ages for injury to property and personal injuries sustained by the plaintiff owing to defects and obstructions in a highway, as alleged. The Master was of opinion that the statement of claim should have been more according to precedent No. 67 in Oàgers on Pleading, 6th ed., pp. 412, 413; and that particulars of the injury to the plaintiff's vehicle and harness should be given, as well as of his expenses for medical attendance, nursing, and loss of time; this would enable the defendants to pay into Court such sum as they might be willing to give. It should also be made clear that the defects and obstruction of the highway alleged were all that the plaintiff would rely on at the trial, so that he might be confined thereto. Order for particulars accordingly. Costs in the cause. J. H. Spence, for the defendants. J. T. White, for the plaintiff.

## Burns v. Loughrin-Master in Chambers-May 14.

Security for Costs-Property in Jurisdiction.]-Motion by the defendants for an order for security for costs under R. S. O. 1897 ch. 89, secs. 1, 2. The only question raised was whether the plaintiff was possessed of property sufficient to answer the costs of the action if he should fail therein. It appeared that the plaintiff was doing a prosperous business, but he did not shew ownership of any realty and nothing very definite as to chattels. The Master referred to Bready v. Robertson, 14 P. R. 7, at pp. 9, 10; Sills v. Alexander, ante 622 , and cases there cited; and said that the plaintiff should have a further opportunity of shewing that he had assets sufficient in value and seizable under execution. If the plaintiff, within two weeks, files an affidavit shewing how the $\$ 900$ at which he values his business is made up, and submits to cross-examination if the defendants so desire, the motion may be renewed. In default of such affidavit, the usual order for security for costs will be made; costs in the cause. J. A. Macintosh, for the defendants. J. R. Meredith, for the plaintiff.

> Re Copeman and Village of Dundalk-Divisional CourtMay $1 \%$.

Municipal Corporations-Local Option By-law-Voting onOath - Majority - Third Reading - Scrutiny.]-An appeal by George Copeman from the order of Falconbridge, C.J.K.B., ante

