

## S.O. 43

## EMPLOYMENT

EMPLOYMENT OF IMMIGRANTS UNDER OUTREACH PROJECT—  
MOTION UNDER S.O. 43

**Mr. Andrew Brewin (Greenwood):** Mr. Speaker, under the same Standing Order, I would move, seconded by the hon. member for Nickel Belt (Mr. Rodriguez):

That the Minister of Employment and Immigration (Mr. Cullen) be directed to reconsider the cutting off of Outreach project No. 21-00160, Services d'aide aux Néo-Québécois et Immigrants, in light of the fact that these services have provided placement for some 500 persons in the Montreal area, have advised recent immigrants, have been consulted by the Department of Immigration itself as well as by the Quebec department of agriculture, and have served and are equipped to deal with immigrants in 11 different languages enabling immigrants from 48 different countries to become established in Canada—

**Some hon. Members:** Order.

**Mr. Speaker:** It would appear the hon. member has now gone beyond the content of the motion and is beginning to argue the case. It seems to me the motion should have been confined to the reconsideration of the project.

**Mr. Brewin:** I will just finish my sentence then, which will bring the matter to an end.

**Mr. Speaker:** The motion can be introduced at this time only with the unanimous consent of the House. Is there unanimous consent?

**Some hon. Members:** Agreed.

**Some hon. Members:** No.

\* \* \*

[Translation]

## HOUSE OF COMMONS

PC FUND-RAISING DINNER IN MONTREAL—PROPOSAL THAT  
PRICE PAID FOR TICKETS BE REFUNDED—MOTION UNDER S.O. 43

**Mr. Jacques Guilbault (Saint-Jacques):** Mr. Speaker, I rise under the provisions of Standing Order 43 and seek the unanimous consent of the House to discuss a motion relating to a matter of urgent and pressing necessity.

I move, seconded by the hon. member for Drummond (Mr. Pinard):

That the price paid for tickets to the Progressive Conservative fund-raising dinner held in Montreal last Sunday be refunded to the few sponsors who bought most of the tickets and to the other guests who had their appetite curbed by the inconsistent remarks made by the Tory mini-leader.

[Mr. Speaker.]

[English]

## RAILWAYS

FEASIBILITY OF ALASKA-BRITISH COLUMBIA-WASHINGTON  
STATE RAIL SYSTEM—MOTION UNDER S.O. 43

**Mr. Stuart Leggatt (New Westminster):** Mr. Speaker, I rise under the provisions of the same Standing Order.

In view of the fact that British Columbia continues to have a railway, the BCR, which is incomplete inasmuch as it lacks a northern connection, and in view of the fact that a rail link from Washington state to Alaska through British Columbia would represent a tremendous economic advance for all of British Columbia and provide alternate safe energy transport from the United States, I would move, seconded by the hon. member for Sault Ste. Marie (Mr. Symes):

That this House request the Minister of Transport to meet with his U.S. counterpart, transport secretary Brock Adam, to examine the feasibility of co-operative rail construction to complete the B.C. Railway for an Alaska-B.C.-Washington state rail system.

**Mr. Speaker:** Such a motion can be presented at this time only with unanimous consent. Is there unanimous consent?

**Some hon. Members:** Agreed.

**Some hon. Members:** No.

\* \* \*

● (1415)

## ARTS AND SCIENCES

REQUEST LOTO CANADA FUNDS BE DISBURSED BY CANADA  
COUNCIL AND NOT BY CABINET—MOTION UNDER S.O. 43

**Mr. Cyril Symes (Sault Ste. Marie):** Mr. Speaker, under the provisions of Standing Order 43, I would like to move the following motion. In view of widespread concern among the cultural community that Loto Canada funds for the arts will be disbursed by cabinet under the provisions of Bill C-41 and not by an arm's length agency such as the Canada Council, I move, seconded by the hon. member for Brant (Mr. Blackburn):

That in order to avoid political patronage in funding artistic endeavours, this House instruct the minister to redraft the bill to ensure that the Canada Council will be responsible for disbursing these funds.

**Mr. Speaker:** Such a motion can be presented for debate, pursuant to Standing Order 43, only with unanimous consent. Is there unanimous consent?

**Some hon. Members:** Agreed.

**Some hon. Members:** No.