SUB expansion and the SUB board

By J. DAVID MILLER

It is said that people get the government that they deserve. One area where student government has been so bad that they couldn't possibly deserve it is the SUB Board.

In 1973, Kevin McKinney, then SUB Director wrote with respect to SUB expansion "Working in close liason with the SRCs is also essential, and input from as many sources as possible is highly desirable so that the Board can have all necessary information to aid in its decision-making."

SUB expansion/renovation is not a new idea. Considerable attention was paid to this problem between the years 1973 and 1975. Studio leaders from the Brunswickan and

address this question. This has not encompassed the prinresulted in two reports (McKinney, 1973, Roy A.F. Neale, 1975), \$20,000 worth of preliminary design work and finally, a referendum. The referendum was held in the fall, 1975 and asked the students to authorize the SUB Board to seek all possible ways to finance construction. The referendum also noted that SRC fees might have to go up, to match funds from other sources. This referendum passed overwhelmingly.

After 1975, absolutely nothing was done. Two years of very hard work by literally dozens of individuals was ignored by the so-called student leaders who followed.

Recent events have forced some action from the SUB CHSR held a lot of meetings to Board. However, this action

ciples outlined in McKinney's statement quoted above. The SUB Board has chosen to adopt a course of independent action with apparent disregard for existing planning and without express consultation with the SRC's (who largely appoint the board) and the University (which owns the building.)

The 1973 and 1975 reports together with the engineering studies done in 1975 revealed certain problems. All sources stress the need for a larger social club. With the closing of the residence bars, this point is particularly relevant. All reports agree on the need to extensively redesign the SUB cafeteria. Some of this redesign is included in the proposed food contract.

Serious shortcomings remain in the SUB with regard to washrooms, ventillation, storage space, meeting room space and other fairly important functional aspects. Since 1974, the areas on campus where pubs can be held has become limited. The present SUB ballroom is about the only place now, and was not designed for such a purpose. The engineering studies also revealed problems in the way the building was constructed with regard to heat loss and lack of full separation between floors.

Without express prior consultation with the university, the SUB Director has recently hired an architect. No consultation whatsoever has been held with the SRCs which have been charged with the responsibility to hold a referendum on any plan the SUB develops.

Students cannot be asked to continue payments to a building fund which is not used to provide a significant im-

About 10,000 graduates of campus politics in his UNB and STU have paid a lot of years at UNB.

money into the SUB. The actions of the SUB Board for the past five years have let us all down. I only hope that the current Board gets off its high horse before its too late and a referendum fails

EDITORS NOTE: David Miller is a graduate student who has provement in services. I would been actively involved with never vote for a plan which CHSR and the Brunswickan, as merely tarted up the old SUB. well as being keen observor of

Simon says

Dear Sir:

African Student Union who has been seeing me at the spent their valuable time to Social Club on his way to ask me not to reply an article Church or Mosque for that matthat you put in last Friday's ter, he has already been "Brunswickan." Their com-doomed as we have no such ments about the article were buildings in the vicinity of the beneficial especially as they SUB basement. He has clearly told me never to argue with a missed his way to Church. I fool again as people may not shall conclude by saying that notice the difference.

things that I wish to clarify in other donkeys, imps comcase anyone was left with any municate with other imps and doubts. My earlier questions men, with or men or with flatthat I put to the author of tereres. I shall not even bother "African Coups" still remain to ask him to note what I have unanswered and instead he said here as sources close to chose to deal with irrelevant him say "he still shall not issues such as wearing coats understand." and frequenting the SUB. May I tell him that he has to be there frequently to be able to see me

there frequently. May I also tell him that if he is a religious I wish to use your paper to "Saint," the Social Club does thank all those members of the not sell Bibles nor Korans. If he Saints communicate with other However, there are a few Saints, donkeys understand

Simon Kahari

Bad management

(continued from p. 11)

I do not know whether UNB window. deserves more government funding until it learns to look after it.

crisis now. Unfortunately, The future of UNB will dethose with accounting pend on financial decisions degrees make all the real which protect our ability to decisions around here, not do the very best teaching academics. In my ex- and research. I would perience, the administration radically alter the way the as a whole treats academics university functions rather with contempt. The past few than fire one productive years have seen appalling academic. Practices and financial mismanagement comforts we acquired in the (unexpected surpluses, etc). 70s will have to go out the

J. David Miller

For people like you with Management in mind

