ed, most probably, among the Christians, with whom they had an intercourse. One rule we may fairly establish in deciding the question, whether the books of the modern Gabrs were anteriour to the invasion of the Mrabs; when an Arabick noun occurs in them changed only by the spirit of the Chaldean idiom, as werta, for werd, a rose, daba, for dhahab, gold, or deman, for zeman, time, we may allow it to have been ancient Pahlavi; but, when we meet with verbal nouns or infinitives, evidently formed by the rules of Arabian grammar, we may be sure, that the phrases, in which they occur, are comparatively modern; and not a single passage, which BAHMAN pruduced from the books of his religion, would abide this test.

We come now to the language of the Zend; and here I must impart a difcovery, which I lately made, and from which we may draw the most interesting consequences. M. ANQUETIL, who had the merit of undertaking a voyage to India, in his eariest youth, with no other view than to recover the writtings of ZERA TUSHT, and who would have acquired a brilliant reputation in France, if he had not fullied it by his immoderate vanity and virudence of temper, which alienated the good will even of his own countrymen, has exhibited in his work, entitled Zendavesta, two vocabularies in Zend and Pahlavi, which he had found in an approved collection of Rawayat or Traditional Pieces, in modern Perfian: of his Pahlavi no more needs be faid, than it strongly confirms my opinion concerning the Chaldaich origin of that language; but, when I perused the Zend glossary, I was inexpressibly surprized to find, that six or feven words in ten were pure Sanscrit, and even-some of their inflexions formed by the rules of the Vyacaran; as jushmacam, the genitive plural of yushmad. Now M. ANQUETIL most certainly, and the Pefian compiler most probably, had no knowledge of Sanferit; and could not, therefore, have invented a list of Sanscrit words: it is, therefore, an authentick list of Zend words, which had been preserved in books or by tradition; and it follows, that the language of the Zend was at least a dialect of the Sanscrit, approaching perhaps as nearly to it as the Prácrit, or other popular idioms which we know to have been spoken in India two thousand years ago. From all these facts it is a necessary consequence, that the oldest discoverable languages of Persia were Chaldaich and Sanscrit; and that, when they had ceased to be vernacular, the Pahlam and Zend, were deduced from them respectively, and the Parsi either from the Zend, or immediately from the dialect of the Brahmans; but all had perhaps a mixture of Tartarian; for the best lexicographers assert, that numberless words in ancient Persian are taken from the language of the Cimmerians, or the Tartars of Kipchák; so that the three families, whose lineage we have examined in former discourses, had left visible traces of themselves in Iran, long before the Tartars and Arabs had rushed from their deferts, and returned to that very country, from which in all probability they originally proceeded, and which the Hindus had abandoned in an earlier age, with politive commands from their legislators to visit it no more. I close this head with observing, that no supposition of a mere political or commercial intercourse between the different nations, will account for the Sanfcrit and Chaldaich words, which we find in the old Perfian tongues; because they are, in the first place, too numerous to have been introduced by fuch means, and fecondly, are not the names of exotick animals, commodities, or arts, but those of material elements, parts of the body, natural objects