Although the preliminary judgment of the committee was that profits in the different levels of food processing and distribution had not increased substantially or generally in the recent past, this does not answer a very relevant question, namely, whether the cost levels in the industry were reasonably low in the light of Canadian conditions.

Your committee uncovered specific evidence of great concentration of market power. It came to public attention as a result of questions by your Committee and disclosed more particularly the so-called Weston Empire.

This disclosure was an astonishing revelation and the enormity of the Weston complex surprised even the sophisticated. The farreaching implications will take some time to assess. The Weston Empire reveals for the first time vast holdings and control over food and other related aspects of the economy which will need further investigation to reveal all the implications and to do this, more specific information will, of course, be required.

The detergent and soap industry, it appears from the evidence, is controlled by three giants which among them have between 85 and 90 per cent of the total business.

The five great corporate food chains and the voluntary chains control more than 75 per cent of the grocery business in urban areas and their percentage is increasing.

In one part of the food processing industry, one firm controls 100 per cent of the market in the Maritimes and Western Canada and at least 80 per cent of the market in Ontario and Quebec.

The domination of a few large corporations in some sectors of the Canadian economy is clearly evident and gives rise to the question, "Is this in the public interest?" Your committee feels that the implications of this question must be fully examined.

In view of this, your committee recommends that a thorough assessment should be made of the organization of the food industry with the object of publicizing any monopolistic tendencies which may exist, of determining whether the market power of any group or groups is sufficient to impair the workings of a competitive market and whether there are any undue barriers to entry.

Recommendation:

That the Joint Committee on Consumer Credit and Cost of Living continue with its

Although the preliminary judgment of the investigation of concentration in the food in-

12. Price Control.

The view is sometimes expressed that price control by government edict is the easy answer to price stability. All the witnesses who were questioned on this matter disagreed with the opinion and demonstrated clearly that this was an unworkable and unrealistic solution. Your committee concurs in the conclusion that price control is a fallacious method of attempting to control any general increase in prices. It notes, furthermore, that the federal government does not have the constitutional power to enter this field.

13. Co-operatives

In order to meet its self-imposed deadline of an interim report in December, your committee did not have an opportunity to call witnesses on co-operative organizations, but recognizing the importance of the movement intends to do so.

All which is respectfully submitted.

David A. Croll Joint Chairman

INTERIM REPORT OF JOINT COMMITTEE

SPECIAL JOINT COMMITTEE OF THE SENATE AND THE HOUSE OF COMMONS ON CONSUMER CREDIT AND COST OF LIVING

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- 1. Preamble
- 2. General Observations
- 3. The Need for Improved Statistical Information
- 4. Parliamentary Responsibility for Consumer Affairs
- 5. The Influence of Consumer Demand on Food Prices
- Consumer Standards and Consumer Protection
- 7. The Promotion of Retail Sales
- 8. Public Disclosure
- 9. Profits of Corporate Food Chains
- 10. Advertising
- 11. Concentration and Cost Levels in the Food Industry
- 12. Wage and Price Controls
- 13. Co-operatives