REPORTS AND NOTES OF CASES.

Province of Ontario.

HIGH COURT OF JUSTICE.

Teetzel, J.]

Ross v. Townsend.

Feb. 17.

Costs—Scale of—Amount recovered—Investigation of accounts involving large sums—Jurisdiction of County Court—Con. Rule 1132—Set-off.

Motion by the plaintiff for judgment on further directions and as to costs.

The plaintiff sued for \$505.30 for balance of salary and travelling expenses. Upon a reference directed at the trial, the master reported that the plaintiff was entitled to recover only \$152.85, of which the defendant had wild into court \$107.95, with a plea of tender before action.

TETZEL, J.:—While the total accounts investigated by the master were large, the result of the report is that the plaintiff should have sued for a balance of \$152.85 only. The County Courts having jurisdiction to entertain and investigate accounts and claims of suitors, however large, provided the amount sought to be recovered does not exceed the sum prescribed by the Act, this claim could have been sued for in a County Court: Bennett v. White, 13 P.R. 149. In the result, the case as to costs is governed by Con. Rule 1132.

The order will, therefore, be, that the plaintiff is entitled to judgment against the defendant for \$152.85, including the amount paid into court, and costs on the County Court scale, subject to the set-off to which the defendant is entitled under Con. Rule 1132.

J. M. Telford, for the plaintiff. A. O'Heir, for the defendant.

Divisional Court.

| Feb. 24.

TORONTO FURNACE CREMATORY CO. v. EWING.

Sale of goods—Conditional Sales Act, s. 1—Name and address of manufacturer to be stamped upon manufactured article—Incomplete address—Insufficiency.

Appeal by the plaintiffs from the judgment of Denton, junior judge of the County Court of York, dismissing an action in