

note was paid before this action was commenced. I also held on May 8th, 1890, one of \$212.00 dated April 22nd for three months, made by one Miles. This was paid before this action commenced. I also held one for \$3976.70; this was the note given in connection with the purchase of the horses. I held a mortgage for \$3,800.00 and interest. The \$3976.70 was a renewal note; the note has not been paid. I do not know how much is due on the note or mortgage now owing to expenses in connection with the horses which I have not got in. There may have been other notes held on the 9th May, but I cannot recollect just now, I am not aware of any other notes that the firm was interested in that they held on the 9th May, 1890, that were not paid at the commencement of this action other than those I have mentioned. When I asked 10 him to get security I did not ask him to get Mrs. Sparrows name. I told him I must have further security and as a result of that he brought me the note. When the note (\$664.50) matured I got exhibit A I received it on August 27th; as exhibit B had gone to protest it was dated August 11th as the former one was due on the 11th August. Lafferty brought this to me, as a renewal of exhibit B and I accepted it as security renewing the other. Another note was offered me as a renewal of exhibit A when it matured, but I did not take it. As I was not going to wait any longer, I was going to sue as the paper matured.

This closed the examination by Mr. McCarthy, no questions by Mr. Davis.

(Signed) THOS. N. CHRISTIE.

Taken before me this 20th day of May, A. D. 1891.

(Signed) EDWIN R. ROGERS,
Clerk of the Court.