## Messrs:

Lewis

Loiselle

L'Heureux

MacEachen MacInnis (Mrs.)

Mackasey

McBride

McNulty

Marceau

Mather Munro

Murphy

Nystrom

Olson

Osler

Ouellet

Pepin

Peters

Roberts

Rochon

Rose Rowland

Robinson

Saltsman

Stafford

Stanbury

Stewart

Sullivan

Trudel

Walker

Watson

Whelan

Weatherhead

Whiting-114

Trudeau

Serré

Pelletier Penner

Perrault

Prud'homme

Roy (Timmins) Roy (Laval)

Smith (Saint-Jean)

(Okanagan-Kootenay)

Turner (London-East)

Richardson

Otto

O'Connell

Noël

Macdonald Rosedale)

Cafik Chappell Clermont Cobbe Comtois Corriveau Côté (Richelieu) Côté (Longueuil) Cvr Davis Deachman Deakon De Bané Drury Dubé Dupras Faulkner Forest Forget Francis Gendron Gibson Gilbert Gillespie

Gibson
Gilbert
Gillespie
Gleave
Groos
Guay (St. Boniface)
Guay (Lévis)
Guilbault
Hellyer
Hopkins
Howard
(Okanagan Boundary)
Howard (Skeena)
Jamieson
Kaplan

Knight
Knowles (Winnipeg
North Centre)
Lachance
Laing
(Vancouver South)

(Vancouver South
Lajoie
Lang (SaskatoonHumboldt)
Langlois
Leblanc (Laurier)
Lefebvre
Legault
Lessard (LaSalle)
Lessard
(Lac-Saint-Jean)

• (1550)

The Acting Speaker (Mr. Laniel): I declare the motion lost.

[Translation]

Mr. Fortin: Mr. Speaker, I rise on a point of order.

The Acting Speaker (Mr. Laniel): The hon. member for Lotbinière on a point of order.

**Mr. Fortin:** Mr. Speaker, the Créditiste members refrained from voting because this was a waste of time and a silly game on the part of the Progressive Conservatives.

The Acting Speaker (Mr. Laniel): Order. Obviously, the hon. member will agree with the Chair that this point of order is groundless.

## Election Expenses Bill

[English]

Mr. Baldwin: A point of order, Mr. Speaker-

The Acting Speaker (Mr. Laniel): The hon. member for Peace River (Mr. Baldwin)—order please.

Mr. Baldwin: —the taxpayers' money.

The Acting Speaker (Mr. Laniel): Order, please. The hon, member for Peel South (Mr. Chappell).

Mr. Hyl Chappell (Peel South): Mr. Speaker, as Chairman of the committee may I remind all hon. members how the report on election expenses came about. The Barbeau Committee, consisting of Mr. Alphonse Barbeau, the Honourable M. J. Coldwell, Mr. Gordon R. Dryden, Mr. A. R. Smith and Dr. Norman Ward, and which included representatives from the major parties, was appointed in October 1964 and submitted its report on election expenses in October 1966.

In the last session, pursuant to an order of the House dated October 8, 1970, a special committee considered the comprehensive two volume report of the Barbeau Committee, the new election expenses legislation of Quebec, Nova Scotia and other jurisdictions, held 45 meetings, heard dozens of witnesses including representatives of the main political parties, the legislative assemblies of Quebec and Nova Scotia, the government of Puerto Rico, the Canadian Labour Congress, the Canadian Association of Broadcasters, the CBC, the CRTC, Chief Electoral Officers of Canada, Quebec, Nova Scotia, and many others.

In considering the report and Bill C-211, Mr. Speaker, I suggest that one must start with four basic principles. First, a candidate cannot hope to be elected unless he spreads his message effectively. With today's costs and more populous ridings, this can be quite expensive and perhaps prohibitive. Second, there is an advantage to a candidate with wealth, whether inherited or earned. Effective controls on spending and a modest financial contribution to a candidate's expenses would substantially remove this inequity. Third, all costs cannot be paid by the public treasury; the greater proportion of funds must continue to come in the form of voluntary donations from supporters of the candidate and the party. Research has indicated that if a great deal of money comes from the government, people are reluctant to give because they feel the candidate is well looked after. Fourth, it is a myth that large contributions lie waiting for willing receivers. Funds are difficult to obtain both for the individual candidate and the parties. Legislation must be clear and positive to encourage contributions through tax incentives, protection of the name of the donor and protection of the candidate against overcharge by radio, T.V. and the press and by controls on the amount which he can spend both in respect of an over-all ceiling and the period of time during which he may spend money during a campaign.

The Barbeau report was an excellent and comprehensive document, but it is five years old. Many changes have taken place since it was submitted, and we have had the advantage of hearing comments on its proposals and on the Quebec and Nova Scotia legislation. While the committee digressed from the report considerably, I hope we have come up with something good. After complete study