

Inquiries of the Ministry

4. What is the function of each of these subsidiaries?

5. Are these subsidiaries subject to municipal, provincial and federal taxation?

6. Who approves the operating and capital budgets of proprietary corporations?

7. If a proprietary corporation wishes to establish a subsidiary or acquire shares, what authority is required?

Return tabled.

ESTABLISHMENT OF FOUNDRY IN QUEBEC

Question No. 445—**Mr. Hamilton:**

1. Has any member of the government or any government department or agency had any correspondence since May, 1963, with Becnet Foundries or Becnet Industries of Quebec concerning the planning, development or financing of a new foundry?

2. Has any member of the government or any government department or agency had any correspondence since May, 1963, with Mr. James Doherty, Mr. Roy C. Bowes or Mr. Bernard Rider in respect to the planning, development or financing of a foundry in the province of Quebec?

3. Has any member of the government or any government department or agency had any correspondence with the government of Quebec, officials or agencies since May, 1963, in respect to the planning development or financing of a foundry in the province of Quebec?

4. Has any member of the government or any government department or agency had any correspondence with any member of the Quebec legislature since May, 1963, concerning the planning, development or financing of a foundry in the province of Quebec?

5. Has any member of the government or any department or agency had any correspondence since May, 1963, with the government of Czechoslovakia or the firm of Skoda of Czechoslovakia concerning the planning, development or financing of a foundry at Louiseville in the province of Quebec?

6. Did the premier of Quebec inform the federal government prior to June, 1966, that there would be a 200 ton a day foundry constructed at Louiseville, Quebec, to be completed by April, 1969?

7. Is Louiseville, Quebec, within a designated area?

8. Has the government of Canada or any of its agencies received any communications since May, 1963, from the United States government or United States corporations concerning a \$9.69 million contract to Skoda of Czechoslovakia to supply engineering services and plant equipment to a new foundry that was to be constructed at Louiseville, Quebec, with the financial support of the federal government and the government of Quebec?

Return tabled.

[Mr. Caouette.]

CANADIAN BROADCASTING CORPORATION

PRODUCTION OF EVIDENCE RESPECTING ALLEGED MISMANAGEMENT

On the orders of the day:

Hon. Michael Starr (Leader of the Opposition): I wish to direct a question to the Acting Prime Minister. I wish to ask the hon. gentleman whether the government has obtained from the Secretary of State the evidence of mismanagement within the C.B.C. to which the Secretary of State referred on Friday, and which she described as worse than the Glassco commission had referred to.

Hon. Paul Hellyer (Minister of Transport): I would be pleased to take this question as notice, unless the Secretary of State would like to add anything.

Hon. Judy V. LaMarsh (Secretary of State): As I told the house on Friday, it was my intention to give this information—not, indeed, second hand from me as I have had it from others, but to bring together those who gave me the information and the new management.

Mr. Starr: I would ask the Secretary of State whether she has given to the Prime Minister and to her other colleagues, the members of the government, the evidence of mismanagement to which she referred last Friday.

Some hon. Members: Answer.

Mr. Starr: I should like to know whether the government has been informed of the details of which the hon. lady is aware, or whether she is keeping this a secret.

Mr. Speaker: Order. The hon. member for Ontario is reiterating the question he asked in the first instance. It may not have been answered, but it is still a reiteration of the question he asked.

Miss LaMarsh: It was not answered simply because the hon. gentleman knows, having been a member of a cabinet, that it is not permitted to ask questions concerning conversations between privy councillors and their leader.

Mr. David Lewis (York South): Would the hon. lady give consideration to making a statement to the house indicating the general area in which the misconduct, or misbehaviour, or whatever it may have been, occurred? Without necessarily specifying the people