

The Constitution

ridicule from the Minister of Energy, Mines and Resources and from the Prime Minister. They are angry because we are building up the heritage fund to make sure we never have to go back to those times again. They want to destroy our industry.

Some hon. Members: Hear, hear!

Mr. Taylor: They say we do not share. We have shared with every other province equally. In addition to that we have shared \$17 billion by selling our oil and gas at a lower price to Canadians than the world price. I wonder if anybody who has gold in Ontario did that, or those who have hydro or those who have timber. We have paid the full price for our machinery. We have paid through the nose every time. You want us to continue to give and give. No wonder hundreds of people are wearing this cap. I want to tell you that if you continue this kind of thing, you will break up Canada and you will not have Canada to govern. You had better think it over pretty carefully.

Some hon. Members: Hear, hear!

Mr. Taylor: This fabrication and this hypocrisy is all we get. Here we have the Prime Minister and the Leader of the NDP telling the people that they are giving them something. Let us look at the BNA Act. It says that all lands, mines, minerals and royalties belong to the provinces. They already own them. Then look at the letter which the Prime Minister sent to the Leader of the NDP which warms the heart of the Leader of the NDP who then cuddles up to the Prime Minister. This is what the letter says:

—confirm the jurisdiction of the provinces with respect to exploration, development, conservation, and management of non-renewable natural resources—

There is nothing about ownership there. This is less than we have now under the BNA Act.

Some hon. Members: Hear, hear!

Mr. Taylor: Who are they trying to fool? They must think the people of Canada are illiterate. The people of Canada are not illiterate. There is another thing in that letter which warmed the heart of the New Democratic Party Leader. I am referring to the words "subject to full federal paramountcy" in the letter. They still want to have their hand in there to do what they like, even in that letter. No wonder Mr. Blakeney will not fall for that kind of thing.

Some hon. Members: Hear, hear!

Mr. Taylor: The people of Canada will not be doing it either. There is another thing I want to say. They do not even say what they want to manage. Is it management of prices or is it management of drilling? Mr. Trudeau does not say anything about that.

Some hon. Members: Order!

Mr. Taylor: His answer to a question today showed us the totalitarian concept of our Prime Minister. The Leader of the

NDP asked the Prime Minister if he would nationalize the oil industry, and Mr. Trudeau's answer was "no, not at this time."

Mr. Deputy Speaker: Order, please. I should like to call the attention of the hon. member that it is not the practice of the House to refer to members of this House by their names. They should be referred to either by their constituencies or their ministries.

Mr. Taylor: Yes, Mr. Speaker. The Leader of the New Democratic Party and the Leader of the Liberal party are two of a kind. What was the answer of the Prime Minister? It was "not at this time". I tell the people of Canada: beware, because he will not say he will not nationalize. What he says is "not at this time." In his own book written a little while ago called, "Federalism, Nationalism and Reason—by Pierre E. Trudeau"—I have to say his name because that is what it says on the book—

Some hon. Members: Oh, oh!

Mr. Taylor: He wrote:

Thus when a tightly knit minority within a state begins to define itself forcefully and consistently as a nation, it is triggering a mechanism which will tend to propel it towards full statehood.

Here he is planning for that day. He spoke to the Montreal students about a French republic. He said it would be better for us to have a French republic. If he wants a French republic, why will he not stand up and say so? I have nothing against a French republic, but why is he beating around the bush and trying to sneak it into the constitution for the British parliament to pass?

Then we heard a few other things the other day about there being no other alternative. That is nonsense. The premiers of this province are ready and willing. We could have had agreement, as Senator Manning said in his speech in the Senate the other day. If the package had not been put there with all the pet theories of the Prime Minister, there could have been agreement. He did it deliberately so they would not reach agreement. He is not fooling anyone. He did not want an agreement. He wanted to be a hero. But nobody thought he would try to sneak it over to England and have it passed by the British parliament. Oh, he is so embarrassed when he goes over there to ask for an amendment. The other day the Minister of Justice (Mr. Chrétien) felt so bad that tears almost streamed down his face because they had to go to the British parliament for an amendment. But where is that embarrassment now when he is asking for a whole package of amendments? The Minister of Justice and the Prime Minister (Mr. Trudeau) have each said there are no changes in the powers. I want to say that there is a change in the powers. They are projecting themselves into provincial jurisdictions. That is exactly what they are doing. The BNA Act puts education under provincial control, and the government is deliberately projecting itself into provincial jurisdiction. Education is a responsibility of the provinces. The regulations indicate that parents have the right to send their children to schools of their choice, whether English or French.