

THE  
LEGAL NEWS.

---

---

VOL. XVIII.

JANUARY 16, 1895.

No. 2.

---

---

*CURRENT TOPICS AND CASES.*

Neighbours who engage in law-suits are proverbially persevering. The case of *Lemmon v. Webb*, noted in Vol. 17, p. 170, does not constitute an exception. The whole difficulty arose from some overhanging branches being cut without notice to the owner of the property on which the trees were growing. The parties were adjoining owners of land. Trees on the land of Lemmon, the appellant, grew in such manner that some of their branches overhung the respondent's land, and the respondent, on the ground that such overhanging branches constituted a nuisance, cut and lopped some of them without previous notice to the appellant. The appellant brought an action claiming a declaration that the respondent was not entitled to cut any overhanging branches when such overhanging had continued for many years; that he was only entitled to cut recent growth; and that he was not entitled to cut any branches without notice; he also claimed an injunction and damages. Kekewich, J. (63 Law J. Rep. Chanc. 241), held that the respondent was not entitled to remove the branches, except in case of emergency, without giving reasonable notice to the appellant, and gave judgment for the appellant with £5 damages and costs. The Court of Appeal (63 Law J. Rep. Chanc. 570; 17 L. N. 170) reversed the decision of