

upon him increasingly of supervising the articles as they were sent in. The first volume was issued in 1875, the last in 1888. Professor Baynes died in 1887. From Professor Prince's remarks it would be gathered that Robertson Smith was responsible for little more than the last volume. The statement that Baynes "had charge of the work, without editorial colleague, until it was in every essential respect complete, and only failing health, due largely to the incessant labour of editing the gigantic Encyclopædia threw the subordinate task upon Professor Smith of seeing the last volumes through the press, correcting proof,"—that statement does not give a true picture. As a matter of fact, Robertson Smith was appointed joint editor in 1881, and each year after that the labour and the responsibility increased, until before Spencer Baynes's death he had complete control. Most of the correspondence of the latter years of the undertaking had been in Professor Smith's hands. Nay, we have it from my old friend, Sutherland Black, who writes with authority, seeing that for years he was second in command upon the editorial staff, that "in the thirteen volumes published between 1881 and 1888, there are few articles that do not bear directly or indirectly the impress of his powerful personality." And to these thirteen volumes he himself contributed no less than two hundred articles. It was but natural, not as Professor Prince implies, that Cambridge should seek to claim the credit for what belonged to St. Andrews, but that the contributors assembled at that dinner should associate Robertson Smith with the enterprise more vividly than they did the late Professor Baynes.

Lastly, I cannot conclude these reminiscences without referring to another striking trait in Robertson Smith's character. Samuel Johnson would have loved him. In fact, I think it evident that the great lexicographer behind a cloak of elephantine banter, loved Scots in general, only possibly it takes some Scots centuries to see the joke of it all. Certainly Smith was a good hater, hating, above all, those who, as he believed, with superficial knowledge made a