

conventional armaments, and it is to be hoped that agreement will be reached on a comprehensive programme.

"On the basis of the above considerations, the Canadian Government has concluded that it would not be desirable to convene a special conference to consider the signing of a convention on the prohibition of the use of nuclear weapons"

This continues to be the Canadian position, since in our view the basic considerations have not changed since we replied to the Secretary General's enquiry. Indeed it seems to us that the 17-power resolution could create a more difficult situation in resumed disarmament negotiations than we believed would be the case when resolution 1653 was adopted in 1961. The present resolution not only asks the ENDC to study the question of convening a conference on prohibition of nuclear weapons but it requests the Committee to do so urgently. This runs counter to the agreement reached in this Committee a few days ago on the general disarmament resolution from which all mention of specific collateral measures was omitted, (at the request of the Soviet Delegation). If the 17-power resolution is adopted it could delay further discussion in the ENDC of collateral measures which - in the view of a majority of its members - offer the best prospects for early agreement. It could also delay continued study of the important question of a comprehensive ban on nuclear weapons tests, and the measures contained in the plans for general and complete disarmament.

For all these reasons, Mr. Chairman, the Canadian Delegation has serious misgivings about the resolution now before us and must therefore oppose it, even though we appreciate and sympathize with the desire to put an end to the possibility of nuclear weapons being used in war, which has motivated the cosponsors in putting it forward.