

QUEBEC AND LAKE ST. JOHN RAILWAY:—See *Accounts and Papers*, 258, 259.

QUEBEC, MONTREAL, OTTAWA AND OCCIDENTAL RAILWAY:—See *Accounts and Papers*, 256.

QUESTIONS:

1. Debate on Questions adjourned, 82. By adjournment or rising of the House at six o'clock, 43, 62, 70, 227.
2. Amendment to Amendment, 65, 242, &c.
3. Motions amended, 65, etc.
4. A Motion, as amended, further amended, 242.
5. Motions withdrawn, 42, &c.
6. Amendments withdrawn, 166, 337.
7. Motion for adjournment of a Debate negatived, 107; Withdrawn, 114.
8. Question put separately upon each paragraph of a Motion, 13.
9. Divisions on Questions, 33, etc.

QUESTIONS OF FORM AND ORDER:

10. A Motion being made for second reading of Resolutions relating to Canadian Pacific Railway, reported from Committee of Whole, Mr. Robertson (Shelburne) moved adjournment of Debate, to enable the Government to lay on the Table another offer which had been received for the construction of the Railway, and a debate arising thereon, Mr. Stephenson moved the adjournment of said debate; a point of order was raised that last motion was irregular as it was the same in effect as the previous motion; Mr. Speaker said that the motion for the adjournment of the debate should be pure and simple, but the motion made by the Member for Shelburne was an amendment not coming within the Parliamentary meaning of the first-mentioned motion as it contained a preamble. He was of opinion that the motion of the honorable Member for Shelburne was, in reality, out of order, since it contained a recital of reasons for the adjournment of the debate, and in a motion for the adjournment of a debate no preamble can be allowed. The amendment was therefore irregular and could not be put, 86.
11. On the motion for second reading of the Bill respecting the Canadian Pacific Railway, a point of order was raised, That the Bill contained provisions for aid in land and works entirely beyond the scope of the two Resolutions adopted by the House, and that it was consequently necessary to withdraw the Bill and introduce it in regular form. Mr. Speaker decided, That the point raised was to the effect that the Bill went beyond the Resolutions adopted by the House, and on which the Bill was founded; in support of this objection, the 54th section of the B.N.A. Act, which requires the recommendation of the Governor General to be given to any Bill or Resolution appropriating any part of the public revenue, was quoted; he thought no objection could be raised on that ground, for the whole Contract came down with a Message in due form. In reference to another point, the 88th Rule of the House says:—"If any motion be made for any public aid or charge