DIARY .- CONTENTS .- LEGAL NOTES.

DIARY FOR JUNE.

 Sat Open Day. SUN 1st Sunday after Trinity. Mon Paper Day, Q. B. New Trial Day, C. P. Tues Paper Day, C. P. New Trial Day, Q. B. Wed Open Day, Q. B. New Trial Day, C. P. Tues Open Day.
7. Fri New Trial Day, Q. B. Open Day, C. P.
8. Sat Easter Term ends.
9. SUN 2nd Sunday after Trinity.
11. Tues General Sessions and County Court Sittings in each county.
14. Fri Last day for Courts of Revision finally to revise assessment roll.
16. SUN 3rd Sunday after Trinity.
 Thur. Accession of Queen Victoria; 36th year of her reign commenced.
21. Fri Longest Day.
23. SUN 4th Sunday after Trinity.
29. Sat St. Peter.
30. SUN 5th Sunday after Trinity.
CONTENTS.
DIARY FOR JUNE 129
EDITORIALS:
Criminals as witnesses on their own behalf 129
Uncertainty of the law 129
Law Bills of the present Session
Equity in Common Law Courts (Shier v. Shier
discussed
New Tariff of Fees
County Court Appeals 122

SELECTIONS: Changing the Venue...... 133 The Legal Immunity of Libellers and Impostors.. 135 CANADA REPORTS:

ONTARIO-CHANCERY: MASTER'S OFFICE. Re Baker-Bray's Claim (Insolvent Act-Double Proof) 136 CHANCERY CHAMBERS:

Shaw v. Freedy/Suits for trifling amounts-Juris-NOVA SCOTIA-SUPREME COURT:

Dodge v. The Windsor and Annapolis Railway Co. The measure of damages where goods are injured in transitu-Payment into Court-Reduction of ENGLISH REPORTS:

EXCHEQUER CHAMBER: Frost v. Knight (Breach of promise of marriage -Repudiation of the Contract before the time

QUEEN'S BENCH: Newby v. Von Oppen and others (Foreign corporation-Service of Writ-Common Law Procedure Act, 1852, ss. 16 and 17) 143

DIGEST OF ENGLISH LAW REPORTS FOR NO-VEMBER AND DECEMBER, 1871, AND JANUARY, 1872 146 CORRESPONDENCE:

Attorney and Client-Privileged Communications 152 Married Women-Replevin..... 154

REVIEWS: Registration of Written Instruments affecting The Maryland Law Reporter...... 156 THE

Canada Law

JUNE, 1872.

An Act has been passed by the Pennsylvania Legislature, extending the competency of persons to be witnesses in criminal cases. It provides that in proceedings where the crime is not above the grade of misdemeanor, the person charged shall, at his own request, but not otherwise, be deemed a competent witness; but his neglect or refusal to testify shall not create any presumption against him. nor shall any reference be made to, or comment made upon, such neglect or refusal, by the counsel in the case, during the trial. Proceedings in forgery and perjury are excepted from the operation of the Act.

Statutes similar to this are already in force in some of the other States; for example, New York and Maine. Attempts have been made, chiefly by Lord Brougham, to introduce such a law into the English system, but hitherto in vain. We should like to know how the clause which lays it down that "no presumption shall be created against any person withholding his testimony," is to be carried out practically. It would puzzle even the traditional "Philadelphia lawyer" to prevent such a course of conduct from raising a prejudice in the mind of the jury against the person incriminated. We apprehend, however, that no serious injury will result in such a case, as almost every innocent person will seize the opportunity of clearing himself upon Much might be said both for and against this enlargement of the law of evidence, but it is not necessary now to dwell upon the subject.

Lawyers are often blamed by their clients for giving wrong opinions on points of law, or rather for expressing views which are not sustained when the cases come before the courts, and this, in the minds of the suitor, means the same thing. We should recommend complaining litigants to read the judgment of the Court of Appeal in Forsyth v. Galt et al., where a question arose on the construction of a will as to the estate taken under it by a devisee, one C.