

be to that of their Sovereign, and therefore it is the first act of the Parliament, upon every new accession, to vote a sum commensurate with these various services, in order to render the King independant for life, and thereby to enable him to perform *with independence*, the important functions which appertain to his high Office in in the State.

But, you Sir, would deprive his Majesty of all these advantages in Canada, you would reduce his representative there, to depend upon the annual vote of the Assembly for the supply of his personal wants, and would render all those Officers who should look to him with respect and deference, and who should be responsible to him as well as to you for the faithful discharge of their respective duties, to a servile dependence upon any set of Demagogues who might become the guiders of the popular feelings of the day.

Let it not be supposed, Sir, that the person who addresses these observations to you, is an advocate for passive obedience or unlimited power. I would wield my pen, and if reduced to the necessity, I trust my sword also in defence of the Constitutional rights of an English subject, whether they were violated by the Despot or the Demagogue; but in the present case, I feel that the latter is the assailant, and I will, in behalf of myself and of my fellow subjects, resist him with all my might.

Away, Sir, with the pretensions of loyalty which you and your colleagues hold out in your Manifesto. The loyalty of our English subjects consist not in empty declarations. We look for deeds, not words. If you really think it would be conducive to the public interest, to deprive the executive of its constitutional authority and influence, and to vest it in the representative Branch; honestly declare yourself a Democrat, and let your constituents decide whether they concur in your political sentiments, but if you pretend, Sir, to be a loyal subject