

THE SEMI-WEEKLY TELEGRAPH is issued every Wednesday and Saturday by THE TELEGRAPH PUBLISHING COMPANY, SAINT JOHN, a company incorporated by Act of the Legislature of New Brunswick.

R. W. McCRAID, President and Manager.

**SUBSCRIPTION RATES**—Sent by mail to any address in Canada at one dollar a year. Sent by mail to any address in the United States at two dollars a year. All subscriptions must be paid in advance.

In mailing price of subscription always send money by post office order or registered letter.

**ADVERTISING RATES**—Ordinary commercial advertisements, taking the run of the paper, each insertion, \$1.00 per line.

Advertisements of Wants, For Sale, etc., one cent a word for each insertion.

**IMPORTANT NOTICE**—All remittances must be sent by post office order or registered letter, and addressed to The Telegraph Publishing Company, Saint John, N. B.

Correspondence must be addressed to the Editor of The Telegraph, St. John. All letters sent to The Semi-Weekly Telegraph and intended for publication should contain stamp if return of manuscript is desired, in case it is not published. Otherwise, rejected letters are destroyed.

## Semi-Weekly Telegraph and The News

ST. JOHN, N. B., OCTOBER 7, 1916.

### THE ZEPPELIN RAIDS

Lord Derby believes that the Germans will continue to send Zeppelins to raid the English towns and cities, because it will afford them opportunities to issue statements to the people telling about the terrible damage done. In this way they would hope to raise the spirits of the public and at the same time distract attention from military reverses on the battlefield. He points out that the recent German war office reports have been misleading from beginning to end. The people have repeatedly been told that "London is burning" and that great munition plants and naval works have been wiped out by Zeppelin bombs. To cease making the raids would be an admission that they are a failure, so Lord Derby expects more of them.

Some military critics, however, do not take this view. They explain that the German losses in Zeppelins and the skilled men required to operate these aircraft have proved too costly. The last two raids were by far the most formidable yet attempted against British non-combatants, but they were utterly futile. The terrible doom of two of the airships and the bringing to earth and capture of the crew of another proves that at least the defense against attacks by these monsters is adequate. It is likely to bring the Kaiser and his advisers to their senses, for it must be plain to them now that their Zeppelin policy of frightfulness does not pay.

From the very first these raids have been failures in a military sense. They have not even terrorized the women and children of London. No sooner is the sound of a Zeppelin's propeller heard than thousands of men and women rush to the streets to see the effect of the anti-aircraft fire, and cheer if the murderous craft is hit. Lately, there has been a lot of cheering for the Zeppelin crews that have been killed were "highly" trained and experienced workmen, which Germany could not afford to lose. Besides, they were baby-killers. The Zeppelin raids have never greatly alarmed the British public. On the other hand, they have angered the people and stimulated recruiting. Thus Germany, in continuing this revolting policy has even from a military point of view been cutting its own throat. The Germans cannot afford to lose an airship a week. This attrition in crews and ships must surely be too costly to last.

The decrease in the efficiency of the Zeppelin attack is no doubt due to many causes. Lord French attributes it largely to the new measures which have been taken to reduce or obscure lights. The raiders are no longer able to steer a steady course guided by the lights below as they did earlier in the war. Now, they frequently lose their way and "grope about" in the darkness, wasting much of their ammunition by dropping it in fields and in the sea. But the chief reason why the raids are less successful is undoubtedly the better preparation that has been made to meet them. Better guns have been provided, there are more aeroplanes and searchlights and more skillful aviators are employed. All over the country there is a better system of coordination in the whole scheme of defence. And each day sees some improvement.

It is thought by some observers that Germany's aim in continuing these costly raids is to force the British military authorities to withdraw from the western front a large number of aeroplanes for defensive work at home. If she expects this to happen she is doomed to disappointment. It never will be done. The London newspapers are warning the government that no anxiety to ward off Zeppelins or to bring down more of them at home, should lead to demands which would mean the diversion of guns, aircraft, or munitions from the front. It is there that the struggle is being decided, and Germany will not succeed by inhuman attacks on innocent non-combatants in lessening the pressure on her armies in France.

### A WELCOME, AND

Tuesday, Oct. 3. St. John heartily welcomed the 16th (French Canadian) Battalion, Lieutenant-Colonel Adjele, yesterday, cheering the sun-browned men as they marched through the streets, and pronouncing them a fine-looking lot who have the physique and evidently the mettle to give the great cause good service. The bat-

alion is still somewhat under strength, but otherwise it would seem in readiness for work overseas. This unit is one of which we have heard good reports, both as to conduct and efficiency. May its stay here be pleasant. To officers and men the city will be hospitable, recognising the central fact that here are following Canadians who are going to the fighting line as soon as may be, and who, therefore, are entitled to our admiration and respect. St. John is evidently learning to cheer, judging by the reception accorded the 16th yesterday; but the cheering might well have been louder and the welcome of the people more outspoken. The crowds here are noticeably too restrained in this respect. The incoming regiments ought not to have to take so much for granted.

Citizens who helped to welcome the Acadians, who saw the crowds that formed at the railway station and lined the principal streets as the troops marched by, must have been struck by one or two facts worth considering. Here is one. Counting the length of Mill and Dock streets, Market Square and King street, to go no farther, the 16th marched past large numbers of young men between twenty and thirty-five years of age—numbers sufficient to make up a new battalion, or to fill up the ranks of the Killies—if they would but step forward and get into the empty khaki coats. A great many of these young and apparently fit spectators were well dressed, and no one could detect in their countenances any indication that they felt uncomfortable, or that the sight of the marching Acadians suggested any pressing duty left undischarged and unacknowledged. Here and there were folk in mourning or part-mourning, probably for relatives dead in the great war. They viewed the incoming battalion with eager approbation, quick to acknowledge what we all owe them. Here and there a thoughtful citizen looked about him and remarked upon the great number of men of service age still to be seen in civilian attire. "And," said one such observer, "while it is true that New Brunswick has raised 10,000 or 12,000 men, that does not excuse the others who stand here to-day, or of whom we see hundreds in pursuit of amusement in the evenings. All honor to those who have gone. The casualty lists and the despatches tell us they were made of the right stuff. But what of these others whose service to the Empire is merely that of looking on?"

If ten per cent. of New Brunswick's population were in the army we should still be considerably below the English standard of recruiting; and ten per cent. would be 85,000 men from this province alone. The ranks of our first divisions are greatly thinned by the toll of death, wounds, and illness. The call for men is loud and insistent. It is that we must think of, rather than an early end of the war. "Mr. Rowell," says the Montreal Herald, "has brought back a number of messages from the front, but the one that the public seems to have laid hold on most is this—that more, and still more, men are urgently needed to support the Canadians now in the trenches, and to carry on the work of the noble Canadian dead who have fallen in the fight."

Let us cheer the 16th, and show that we are proud of them. That is an obvious duty which should be a pleasure. But let us think of the gaps in the ranks of our new battalion, a unit led by men who have been tried in the fire of battle and found true. Let us think of the thin and war-worn ranks of the units which long ago went to the front from our province. And let us see to it that more of our young men think of these things, and, thinking of them, act, not next spring, but now.

### A TRIBUTE WELL DESERVED.

Those Conservative newspapers which, following the lead of the partisan Toronto News, believe that the government of Sir Robert Borden can be kept in power by their attempts to deceive the public with respect to the true status of Bourassa and the Nationalists are not likely to give publicity to a striking editorial in the Independent-Conservative Ottawa Citizen on Tuesday. At the very moment when Bourassa condemns Sir Wilfrid Laurier for doing all in his power to aid recruiting and bring victory to British arms, the more irresponsible journals supporting the government repeat that "a vote for Laurier is a vote for Bourassa." The Citizen does not stand for this sort of thing and boldly serves warning on the partisan Conservative newspapers that they do not represent the people. Sir Wilfrid Laurier, it declares, stands above all other Canadian statesmen in the esteem and respect of the Canadian people.

The time has come when those who cherish the principles of freedom, who desire honesty and square-dealing in the public life of Canada, who frown on misrepresentation and false argument, must emphasize the striking fact that Bourassa and Nationalism are deadly enemies of Liberalism. To quote the disloyal Nationalist leader, who did more than any other to place Sir Robert Borden in power in 1911, Laurier is "the most nefarious man Quebec ever produced." That serves to keep the record clear. Meanwhile, let us see what the Citizen has to say on the subject:

"Sir Wilfrid Laurier possibly never stood stronger in public esteem than at the present time. He would seem to tower above mere party leaders, and reflect national opinion. He is attacked by Mr. Henri Bourassa, the Quebec Nationalist leader, and by the purple-faced press of Ontario at the same time. Partisan organs in Ontario, representing mediocrity on Parliament Hill, devote more space to misrepresenting Laurier than to any serious criticism of the incompetence of their own leaders. Mr. Bourassa is reported on Sunday to have referred to Sir Wilfrid as 'the most nefarious man this province has ever had.' This, presumably,



The above picture shows one of the famous Lewis guns and its English gun crew in the trenches north of Salonika. This gun has been doing wonderfully effective work on all fronts. It fires 600 shots a minute.

because Sir Wilfrid is too big to be provoked or insinuated to a shameful degree. The whole business is enough to make the Hon. James K. Flemming laugh. No wonder he talks confidently about remaining in public life. Why not, so long as his friends and admirers remain in power at Ottawa?

The Citizen goes on to affirm what is clear to all, that because Sir Wilfrid has never failed to take a broad outlook on Canadian affairs, because he considers Canada greater than Quebec or Ontario, or both of these provinces, he is the outstanding public man in Canada and the constant object of attack from the provincials. It adds:

"But, of course, the purple-faced press of Ontario does not represent the people of this province, any more than the Nationalist press represents Quebec. There is a far bigger brand of Canadian citizenship in both provinces, and throughout the country. Unfortunately for Nationalist progress in Canada, people, particularly in Ontario, have been misled upon by false loyalty cries. Thus, politicians have misrepresented Sir Wilfrid Laurier's position, with regard to British connection, and the very party to raise the loyalty cry has itself, during the present war, demonstrated lack of kinship for the 1916 increase of the Dominion tariff. Unfortunately for Nationalist progress in Canada, people, particularly in Ontario, have been misled upon by false loyalty cries. Thus, politicians have misrepresented Sir Wilfrid Laurier's position, with regard to British connection, and the very party to raise the loyalty cry has itself, during the present war, demonstrated lack of kinship for the 1916 increase of the Dominion tariff. Unfortunately for Nationalist progress in Canada, people, particularly in Ontario, have been misled upon by false loyalty cries. Thus, politicians have misrepresented Sir Wilfrid Laurier's position, with regard to British connection, and the very party to raise the loyalty cry has itself, during the present war, demonstrated lack of kinship for the 1916 increase of the Dominion tariff. Unfortunately for Nationalist progress in Canada, people, particularly in Ontario, have been misled upon by false loyalty cries. Thus, politicians have misrepresented Sir Wilfrid Laurier's position, with regard to British connection, and the very party to raise the loyalty cry has itself, during the present war, demonstrated lack of kinship for the 1916 increase of the Dominion tariff. Unfortunately for Nationalist progress in Canada, people, particularly in Ontario, have been misled upon by false loyalty cries. Thus, politicians have misrepresented Sir Wilfrid Laurier's position, with regard to British connection, and the very party to raise the loyalty cry has itself, during the present war, demonstrated lack of kinship for the 1916 increase of the Dominion tariff. Unfortunately for Nationalist progress in Canada, people, particularly in Ontario, have been misled upon by false loyalty cries. Thus, politicians have misrepresented Sir Wilfrid Laurier's position, with regard to British connection, and the very party to raise the loyalty cry has itself, during the present war, demonstrated lack of kinship for the 1916 increase of the Dominion tariff. Unfortunately for Nationalist progress in Canada, people, particularly in Ontario, have been misled upon by false loyalty cries. Thus, politicians have misrepresented Sir Wilfrid Laurier's position, with regard to British connection, and the very party to raise the loyalty cry has itself, during the present war, demonstrated lack of kinship for the 1916 increase of the Dominion tariff. Unfortunately for Nationalist progress in Canada, people, particularly in Ontario, have been misled upon by false loyalty cries. Thus, politicians have misrepresented Sir Wilfrid Laurier's position, with regard to British connection, and the very party to raise the loyalty cry has itself, during the present war, demonstrated lack of kinship for the 1916 increase of the Dominion tariff. Unfortunately for Nationalist progress in Canada, people, particularly in Ontario, have been misled upon by false loyalty cries. Thus, politicians have misrepresented Sir Wilfrid Laurier's position, with regard to British connection, and the very party to raise the loyalty cry has itself, during the present war, demonstrated lack of kinship for the 1916 increase of the Dominion tariff. Unfortunately for Nationalist progress in Canada, people, particularly in Ontario, have been misled upon by false loyalty cries. Thus, politicians have misrepresented Sir Wilfrid Laurier's position, with regard to British connection, and the very party to raise the loyalty cry has itself, during the present war, demonstrated lack of kinship for the 1916 increase of the Dominion tariff. Unfortunately for Nationalist progress in Canada, people, particularly in Ontario, have been misled upon by false loyalty cries. Thus, politicians have misrepresented Sir Wilfrid Laurier's position, with regard to British connection, and the very party to raise the loyalty cry has itself, during the present war, demonstrated lack of kinship for the 1916 increase of the Dominion tariff. Unfortunately for Nationalist progress in Canada, people, particularly in Ontario, have been misled upon by false loyalty cries. Thus, politicians have misrepresented Sir Wilfrid Laurier's position, with regard to British connection, and the very party to raise the loyalty cry has itself, during the present war, demonstrated lack of kinship for the 1916 increase of the Dominion tariff. Unfortunately for Nationalist progress in Canada, people, particularly in Ontario, have been misled upon by false loyalty cries. Thus, politicians have misrepresented Sir Wilfrid Laurier's position, with regard to British connection, and the very party to raise the loyalty cry has itself, during the present war, demonstrated lack of kinship for the 1916 increase of the Dominion tariff. Unfortunately for Nationalist progress in Canada, people, particularly in Ontario, have been misled upon by false loyalty cries. Thus, politicians have misrepresented Sir Wilfrid Laurier's position, with regard to British connection, and the very party to raise the loyalty cry has itself, during the present war, demonstrated lack of kinship for the 1916 increase of the Dominion tariff. Unfortunately for Nationalist progress in Canada, people, particularly in Ontario, have been misled upon by false loyalty cries. Thus, politicians have misrepresented Sir Wilfrid Laurier's position, with regard to British connection, and the very party to raise the loyalty cry has itself, during the present war, demonstrated lack of kinship for the 1916 increase of the Dominion tariff. Unfortunately for Nationalist progress in Canada, people, particularly in Ontario, have been misled upon by false loyalty cries. Thus, politicians have misrepresented Sir Wilfrid Laurier's position, with regard to British connection, and the very party to raise the loyalty cry has itself, during the present war, demonstrated lack of kinship for the 1916 increase of the Dominion tariff. Unfortunately for Nationalist progress in Canada, people, particularly in Ontario, have been misled upon by false loyalty cries. Thus, politicians have misrepresented Sir Wilfrid Laurier's position, with regard to British connection, and the very party to raise the loyalty cry has itself, during the present war, demonstrated lack of kinship for the 1916 increase of the Dominion tariff. Unfortunately for Nationalist progress in Canada, people, particularly in Ontario, have been misled upon by false loyalty cries. Thus, politicians have misrepresented Sir Wilfrid Laurier's position, with regard to British connection, and the very party to raise the loyalty cry has itself, during the present war, demonstrated lack of kinship for the 1916 increase of the Dominion tariff. Unfortunately for Nationalist progress in Canada, people, particularly in Ontario, have been misled upon by false loyalty cries. Thus, politicians have misrepresented Sir Wilfrid Laurier's position, with regard to British connection, and the very party to raise the loyalty cry has itself, during the present war, demonstrated lack of kinship for the 1916 increase of the Dominion tariff. Unfortunately for Nationalist progress in Canada, people, particularly in Ontario, have been misled upon by false loyalty cries. Thus, politicians have misrepresented Sir Wilfrid Laurier's position, with regard to British connection, and the very party to raise the loyalty cry has itself, during the present war, demonstrated lack of kinship for the 1916 increase of the Dominion tariff. Unfortunately for Nationalist progress in Canada, people, particularly in Ontario, have been misled upon by false loyalty cries. Thus, politicians have misrepresented Sir Wilfrid Laurier's position, with regard to British connection, and the very party to raise the loyalty cry has itself, during the present war, demonstrated lack of kinship for the 1916 increase of the Dominion tariff. Unfortunately for Nationalist progress in Canada, people, particularly in Ontario, have been misled upon by false loyalty cries. Thus, politicians have misrepresented Sir Wilfrid Laurier's position, with regard to British connection, and the very party to raise the loyalty cry has itself, during the present war, demonstrated lack of kinship for the 1916 increase of the Dominion tariff. Unfortunately for Nationalist progress in Canada, people, particularly in Ontario, have been misled upon by false loyalty cries. Thus, politicians have misrepresented Sir Wilfrid Laurier's position, with regard to British connection, and the very party to raise the loyalty cry has itself, during the present war, demonstrated lack of kinship for the 1916 increase of the Dominion tariff. Unfortunately for Nationalist progress in Canada, people, particularly in Ontario, have been misled upon by false loyalty cries. Thus, politicians have misrepresented Sir Wilfrid Laurier's position, with regard to British connection, and the very party to raise the loyalty cry has itself, during the present war, demonstrated lack of kinship for the 1916 increase of the Dominion tariff. Unfortunately for Nationalist progress in Canada, people, particularly in Ontario, have been misled upon by false loyalty cries. Thus, politicians have misrepresented Sir Wilfrid Laurier's position, with regard to British connection, and the very party to raise the loyalty cry has itself, during the present war, demonstrated lack of kinship for the 1916 increase of the Dominion tariff. Unfortunately for Nationalist progress in Canada, people, particularly in Ontario, have been misled upon by false loyalty cries. Thus, politicians have misrepresented Sir Wilfrid Laurier's position, with regard to British connection, and the very party to raise the loyalty cry has itself, during the present war, demonstrated lack of kinship for the 1916 increase of the Dominion tariff. Unfortunately for Nationalist progress in Canada, people, particularly in Ontario, have been misled upon by false loyalty cries. Thus, politicians have misrepresented Sir Wilfrid Laurier's position, with regard to British connection, and the very party to raise the loyalty cry has itself, during the present war, demonstrated lack of kinship for the 1916 increase of the Dominion tariff. Unfortunately for Nationalist progress in Canada, people, particularly in Ontario, have been misled upon by false loyalty cries. Thus, politicians have misrepresented Sir Wilfrid Laurier's position, with regard to British connection, and the very party to raise the loyalty cry has itself, during the present war, demonstrated lack of kinship for the 1916 increase of the Dominion tariff. Unfortunately for Nationalist progress in Canada, people, particularly in Ontario, have been misled upon by false loyalty cries. Thus, politicians have misrepresented Sir Wilfrid Laurier's position, with regard to British connection, and the very party to raise the loyalty cry has itself, during the present war, demonstrated lack of kinship for the 1916 increase of the Dominion tariff. Unfortunately for Nationalist progress in Canada, people, particularly in Ontario, have been misled upon by false loyalty cries. Thus, politicians have misrepresented Sir Wilfrid Laurier's position, with regard to British connection, and the very party to raise the loyalty cry has itself, during the present war, demonstrated lack of kinship for the 1916 increase of the Dominion tariff. Unfortunately for Nationalist progress in Canada, people, particularly in Ontario, have been misled upon by false loyalty cries. Thus, politicians have misrepresented Sir Wilfrid Laurier's position, with regard to British connection, and the very party to raise the loyalty cry has itself, during the present war, demonstrated lack of kinship for the 1916 increase of the Dominion tariff. Unfortunately for Nationalist progress in Canada, people, particularly in Ontario, have been misled upon by false loyalty cries. Thus, politicians have misrepresented Sir Wilfrid Laurier's position, with regard to British connection, and the very party to raise the loyalty cry has itself, during the present war, demonstrated lack of kinship for the 1916 increase of the Dominion tariff. Unfortunately for Nationalist progress in Canada, people, particularly in Ontario, have been misled upon by false loyalty cries. Thus, politicians have misrepresented Sir Wilfrid Laurier's position, with regard to British connection, and the very party to raise the loyalty cry has itself, during the present war, demonstrated lack of kinship for the 1916 increase of the Dominion tariff. Unfortunately for Nationalist progress in Canada, people, particularly in Ontario, have been misled upon by false loyalty cries. Thus, politicians have misrepresented Sir Wilfrid Laurier's position, with regard to British connection, and the very party to raise the loyalty cry has itself, during the present war, demonstrated lack of kinship for the 1916 increase of the Dominion tariff. Unfortunately for Nationalist progress in Canada, people, particularly in Ontario, have been misled upon by false loyalty cries. Thus, politicians have misrepresented Sir Wilfrid Laurier's position, with regard to British connection, and the very party to raise the loyalty cry has itself, during the present war, demonstrated lack of kinship for the 1916 increase of the Dominion tariff. Unfortunately for Nationalist progress in Canada, people, particularly in Ontario, have been misled upon by false loyalty cries. Thus, politicians have misrepresented Sir Wilfrid Laurier's position, with regard to British connection, and the very party to raise the loyalty cry has itself, during the present war, demonstrated lack of kinship for the 1916 increase of the Dominion tariff. Unfortunately for Nationalist progress in Canada, people, particularly in Ontario, have been misled upon by false loyalty cries. Thus, politicians have misrepresented Sir Wilfrid Laurier's position, with regard to British connection, and the very party to raise the loyalty cry has itself, during the present war, demonstrated lack of kinship for the 1916 increase of the Dominion tariff. Unfortunately for Nationalist progress in Canada, people, particularly in Ontario, have been misled upon by false loyalty cries. Thus, politicians have misrepresented Sir Wilfrid Laurier's position, with regard to British connection, and the very party to raise the loyalty cry has itself, during the present war, demonstrated lack of kinship for the 1916 increase of the Dominion tariff. Unfortunately for Nationalist progress in Canada, people, particularly in Ontario, have been misled upon by false loyalty cries. Thus, politicians have misrepresented Sir Wilfrid Laurier's position, with regard to British connection, and the very party to raise the loyalty cry has itself, during the present war, demonstrated lack of kinship for the 1916 increase of the Dominion tariff. Unfortunately for Nationalist progress in Canada, people, particularly in Ontario, have been misled upon by false loyalty cries. Thus, politicians have misrepresented Sir Wilfrid Laurier's position, with regard to British connection, and the very party to raise the loyalty cry has itself, during the present war, demonstrated lack of kinship for the 1916 increase of the Dominion tariff. Unfortunately for Nationalist progress in Canada, people, particularly in Ontario, have been misled upon by false loyalty cries. Thus, politicians have misrepresented Sir Wilfrid Laurier's position, with regard to British connection, and the very party to raise the loyalty cry has itself, during the present war, demonstrated lack of kinship for the 1916 increase of the Dominion tariff. Unfortunately for Nationalist progress in Canada, people, particularly in Ontario, have been misled upon by false loyalty cries. Thus, politicians have misrepresented Sir Wilfrid Laurier's position, with regard to British connection, and the very party to raise the loyalty cry has itself, during the present war, demonstrated lack of kinship for the 1916 increase of the Dominion tariff. Unfortunately for Nationalist progress in Canada, people, particularly in Ontario, have been misled upon by false loyalty cries. Thus, politicians have misrepresented Sir Wilfrid Laurier's position, with regard to British connection, and the very party to raise the loyalty cry has itself, during the present war, demonstrated lack of kinship for the 1916 increase of the Dominion tariff. Unfortunately for Nationalist progress in Canada, people, particularly in Ontario, have been misled upon by false loyalty cries. Thus, politicians have misrepresented Sir Wilfrid Laurier's position, with regard to British connection, and the very party to raise the loyalty cry has itself, during the present war, demonstrated lack of kinship for the 1916 increase of the Dominion tariff. Unfortunately for Nationalist progress in Canada, people, particularly in Ontario, have been misled upon by false loyalty cries. Thus, politicians have misrepresented Sir Wilfrid Laurier's position, with regard to British connection, and the very party to raise the loyalty cry has itself, during the present war, demonstrated lack of kinship for the 1916 increase of the Dominion tariff. Unfortunately for Nationalist progress in Canada, people, particularly in Ontario, have been misled upon by false loyalty cries. Thus, politicians have misrepresented Sir Wilfrid Laurier's position, with regard to British connection, and the very party to raise the loyalty cry has itself, during the present war, demonstrated lack of kinship for the 1916 increase of the Dominion tariff. Unfortunately for Nationalist progress in Canada, people, particularly in Ontario, have been misled upon by false loyalty cries. Thus, politicians have misrepresented Sir Wilfrid Laurier's position, with regard to British connection, and the very party to raise the loyalty cry has itself, during the present war, demonstrated lack of kinship for the 1916 increase of the Dominion tariff. Unfortunately for Nationalist progress in Canada, people, particularly in Ontario, have been misled upon by false loyalty cries. Thus, politicians have misrepresented Sir Wilfrid Laurier's position, with regard to British connection, and the very party to raise the loyalty cry has itself, during the present war, demonstrated lack of kinship for the 1916 increase of the Dominion tariff. Unfortunately for Nationalist progress in Canada, people, particularly in Ontario, have been misled upon by false loyalty cries. Thus, politicians have misrepresented Sir Wilfrid Laurier's position, with regard to British connection, and the very party to raise the loyalty cry has itself, during the present war, demonstrated lack of kinship for the 1916 increase of the Dominion tariff. Unfortunately for Nationalist progress in Canada, people, particularly in Ontario, have been misled upon by false loyalty cries. Thus, politicians have misrepresented Sir Wilfrid Laurier's position, with regard to British connection, and the very party to raise the loyalty cry has itself, during the present war, demonstrated lack of kinship for the 1916 increase of the Dominion tariff. Unfortunately for Nationalist progress in Canada, people, particularly in Ontario, have been misled upon by false loyalty cries. Thus, politicians have misrepresented Sir Wilfrid Laurier's position, with regard to British connection, and the very party to raise the loyalty cry has itself, during the present war, demonstrated lack of kinship for the 1916 increase of the Dominion tariff. Unfortunately for Nationalist progress in Canada, people, particularly in Ontario, have been misled upon by false loyalty cries. Thus, politicians have misrepresented Sir Wilfrid Laurier's position, with regard to British connection, and the very party to raise the loyalty cry has itself, during the present war, demonstrated lack of kinship for the 1916 increase of the Dominion tariff. Unfortunately for Nationalist progress in Canada, people, particularly in Ontario, have been misled upon by false loyalty cries. Thus, politicians have misrepresented Sir Wilfrid Laurier's position, with regard to British connection, and the very party to raise the loyalty cry has itself, during the present war, demonstrated lack of kinship for the 1916 increase of the Dominion tariff. Unfortunately for Nationalist progress in Canada, people, particularly in Ontario, have been misled upon by false loyalty cries. Thus, politicians have misrepresented Sir Wilfrid Laurier's position, with regard to British connection, and the very party to raise the loyalty cry has itself, during the present war, demonstrated lack of kinship for the 1916 increase of the Dominion tariff. Unfortunately for Nationalist progress in Canada, people, particularly in Ontario, have been misled upon by false loyalty cries. Thus, politicians have misrepresented Sir Wilfrid Laurier's position, with regard to British connection, and the very party to raise the loyalty cry has itself, during the present war, demonstrated lack of kinship for the 1916 increase of the Dominion tariff. Unfortunately for Nationalist progress in Canada, people, particularly in Ontario, have been misled upon by false loyalty cries. Thus, politicians have misrepresented Sir Wilfrid Laurier's position, with regard to British connection, and the very party to raise the loyalty cry has itself, during the present war, demonstrated lack of kinship for the 1916 increase of the Dominion tariff. Unfortunately for Nationalist progress in Canada, people, particularly in Ontario, have been misled upon by false loyalty cries. Thus, politicians have misrepresented Sir Wilfrid Laurier's position, with regard to British connection, and the very party to raise the loyalty cry has itself, during the present war, demonstrated lack of kinship for the 1916 increase of the Dominion tariff. Unfortunately for Nationalist progress in Canada, people, particularly in Ontario, have been misled upon by false loyalty cries. Thus, politicians have misrepresented Sir Wilfrid Laurier's position, with regard to British connection, and the very party to raise the loyalty cry has itself, during the present war, demonstrated lack of kinship for the 1916 increase of the Dominion tariff. Unfortunately for Nationalist progress in Canada, people, particularly in Ontario, have been misled upon by false loyalty cries. Thus, politicians have misrepresented Sir Wilfrid Laurier's position, with regard to British connection, and the very party to raise the loyalty cry has itself, during the present war, demonstrated lack of kinship for the 1916 increase of the Dominion tariff. Unfortunately for Nationalist progress in Canada, people, particularly in Ontario, have been misled upon by false loyalty cries. Thus, politicians have misrepresented Sir Wilfrid Laurier's position, with regard to British connection, and the very party to raise the loyalty cry has itself, during the present war, demonstrated lack of kinship for the 1916 increase of the Dominion tariff. Unfortunately for Nationalist progress in Canada, people, particularly in Ontario, have been misled upon by false loyalty cries. Thus, politicians have misrepresented Sir Wilfrid Laurier's position, with regard to British connection, and the very party to raise the loyalty cry has itself, during the present war, demonstrated lack of kinship for the 1916 increase of the Dominion tariff. Unfortunately for Nationalist progress in Canada, people, particularly in Ontario, have been misled upon by false loyalty cries. Thus, politicians have misrepresented Sir Wilfrid Laurier's position, with regard to British connection, and the very party to raise the loyalty cry has itself, during the present war, demonstrated lack of kinship for the 1916 increase of the Dominion tariff. Unfortunately for Nationalist progress in Canada, people, particularly in Ontario, have been misled upon by false loyalty cries. Thus, politicians have misrepresented Sir Wilfrid Laurier's position, with regard to British connection, and the very party to raise the loyalty cry has itself, during the present war, demonstrated lack of kinship for the 1916 increase of the Dominion tariff. Unfortunately for Nationalist progress in Canada, people, particularly in Ontario, have been misled upon by false loyalty cries. Thus, politicians have misrepresented Sir Wilfrid Laurier's position, with regard to British connection, and the very party to raise the loyalty cry has itself, during the present war, demonstrated lack of kinship for the 1916 increase of the Dominion tariff. Unfortunately for Nationalist progress in Canada, people, particularly in Ontario, have been misled upon by false loyalty cries. Thus, politicians have misrepresented Sir Wilfrid Laurier's position, with regard to British connection, and the very party to raise the loyalty cry has itself, during the present war, demonstrated lack of kinship for the 1916 increase of the Dominion tariff. Unfortunately for Nationalist progress in Canada, people, particularly in Ontario, have been misled upon by false loyalty cries. Thus, politicians have misrepresented Sir Wilfrid Laurier's position, with regard to British connection, and the very party to raise the loyalty cry has itself, during the present war, demonstrated lack of kinship for the 1916 increase of the Dominion tariff. Unfortunately for Nationalist progress in Canada, people, particularly in Ontario, have been misled upon by false loyalty cries. Thus, politicians have misrepresented Sir Wilfrid Laurier's position, with regard to British connection, and the very party to raise the loyalty cry has itself, during the present war, demonstrated lack of kinship for the 1916 increase of the Dominion tariff. Unfortunately for Nationalist progress in Canada, people, particularly in Ontario, have been misled upon by false loyalty cries. Thus, politicians have misrepresented Sir Wilfrid Laurier's position, with regard to British connection, and the very party to raise the loyalty cry has itself, during the present war, demonstrated lack of kinship for the 1916 increase of the Dominion tariff. Unfortunately for Nationalist progress in Canada, people, particularly in Ontario, have been misled upon by false loyalty cries. Thus, politicians have misrepresented Sir Wilfrid Laurier's position, with regard to British connection, and the very party to raise the loyalty cry has itself, during the present war, demonstrated lack of kinship for the 1916 increase of the Dominion tariff. Unfortunately for Nationalist progress in Canada, people, particularly in Ontario, have been misled upon by false loyalty cries. Thus, politicians have misrepresented Sir Wilfrid Laurier's position, with regard to British connection, and the very party to raise the loyalty cry has itself, during the present war, demonstrated lack of kinship for the 1916 increase of the Dominion tariff. Unfortunately for Nationalist progress in Canada, people, particularly in Ontario, have been misled upon by false loyalty cries. Thus, politicians have misrepresented Sir Wilfrid Laurier's position, with regard to British connection, and the very party to raise the loyalty cry has itself, during the present war, demonstrated lack of kinship for the 1916 increase of the Dominion tariff. Unfortunately for Nationalist progress in Canada, people, particularly in Ontario, have been misled upon by false loyalty cries. Thus, politicians have misrepresented Sir Wilfrid Laurier's position, with regard to British connection, and the very party to raise the loyalty cry has itself, during the present war, demonstrated lack of kinship for the 1916 increase of the Dominion tariff. Unfortunately for Nationalist progress in Canada, people, particularly in Ontario, have been misled upon by false loyalty cries. Thus, politicians have misrepresented Sir Wilfrid Laurier's position, with regard to British connection, and the very party to raise the loyalty cry has itself, during the present war, demonstrated lack of kinship for the 1916 increase of the Dominion tariff. Unfortunately for Nationalist progress in Canada, people, particularly in Ontario, have been misled upon by false loyalty cries. Thus, politicians have misrepresented Sir Wilfrid Laurier's position, with regard to British connection, and the very party to raise the loyalty cry has itself, during the present war, demonstrated lack of kinship for the 1916 increase of the Dominion tariff. Unfortunately for Nationalist progress in Canada, people, particularly in Ontario, have been misled upon by false loyalty cries. Thus, politicians have misrepresented Sir Wilfrid Laurier's position, with regard to British connection, and the very party to raise the loyalty cry has itself, during the present war, demonstrated lack of kinship for the 1916 increase of the Dominion tariff. Unfortunately for Nationalist progress in Canada, people, particularly in Ontario, have been misled upon by false loyalty cries. Thus, politicians have misrepresented Sir Wilfrid Laurier's position, with regard to British connection, and the very party to raise the loyalty cry has itself, during the present war, demonstrated lack of kinship for the 1916 increase of the Dominion tariff. Unfortunately for Nationalist progress in Canada, people, particularly in Ontario, have been misled upon by false loyalty cries. Thus, politicians have misrepresented Sir Wilfrid Laurier's position, with regard to British connection, and the very party to raise the loyalty cry has itself, during the present war, demonstrated lack of kinship for the 1916 increase of the Dominion tariff. Unfortunately for Nationalist progress in Canada, people, particularly in Ontario, have been misled upon by false loyalty cries. Thus, politicians have misrepresented Sir Wilfrid Laurier's position, with regard to British connection, and the very party to raise the loyalty cry has itself, during the present war, demonstrated lack of kinship for the 1916 increase of the Dominion tariff. Unfortunately for Nationalist progress in Canada, people, particularly in Ontario, have been misled upon by false loyalty cries. Thus, politicians have misrepresented Sir Wilfrid Laurier's position, with regard to British connection, and the very party to raise the loyalty cry has itself, during the present war, demonstrated lack of kinship for the 1916 increase of the Dominion tariff. Unfortunately for Nationalist progress in Canada, people, particularly in Ontario, have been misled upon by false loyalty cries. Thus, politicians have misrepresented Sir Wilfrid Laurier's position, with regard to British connection, and the very party to raise the loyalty cry has itself, during the present war, demonstrated lack of kinship for the 1916 increase of the Dominion tariff. Unfortunately for Nationalist progress in Canada, people, particularly in Ontario, have been misled upon by false loyalty cries. Thus, politicians have misrepresented Sir Wilfrid Laurier's position, with regard to British connection, and the very party to raise the loyalty cry has itself, during the present war, demonstrated lack of kinship for the 1916 increase of the Dominion tariff. Unfortunately for Nationalist progress in Canada, people, particularly in Ontario, have been misled upon by false loyalty cries. Thus, politicians have misrepresented Sir Wilfrid Laurier's position, with regard to British connection, and the very party to raise the loyalty cry has itself, during the present war, demonstrated lack of kinship for the 1916 increase of the Dominion tariff. Unfortunately for Nationalist progress in Canada, people, particularly in Ontario, have been misled upon by false loyalty cries. Thus, politicians have misrepresented Sir Wilfrid Laurier's position, with regard to British connection, and the very party to raise the loyalty cry has itself, during the present war, demonstrated lack of kinship for the 1916 increase of the Dominion tariff. Unfortunately for Nationalist progress in Canada, people, particularly in Ontario, have been misled upon by false loyalty cries. Thus, politicians have misrepresented Sir Wilfrid Laurier's position, with regard to British connection, and the very party to raise the loyalty cry has itself, during the present war, demonstrated lack of kinship for the 1916 increase of the Dominion tariff. Unfortunately for Nationalist progress in Canada, people, particularly in Ontario, have been misled upon by false loyalty cries. Thus, politicians have misrepresented Sir Wilfrid Laurier's position, with regard to British connection, and the very party to raise the loyalty cry has itself, during the present war, demonstrated lack of kinship for the 1916 increase of the Dominion tariff. Unfortunately for Nationalist progress in Canada, people, particularly in Ontario, have been misled upon by false loyalty cries. Thus, politicians have misrepresented Sir Wilfrid Laurier's position, with regard to British connection, and the very party to raise the loyalty cry has itself, during the present war, demonstrated lack of kinship for the 1916 increase of the Dominion tariff. Unfortunately for Nationalist progress in Canada, people, particularly in Ontario, have been misled upon by false loyalty cries. Thus, politicians have misrepresented Sir Wilfrid Laurier's position, with regard to British connection, and the very party to raise the loyalty cry has itself, during the present war, demonstrated lack of kinship for the 1916 increase of the Dominion tariff. Unfortunately for Nationalist progress in Canada, people, particularly in Ontario, have been misled upon by false loyalty cries. Thus, politicians have misrepresented Sir Wilfrid Laurier's position, with regard to British connection, and the very party to raise the loyalty cry has itself, during the present war, demonstrated lack of kinship for the 1916 increase of the Dominion tariff. Unfortunately for Nationalist progress in Canada, people, particularly in Ontario, have been misled upon by false loyalty cries. Thus, politicians have misrepresented Sir Wilfrid Laurier's position, with regard to British connection, and the very party to raise the loyalty cry has itself, during the present war, demonstrated lack of kinship for the 1916 increase of the Dominion tariff. Unfortunately for Nationalist progress in Canada, people, particularly in Ontario, have been misled upon by false loyalty cries. Thus, politicians have misrepresented Sir Wilfrid Laurier's position, with regard to British connection, and the very party to raise the loyalty cry has itself, during the present war, demonstrated lack of kinship for the 1916 increase of the Dominion tariff. Unfortunately for Nationalist progress in Canada, people, particularly in Ontario, have been misled upon by false loyalty cries. Thus, politicians have misrepresented Sir Wilfrid Laurier's position, with regard to British connection, and the very party to raise the loyalty cry has itself, during the present war, demonstrated lack of kinship for the 1916 increase of the Dominion tariff. Unfortunately for Nationalist progress in Canada, people, particularly in Ontario, have been misled upon by false loyalty cries. Thus, politicians have misrepresented Sir Wilfrid Laurier's position, with regard to British connection, and the very party to raise the loyalty cry has itself, during the present war, demonstrated lack of kinship for the 1916 increase of the Dominion tariff. Unfortunately for Nationalist progress in Canada, people, particularly in Ontario, have been misled upon by false loyalty cries. Thus, politicians have misrepresented Sir Wilfrid Laurier's position, with regard to British connection, and the very party to raise the loyalty cry has itself, during the present war, demonstrated lack of kinship for the 1916 increase of the Dominion tariff. Unfortunately for Nationalist progress in Canada, people, particularly in Ontario, have been misled upon by false loyalty cries. Thus, politicians have misrepresented Sir Wilfrid Laurier's position, with regard to British connection, and the very party to raise the loyalty cry has itself, during the present war, demonstrated lack of kinship for the 1916 increase of the Dominion tariff. Unfortunately for Nationalist progress in Canada, people, particularly in Ontario, have been misled upon by false loyalty cries. Thus, politicians have misrepresented Sir Wilfrid Laurier's position, with regard to British connection, and the very party to raise the loyalty cry has itself, during the present war, demonstrated lack of kinship for the 1916 increase of the Dominion tariff. Unfortunately for Nationalist progress in Canada, people, particularly in Ontario, have been misled upon by false loyalty cries. Thus, politicians have misrepresented Sir Wilfrid Laurier's position, with regard to British connection, and the very party to raise the loyalty cry has itself, during the present war, demonstrated lack of kinship for the 1916 increase of the Dominion tariff. Unfortunately for Nationalist progress in Canada, people, particularly in Ontario, have been misled upon by false loyalty cries. Thus, politicians have misrepresented Sir Wilfrid Laurier's position, with regard to British connection, and the very party to raise the loyalty cry has itself, during the present war, demonstrated lack of kinship for the 1916 increase of the Dominion tariff. Unfortunately for Nationalist progress in Canada, people, particularly in Ontario, have been misled upon by false loyalty cries. Thus, politicians have misrepresented Sir Wilfrid Laurier's position, with regard to British connection, and the very party to raise the loyalty cry has itself, during the present war, demonstrated lack of kinship for the 1916 increase of the Dominion tariff. Unfortunately for Nationalist progress in Canada, people, particularly in Ontario, have been misled upon by false loyalty cries. Thus, politicians have misrepresented Sir Wilfrid Laurier's position, with regard to British connection, and the very party to raise the loyalty cry has itself, during the present war, demonstrated lack of kinship for the 1916 increase of the Dominion tariff. Unfortunately for Nationalist progress in Canada, people, particularly in Ontario, have been misled upon by false loyalty cries. Thus, politicians have misrepresented Sir Wilfrid Laurier's position, with regard to British connection, and the very party to raise the loyalty cry has itself, during the present war, demonstrated lack of kinship for the 1916 increase of the Dominion tariff. Unfortunately for Nationalist progress in Canada, people, particularly in Ontario, have been misled upon by false loyalty cries. Thus, politicians have misrepresented Sir Wilfrid Laurier's position, with regard to British connection, and the very party to raise the loyalty cry has itself, during the present war, demonstrated lack of kinship for the 1916 increase of the Dominion tariff. Unfortunately for Nationalist progress in Canada, people, particularly in Ontario, have been misled upon by false loyalty cries. Thus, politicians have misrepresented Sir Wilfrid Laurier's position, with regard to British connection, and the very party to raise the loyalty cry has itself, during the present war, demonstrated lack of kinship for the 1916 increase of the Dominion tariff. Unfortunately for Nationalist progress in Canada, people, particularly in Ontario, have been misled upon by false loyalty cries. Thus, politicians have misrepresented Sir Wilfrid Laurier's position, with regard to British connection, and the very party to raise the loyalty cry has itself, during the present war, demonstrated lack of kinship for the 1916 increase of the Dominion tariff. Unfortunately for Nationalist progress in Canada, people, particularly in Ontario, have been misled upon by false loyalty cries. Thus, politicians have misrepresented Sir Wilfrid Laurier's position, with regard to British connection, and the very party to raise the loyalty cry has itself, during the present war, demonstrated lack of kinship for the 1916 increase of the Dominion tariff. Unfortunately for Nationalist progress in Canada, people, particularly in Ontario, have been misled upon by false loyalty cries. Thus, politicians have misrepresented Sir Wilfrid Laurier's position, with regard to British connection, and the very party to raise the loyalty cry has itself, during the present war, demonstrated lack of kinship for the 1916 increase of the Dominion tariff. Unfortunately for Nationalist progress in Canada, people, particularly in Ontario, have been misled upon by false loyalty cries. Thus, politicians have misrepresented Sir Wilfrid Laurier's position, with regard to British connection, and the very party to raise the loyalty cry has itself, during the present war, demonstrated lack of kinship for the 1916 increase of the Dominion tariff. Unfortunately for Nationalist progress in Canada, people, particularly in Ontario, have been misled upon by false loyalty cries. Thus, politicians have misrepresented Sir Wilfrid Laurier's position, with regard to British connection, and the very party to raise the loyalty cry has itself, during the present war, demonstrated lack of kinship for the 1916 increase of the Dominion tariff. Unfortunately for Nationalist progress in Canada, people, particularly in Ontario, have been misled upon by false loyalty cries. Thus, politicians have misrepresented Sir Wilfrid Laurier's position, with regard to British connection, and the very party to raise the loyalty cry has itself, during the present war, demonstrated lack of kinship for the 1916 increase of the Dominion tariff. Unfortunately for Nationalist progress in Canada, people, particularly in Ontario, have been misled upon by false loyalty cries. Thus, politicians have misrepresented Sir Wilfrid Laurier's position, with regard to British connection, and the very party to raise the loyalty cry has itself, during the present war, demonstrated lack of kinship for the 1916 increase of the Dominion tariff. Unfortunately for Nationalist progress in Canada, people, particularly in Ontario, have been misled upon by false loyalty cries. Thus, politicians have misrepresented Sir Wilfrid Laurier's position, with regard to British connection, and the very party to raise the loyalty cry has itself, during the present war, demonstrated lack