

APPENDIX No. 2

SUB-COMMITTEE ON SPECIAL CASES

Sixth Report

COMMITTEE ROOM, May 10, 1921.

To the Main Committee appointed to consider Pensions, Insurance and Re-establishment:

We, your sub-Committee on Special Cases, beg to submit our Sixth Report. We have considered the following cases and beg to report as follows:

- G. V. Magrane, No. 17769,—widow applying for pension through the death of her husband. Pension has been granted by the Pension Board.
- Pte. Alex. John MacDonald, No. 31158, Royal Highlanders, Ironville, Cape Breton. Submitted by D. D. McKenzie, M.P., to be investigated. His mother has six single sons; the one who was killed did assign pay and was said to be contributing before enlistment to his mother's keep. The sons are all of an age to work. She has two married daughters who are not contributing and one married son not contributing. Has a good comfortable home and fifteen acres of land, and the taxes are very small. Pension Board will have this case re-investigated.
- Pioneer P. A. Monteith, No. 154349, Vancouver. Widowed mother in Knock, Portpatrick, Wigtonshire, Scotland, claiming pension. Has seven houses besides the farm she lives on; has two boys who work on the farm, and a quantity of stock and implements. Son was not materially contributing towards her maintenance, therefore is not considered dependent under the Act. No recommendation.
- Cpl. Geo. Henry Clifford, No. 20, C.A.S.C. Enlisted 7-12-14; discharged 27-5-19, having seen service in England and France and was refused pension on discharge on account of the fact that his defect in vision was due to a congenital condition. He states that he was injured in France in 1916 and his right eye was swollen. There is nothing on his documents to show that such an injury took place, and a specialist's report on his eyes shows that both eyes are normal in every respect except that there is a congenital defect in the formation of the right eye which is not completely corrected by glasses. This condition has been present since birth and his vision at the present time is exactly the same as when he joined the army and any change of his condition while on service is negligible. No recommendation.
- W. J. Evanson, 68th Bn., C.E.F. Claims pension is not adequate. Is receiving \$45 per month which is the full amount he can receive under the Act. No recommendation.
- H. M. Aylett, 258 Maynard St., Halifax, N.S. Case of long service pension has apparently been referred to the Militia Department and the deputy minister points out that the minimum amount of service required under the Militia Pension Act is 15 years, and this man had served 10½ years. The Militia Pension Act was afterwards amended to make 10 years sufficient service, but at that time this man was not in the Permanent Force, so we do not see where he can be covered. It certainly does not come under our Committee.
- Alice Winstanley,—with further reference to this case, we recommend that the Pension Board get a full report on same, and if in accordance with representations, that the child be pensioned.