brazen, brazier, bun, bur, catechize, chinera, clue, coeval, colter, criticize. eue, cutlas, deposit, Zolor, ecumenical, edile, eon, eponym, era. estivate, ether, etiology, fantasy, fervor, fulfil, gloze gram, hematin, hiccup bock, hypotenuse, instil maneuver, naturalize, ortnopedic, paleography' paleolithic, paleontology, paleozoic, patronize' pedobaptist, pigmy, prenomen, pretermit, prime' val, quartet, questor, quintet, recognize, savior simiter, splendor, succor, sumac, synouym, tabor'

Gram ( $151 / 3$ grains) looks too much like grain with dotles $i$, a difrence that might be serios in prescriptions.

Altogether, it is encuraging to find a great university thus wake up and come into line with other eforts, tho on a plane so lo as Preferd Spelings.

## DIFTHONGIZATION-NORMALIZATION.

Coknified and corupt difthongization of $\because, \bar{o}$, shud be discuraged. Eton's hedmaster protests. In north England it is herd only in afected fasionabl talk. I rote Dr Sweet about trying to stereotype ei, ou, by his own uzage, as wel as the farfetcht, incorect pedantries iy, uw, for I , u . His anser was only a sneer.

It is almost as important to regulate [i. e., normalize] pronunciation as speling. If each spel acording to his own pronumciation, we shal be soon as unable to comprehend one another as Chinese in difrent parts of their empire.
E. A. Phipson.
[Readers shud note two points: 1) Drs Skeat and Sweet, tho they apear to agree, uze ei, ou, difrently. Skeat says:
ei is long close $e$ [lhey], with slight after sound of i . o in no has the close sound.-Primor of Etymology: \& 18. So, Skeat's ei $=e^{1}, o u=\bar{\sigma}^{\prime \prime} ;$ Sweet's ei $=$ eĭ, ou $=$ oŭ. 2) For our i, ū, Skeat uzes (not iy, uw, at all, but) ii, un, meaning the same as our $i, \bar{u}$, as $i i, u u$, ar more convenient typorraficaly, tho les tru, than $\mathrm{I}, \overline{\mathrm{u}}$. Heraitd-Notation is simpler, truer, more potent and redy to express speech facts, than any other Roman one uzed. Jespersen, a foloer of Sweet, says onestly enuf:
difthongization of most long vowels (in ale eil, zehole houl, eel iyl, who huw) counteracts in some degree the neatnes and evennes of the vowels.-Growth and Structure of English, p. 3.
Ellis thruout barely recognizd difthongization of $\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{e}, \overline{\mathrm{o}}, \overline{\mathrm{u}}$, and, tho a Londoner, oposed. Murray and Skeat recognize but giv no favor. Sweet goes 'hole hog.' Skeat specialy comends (see our p. 142) "Italian vowels as tru and distinct [i. e., neither difthongized nor nasalized], and may wel be taken as the basis of any reformd system of speling.' ']

## ELLIS AND HIS WORK.

Alex. J. Ellis, hl.D., 1814-90, acomplisht much for Pronunciation, including Fonology (historic fonetics) and kindred Prosody, the laws of rythmic or musico-acoustic speech (verse) and song. Lak of space

forbids more than mention of this and what he rote theron.

In 18.59 he discoverd in the British Museum two treatises by W. Saleshary, ${ }^{\text { }}$ publisht 154\%, $1567,{ }^{3}$ that gave sound-values to letters for that (Tudor) period, as compared to Welsh, in which pronunciation, thanks to the conservativ efect of an orthografy a:most fonetic, is scarcely changed even yet. Silesbury thus furnisht something to start with. Other treatises wer examind criticaly from Palsgrave in 1530 (only a generation after Caxton) to date. Sifting imense masses and mases of material was requisit. Few war competent. Printers from Caxton on setld speling til 99 percent is fixt. From 1300 to 1500 the language reasumed literary form after the caos causd by the iruption of Norman French at and after the Conquest. Words dond a haf-norma!, haf-receivd grafic dres. Before 1500 , letter and sound wer in comparativ acord or a Roman-vowel system, circulated and kept fairly uniform by the Church thru its scriptoria and monasteries with their traveling friars. After 1000, priaters setld wordforms mecanicly to suit their conveience, making selections arbitrary, often unwise becaus without counsel and atention to the previos system. This and shifting orthoepy explain caos in speling now.

In 1867, apeard Bell's 「'isible Speech, a new Notation on a fysiologic basis. Ellis with this tool began to work Orthoepy Prosody too-bak to the dark ages. Bell's symbols wer not adapted to print; for this Ellis devised Palaeotype, a Notation on a Roman- or Latin-vowel basis, extending it as he went on, uzed in Early Eng. Pronuncirin with special reference to Shakspea: and Chaucer, 5 parts, 1869-89, 2400 condenst pages (cited as EEPPron.) A sixth part, a summary, he did not liv to issue. For popular purposes he devised a simpler notation, Glossic, in English vowel-values, twelv ful ones ( $\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{i}, \mathrm{e}, \mathrm{e}, \mathfrak{a}, \Lambda, a, 0, o, \bar{o}, \mathrm{u}, \overline{\mathrm{u}}$ ) and weak neutral ( ${ }^{4}$ or ${ }^{\text {a }}$ ), uzed $\ln E n g$. Dialects, their Sounds and Homes, $1890^{\circ}$

[^0]
[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Buen in Denbi(gh)shar in nurcu Wales, he studid in Oxford and setld in London
    ${ }^{2}$ A Dictionary in Einglyfhe and Welfis
    wherevato is prefixed a litle trontyfe of tho englyfhe pronunciation of the letters. London
    ${ }^{3}$ A playne and familiar Introduction, teach ing how to pronounce the letters in the Brytisho tongue, now commonly called Welsh. London.

