

important that we try to create a climate so that the next time we meet a degree of success is attainable. I expressed that view in regard to the timing and manner in which I proposed to have this Government prepare for the constitutional negotiations with the Province of Quebec at Meech Lake. I believe that was an important part of the process.

I also believe that much more important than a schedule at this point in time are discussions with the First Ministers to try to create a climate wherein it is generally recognized and accepted that a sense of justice and equity is required for the aboriginal peoples of Canada so that there can be a constitutional accord on this vital issue.

Mr. Speaker: I have to advise Hon. Members that this will be the last question.

* * *

REFUGEES

CASE OF FRANCESCO PIPERNO—REQUEST FOR DEPORTATION

Mr. Alex Kindy (Calgary East): Mr. Speaker, my question is directed to the Minister of State for Immigration and concerns Francesco Piperno, a known terrorist, who organized a conference at the University of Quebec in November, 1985. He has used taxpayers' money to bring all sorts of terrorists to Canada. I am asking the Minister why he does not apply Section 39 of the Immigration Act of 1976, Chapter 52, which permits him to have this individual deported for the safety and security of Canada?

Hon. Gerry Weiner (Minister of State (Immigration)): Mr. Speaker, as I answered clearly in the House yesterday, this matter is now before the Immigration Appeal Board. It is inappropriate for me to comment while the case is before a quasi-judicial body.

Mr. Pennock: Supplementary.

Mr. Speaker: The Hon. Member for Etobicoke North.

SECURITY RISK INQUIRY

Mr. Bob Pennock (Etobicoke North): Mr. Speaker, we are talking about a matter of security. This person has been convicted *in absentia* in Rome for terrorism and he is teaching students in Canada. In the absence of the Solicitor General my question is directed to the Deputy Prime Minister. Can he assure this House that the necessary steps have been taken to ensure that this person is not a security risk to young Canadians here in Canada?

[Translation]

Hon. Benoît Bouchard (Minister of Employment and Immigration): Mr. Speaker, I should want to reassure my colleague concerning the case to which he is referring. The case is now before the Immigration Appeal Board, and the

Point of Order—Mr. Gray (Windsor West)

Minister cannot intervene directly to stop a process which is already under way. We will wait for the ruling which should be made soon. The government takes security matters into account, and that will be our approach in this case as well.

[English]

Mr. Gray (Windsor West): Mr. Speaker, I have two points. One is the usual business question and the other is a point of order arising out of today's Question Period. I ask your guidance as to which you would like me to raise first.

Mr. Speaker: I suppose the choice is yours.

* * *

POINT OF ORDER

ALLEGED UNPARLIAMENTARY LANGUAGE

Hon. Herb Gray (Windsor West): Mr. Speaker, I want to raise a point of order arising out of today's Question Period. In answer to a question raised by the Hon. Member for Algoma (Mr. Foster), the Prime Minister, in referring to the words used by the Hon. Member, used the word "fabrication". I want to refer to Beauchesne's Citation 320(2) which indicates that since 1958, it has been ruled unparliamentary to use the following expressions. Then on page 106 we find the word "fabrication". There appears to have been a ruling by a Speaker reported in the *House of Commons Debates* of January 27, 1959, at page 385.

Unlike the word "falsehood", the use of which was discussed in a point of order a few days ago, and which was found in some cases to be parliamentary and in other cases unparliamentary, the only precedent before you is that of a Speaker who ruled that the word "fabrication" was unparliamentary. Therefore, I respectfully call upon you to ask the Prime Minister to follow the accepted practice of this House and withdraw the word "fabrication" unconditionally.

Right Hon. Brian Mulroney (Prime Minister): Mr. Speaker, I refer my hon. friend to the prefatory remarks of the Hon. Member today in which he made a statement—

Mr. Guilbault (Saint-Jacques): We are talking about your remarks.

Mr. Mulroney: Yes, indeed. He talked about remarks I made on May 26 at page 6401 of *Hansard*. He will see there is absolutely nothing in those remarks that would give rise at all to the—

Mr. Marchi: Today's remark.

Mr. Mulroney: Mr. Speaker—

Mr. Guilbault (Saint-Jacques): It is irrelevant. Either withdraw or not.

Mr. Mazankowski: Why don't you keep quiet?