

REVIEW.

WHEN Dr. Gray stated in his note to me, that if I were so "unwise" as to place the correspondence on the subject of the controversy before the Public, he would know "how to meet it," I really thought that he wished to intimidate me. If I had any doubt of this motive before, that doubt has been quite dispelled by his Pamphlet. It is just one bold and daring effort to intimidate. At the same time, it is subtle and plausible to a degree that utterly amazes me! Not one *general* principle in my Statement is fairly met and answered, and where the attempt is made, in the case of *facts*, the attempt is a failure and a falsehood. What I have said was too strongly put to be set aside by idle assertion, or to be broken down by mere declamation.

The whole tone of the "Reply" is discordant; the cause was not good; and this is betrayed by the temper and disposition of the Book. It will do no one any good—it will make no one any better. While dealing in personalities alone, all personalities are disclaimed; and the author of them charges upon me the faults of which he is guilty himself.

In reviewing this Pamphlet of Dr. Gray's, I shall first place, in a clear light, the misrepresentations and false assertions which it contains; and I shall begin with the latter, which, though last in the order of the Pamphlet, are first in importance at present.

To exhibit more clearly these false assertions, I shall insert a few dates as the basis of the Statement. These dates, on which so much depends, can be confirmed by circumstantial and documentary evidence, if necessary.*

* Tuesday, 24th August—Attended the visitation at Fredericton.

Sunday, 29th August—Remained in St. John.

Thursday, 2d September—Wrote from St. Andrews to St. John—(see letter).

14th or 15th September—Came to St. John (see letter above) *without* the family.

20th October—Went to St. Andrews for my family.

26th October—Came to St. John *with* the family.