The forms of Arctia which I have described (and for the most part figured) as distinct species are: A. Saundersii, Persephone, Anna, Blakei, Nevadensis, Mexicana, Achaia, Michabo. A: suming that I am correct in my present paper, that Mr. Neumoegen has wingly identified Michabo, then these are all good species except Anna, which Mr. Neumoegen considers a black variety of my Persephone. In this, which has been before suggested, I believe the author is correct, since we have other black and vellow Arctians (and other moths) which vary in a similar fashion. Ι have never had sufficient material to determine the question; have never seen but one  $\mathcal{P}$  Persephone, which shared the ornamentation of the 3. Consequently Anna may be a 2 dimorphic variety. The stripes on fore wings are however narrower and paler also, as they seemed to me, hence Added to this, the form Anna was first discovered by me, my doubts. before I described the "ground form" Persephone. Other two forms of Arctia I have described, suspecting them at the time not to constitute distinct species, viz., Stretchii and Snowi. The first of these, differing only by the basal band of fore wings from Intermedia, is without doubt a variety, as Mr. Neumoegen treats it, and I was wrong in cataloguing it as distinct ; I do not know, however, the differences between Intermedia and Saundersii. He considers Snowi as distinct from Figurata or Decorata, and in the absence of further evidence this is the correct course to pursue. In the face of the fact that the larvæ are not all known, we should not be hasty in drawing in forms of Arctia, which yet may prove to be only If we have finally to separate these again, it makes unnecesvarieties. When two forms exhibit such differences as are usually sary confusion. of a specific character, we are warranted in treating them as such, until proof is forthcoming showing them to be mere varieties. Breeding from the egg is the only sure way. On p. 7, Bull. Br. Ent. Soc., Mr. Hulst brings together four species of Catocala, with the naive remark : "As may be imagined from the above, this (C. polygama) is a very variable species"! And this Mr. Hulst did in face of the fact that of two of the forms, C. polygama Guen., and C. cratacgi Saund., the larvæ were known and described quite differently.