

## LIQUOZONE VERSUS GLYCOZONE.

Editorial from *The Louisville Monthly Journal of Medicine and Surgery*,  
September, 1905.

In a recent issue of the *New England Medical Monthly* is an editorial setting forth the facts that much confusion has arisen over the similarity of name of *Liquozone* and *Glycozone*, and furnishes the following incident: "The name 'Liquozone' is confusing druggists and even doctors with another legitimate preparation, 'Glycozone,' to such an extent, that in March last the editor of the *New England Medical Monthly* called at a drug store in Mount Clemens, Mich., asking for a bottle of Glycozone, when the clerk turned around and supplied him with a bottle of Liquozone.

"Upon my remark that I did not ask for a bottle of Liquozone but for a bottle of Glycozone, spelling the name at the same time, he apologized, stating that he understood that I wanted a bottle of Liquozone.

"This instance shows plainly that the confusion which has been created not only on account of the fallacious claims but also on account of the sounding of the two names 'Liquozone' and 'Glycozone,' which is practically the same, is misleading to the limit."

The incident is very similar to one which happened to an editor of this *Journal* but very recently. He was at Atlantic City, N.J., and sent to a drug store—said to be a first-class one—for a bottle of Marchand's *Glycozone*, when he received a bottle of *Liquozone*. The mistake seemed inexcusable and goes to confirm what the journal quoted says about the confusion of names. And, too, it must be remembered that great injustice is being done an old and tried medicine—Marchand's *Glycozone*—by a late nostrum put in the field, and which has received the condemnation of the San Francisco Board of Health, in the following words in the report of the Health Officer:

"I therefore recommend that it be condemned by the Commissioners of Health, as a pernicious and unsafe drug. It may be used as a disinfectant for trains, urinals, stables, etc., and probably no objections could be urged against its external use by an individual to exterminate barber's itch.

"I therefore recommend that the same action be taken in respect to this deleterious drug as is prosecuted by your Honorable Commission in the case of impure food, viz.: that the Police Department and our Department act conjointly to have it removed from the shelves of all dealers handling this drug, and that the selling, exposing for sale, or giving away of *Liquozone*, in the City and County of San Francisco, constitute an offense, the violation of which will be followed by arrest of the offenders.

"Respectfully submitted,

"D. F. Ragan, M.D., "Health Officer."