now and I would hope that the Hon. Member for Winnipeg—Fort Garry would realize that I am not in a discretionary position.

Mr. Riis: Mr. Speaker, I rise on a point of order.

Mr. Speaker: I think the Chair is in the position of now proceeding with the motion and is bound to continue.

Mr. Mazankowski moves that the House now proceed to Orders of the Day. Is it the pleasure of the House to adopt the motion?

Some Hon. Members: Agreed.

Some Hon. Members: No.

Mr. Speaker: All those in favour please say yea.

Some Hon. Members: Yea.

Mr. Speaker: All those opposed please say nay.

Some Hon. Members: Nay.

Mr. Speaker: In my opinion the yeas have it.

And more than five Members having risen:

Mr. Speaker: Call in the Members.

The House divided on the Motion (Mr. Mazankowski) which was agreed to on the following division:

• (1300)

(Division No. 23)

YEAS

Members

Andre	Gurbin
Beatty	Halliday
Binns	Hamilton
Blouin	Hicks
Boyer	Holtmann
Cardiff	Jepson
Champagne	Kelleher
(Saint-Hyacinthe-	Kempling
Bagot)	Kindy
Clifford	Leblanc
Côté	Lewis
(Lac-Saint-Jean)	Lopez
Crouse	MacDonald
Daubney	(Kingston
Duplessis	the Islands
Fennell	MacKay
Forrestall	Malone
Gérin	Marin
Gormley	Mazankowsk
Graham	McCain
Gray	McCuish
(Bonaventure-Îles-de-	McDermid
la-Madeleine)	McKenzie

bin	McLean	
iday	Merrithew	
nilton	Mitges	
cs	Nowlan	
mann	Peterson	
on	Pietz	
eher	Plourde	
pling	Scott	
iy	(Hamilton-Wentworth	
anc	Scowen	
is	Sparrow	
ez	Suluk	
Donald	Thacker	
Cingston and	Towers	
e Islands)	Tupper	
Kay	Valcourt	
one	Wilson	
in	(Swift Current—	
ankowski	Maple Creek)—55	

Patent Act

NAYS

Members

Axworthy	Grondin	Nicholson
Benjamin	Heap	(Trinity)
Boudria	Hopkins	Parry
Finestone	Isabelle	Riis
Gauthier	Kaplan	Young —17
Gray	Manly	
(Windsor West)	Murphy	

• (1330)

GOVERNMENT ORDERS

[English]

PATENT ACT

MEASURE TO AMEND

The House resumed, from Thursday, November 20, consideration of the motion of Mr. Andre that Bill C-22, an Act to amend the Patent Act and to provide for certain matters in relation thereto, be read the second time and referred to a legislative committee; and the amendment of Mrs. Killens (P.1378).

Mr. Speaker: It being after one o'clock p.m., I do now leave the chair until two o'clock p.m.

At 1.40 p.m. the House took recess.

AFTER RECESS

The House resumed at 2 p.m.

Mr. Speaker: When we last considered Bill C-22, the Hon. Member for Winnipeg North (Mr. Orlikow) had proposed to move an amendment to the amendment of the Hon. Member for Saint-Michel—Ahuntsic (Mrs. Killens). The Deputy Speaker took the proposed sub-amendment under advisement and I am now prepared to rule.

Beauchesne 5th Edition, Citation 743 states:

An established form of amendment, such as the "six months" formula used to obtain the rejection of a bill, is not capable of amendment.

For this reason I must reject the proposed sub-amendment. I advise Hon. Members that debate will resume on the motion for second reading and the amendment. The Hon. Member for Burlington.

Mr. Riis: Point of order.

Mr. Bill Kempling (Burlington): Mr. Speaker, it is a pleasure to rise in the House today and speak to Bill C-22, an Act to amend the Patent Act. First, I want to offer my congratulations to the Minister of Consumer and Corporate Affairs (Mr. Andre) for introducing this piece of legislation which, in fact, I believe will benefit all Canadians. Bill C-22 represents a significant step toward the achievement of the Government's goals of sustained national economic growth and