

Longitude." after which the debate of the evening was proceeded with.

The subject was: "Resolved, that the execution of Charles I was justifiable."

This was upheld by Mr. J. A. Ewing, Law '97, in a lawyerlike and oratorical manner. He was supported by Mr. Bishop, Arts '98.

The negative was opened by Mr. Campbell, Arts '97, and supported by Mr. Gardner, Arts '98.

The debate being now thrown open, Messrs. Place and Winfield spoke for the affirmative while Messrs. Heine and Stevenson upheld the negative. The leaders now closed the debate. A vote resulted with a large majority for the affirmative. Dr. Colby now gave his critique, in which he compared the meeting with those he had been accustomed to see in the '80's. He then, in a truly critical manner, explained the faults of the several speakers and also of our President, who occasionally gets too obstreperous for the dignity incumbent upon the office. A vote of thanks was tendered to Dr. Colby, and it is earnestly hoped that this is not the last time the Society may have the benefit of the wider experience of our professors.

Before adjourning, the President made a few remarks, urging the members to secure still larger meetings, that this Society, the only University Society in McGill, may become a true

bond of union throughout the University at large.

The regular weekly meeting of the Society was held on Friday evening, 13th November. The business before the meeting required very little time so that the programme was quickly taken up. Mr. H. E. Goodhugh, '00, read an amusing selection from Max Adler's well known "Elbow Room," a series of humorous sketches of American life. Arts '99, under the leadership of W. J. DeWitt, sang a chorus from the new song book. To Mr. Rowatt had been assigned the task of writing an essay. He, however, had been unable to do so and hence appeared in person to explain his apparent neglect. The debate, "Resolved, that the jury system should be abolished" was then taken up and was ably sustained throughout its whole course. There were several law students present, who spoke on the question, and this greatly increased the interest of the lay mind. Those who spoke on the affirmative side of the question were Messrs. Marler, Archibald, Saxe and White: while Messrs. Thompson, Mitchell, Bishop, Ball and Ewing opposed the resolution. The verdict of the audience was against the resolution. Mr. Rogers, '98, closed the evening with a searching and somewhat caustic criticism of the proceedings.

