

- Q An independent license is a license that a man gets from the fishery officer, and when he has obtained this he is free to sell his catch to any cannery?
- A Yes.
- Q White men get these licenses?
- A Yes.
- Q Do the Japs get these licenses?
- A I don't know.
- Q And no Indians in your Agency get such a license at all?
- A No.
- Q They fish under a license held by a cannery?
- A Yes, it is called an attached license.
- Q That is, a cannery gets a certain number of licenses, which are served out to the Indians, and these ~~Indians~~ ^{Indians} have to sell their fish to the cannery issuing those licenses, at the price the cannery fixes?
- A Yes.
- Q What is the result of that - does he get as much for his fish as the white man holding an independent license?
- A He gets as much because he doesn't have to furnish any implements; otherwise he doesn't get any less.
- Q So that, taking into consideration the cost of the net supplied by the cannery, the Indian gets just as much for his fish when fishing under an attached license as he would if fishing under an independent license?
- A Yes, I think so; but the cannery men may refuse the Indian a license, saying he is not a competent fisherman.
- Q Do you know of any case where an Indian who, you would consider a reliable fisherman, was refused a license and the license given to a Jap or someone else?
- A No.
- Q Do you know of any case where an Indian was refused the right to fish under an attached license?
- A In a general way I know, in Rivers Inlet.