

decided not to have one section in and to put another section in. I think the committee would feel they had discharged their duty far better if before getting down to the detailed points of the bill it had before it this important view or that important view or at least to know if another view had been submitted.

Mr. GOUR: I was not here Friday, I am able to be here now. I will not be here tonight. I think you said we would be all together; then come to a cocktail party for my son-in-law who is a new lawyer and it is from six to eight. Therefore if I want to be with you you have to come with me.

Mr. BELL (*Carleton*): Are we to take that as an invitation?

Mr. GOUR: A Liberal when he say something it is always what he means. I claim that twice today and twice tomorrow—I do believe you should take maybe fifteen days and still not be sure of that also. It is an important thing and I think you should leave more opportunity for that bill so that it will be in good form. All the experts are very clever because they were well trained like the Liberal party. They are good men. But for the sake of the party, the Tories, give a chance to the profession so that the bill will be in better shape. You understand my anxiety that they will repeat in a year or two a mistake you make. Do not make many mistakes because that will be a big thing next election. I do think it will be better for everyone because we are having nice weather, we are not going to sit up here all night. It would be better for Canada and better for the government. There are only a few Liberals in the house just now, you know we are only a little group. Give us a chance on these committees where we are not sitting all the time and I think it would be a good thing if you had those groups because after all those groups are Canadian people and they are working on these things all day long and have a right to have their views expressed, and I think it would be a good thing if you give them a chance to give their view.

Mr. MACLEAN (*Winnipeg North Centre*): Mr. Chairman, the minister, as far as I can see, has taken a very sensible and practical approach to this whole thing. What Mr. Benidickson requests here cannot be done. We have these briefs and the members of the committee have considered them. Now he wants to have this bill sent back to these people and have it considered again by these professional people and after it comes back we will have it re-drafted. That could go on forever. This is something that deserves intensive study and when we sit down to consider it we can go right through it and get the job done. The material in the briefs is available to any member of this committee and any information we want we can get. Let us go ahead and get this job done.

Mr. BENIDICKSON: That is my point, Mr. Chairman. I doubt that there are any more than three members of this committee who have read these briefs. That is what I have put forward. Those who have not should read them and I think that so much care has gone into their preparation that I would say I think they very properly should be made an appendix to our notes. It certainly would be the case if the organization sent a representative here to present their views. We always print their briefs and certainly if they are not going to be here I think the least we can do is to have them printed as an appendix to our minutes.

Mr. CHAIRMAN: On that point we have had 245 and 247.

Mr. BENIDICKSON: They are not there. These have been submitted in May and June, but that is true not of today. You have to do some homework to compare the criticisms that have been made.

Mr. MORTON: How many briefs are there?

Mr. BENIDICKSON: I was referring to those that came from national organizations.