Thank you, Mr. Carveth, and I wish to express my appreciation of your invitation to take part in this evening's programme of "In My Opinion".

I have been asked to give you this evening, my opinion on two questions often put to a University undergraduate -'Why are you going to college?' and 'What are you getting out of college?'. I suppose those same questions have been asked of young men for centuries, and of young women for at least this past hundred years. Well, here are my answers. I came to university with one object to study law. Of course all students don't come to college to study law, but nevertheless a large percentage do come with the intention of entering a professional faculty, to prepare for law, for medicine, for accountancy, for architecture, for engineering, for the Church, or for teaching. To get the average view of those not intending to enter a professional faculty, I asked several of those whom I have met around the campus during the past few days why they ever decided to come to college. Many of their answers were neither clear nor complete, but the commonest reply was that a university education is essential to the ability to reason and think alone. Such a reply certainly answers that old question - which is more important, the facts learned or the mental development. At McGill the student seems to favour the development of the mind rather than the data absorbed in the process. Incidentally, the statement > VEartism that McGill is a seat of communism is a creation of a recreationary's so-called brain. We may not be bashful in saying what we think of the present system, nor in pointing out that we consider its obvious faults, but we most definitely are not communists. We object to

Pyper