or corporation given contracts to Mr. Backwell and/or Ultimate Courier Ltd., 880 Wellington St., Ottawa, and, if so, what are the complete details of all contracts or of any other business done with Mr. Backwell and/or Ultimate Courier Ltd. even if not by contract?

3. To the knowledge of the government, is Mr. Backwell the president or an officer of Ultimate Courier Ltd. and, if so, is there a conflict of interest in his being a government employee and doing business with the government simultaneously and, if so, what action will the government take?

Return tabled.

[English]

**Mr. Smith:** I ask, Madam Speaker, that the remaining questions be allowed to stand.

[Translation]

**Madam Speaker:** Shall the remaining questions be allowed to stand?

**Some hon. Members:** Agreed.

[English]

**AN ACT TO AMEND THE STATUTE LAW  
RELATING TO CERTAIN TAXES AND TO PROVIDE  
OTHER AUTHORITY FOR THE RAISING OF FUNDS**

MEASURE TO AMEND

On the Order: Government Orders:

February 10, 1982—Second reading and reference to a Committee of the

Whole of Bill C-93, to amend the statute law relating to certain taxes and to provide other authority for the raising of funds.

**Madam Speaker:** On Wednesday, February 10, 1982, the hon. member for Yukon (Mr. Nielsen) raised a point of order in relation to Bill C-93, to amend the statute law relating to certain taxes and to provide other authority for the raising of funds. The hon. member expressed concern that the bill contained matters based on ways and means motions and other matters of substance, namely, the authority to borrow money. The hon. member claimed that for that reason the bill is an omnibus bill. It was further argued that each of the two features of the bill calls for two different modes of proceeding through the legislative process.

As hon. members will recall, the practice prior to 1969 was to include a provision for borrowing authority in supply bills. Such bills were discussed under a different supply procedure, giving hon. members ample opportunity to debate all aspects of those bills. This procedure was changed with the adoption of the new supply procedures currently in effect which introduced certain limitations into that process. It was for this reason that my predecessor ruled in favour of removing the borrowing provisions from supply bills.

The same limitations in debate do not prevail under the ways and means procedures. Recently the borrowing provisions were included in bills based on ways and means motions, which I do not find objectionable. As I indicated to the House on January 19, 1981, I have no quarrel with the practice of combining in the same bill taxation matters based on ways and means motion with the borrowing authority, provided the notice provision was respected. In the case of Bill C-93, this requirement has been met, I can find no objection to the bill being proceeded with, and I so rule.

**GOVERNMENT ORDERS**

[Translation]

**AN ACT TO AMEND THE STATUTE LAW  
RELATING TO CERTAIN TAXES AND TO PROVIDE  
OTHER AUTHORITY FOR THE RAISING OF FUNDS**

MEASURE TO AMEND

**Hon. Pierre Bussières (Minister of State (Finance))** moved that Bill C-93, to amend the statute law relating to certain