

Canada Law Journal.

VOL. XIX.

MAY 15, 1883.

No. 10.

DIARY FOR MAY.

16. Wed.... Examination for Call.
18. Fri.... D. A. Macdonald, Lieut.-Governor, Ontario, 1875.
20. Sun.... *Trinity Sunday*
21. Mon.... Easter sabb. begin. Confederation of B. N. A. Provinces proclaimed, 1867.
22. Tues.. Earl Dufferin Gov.-Gen., 1872.
24. Thurs.. Queen's Birthday, 1819. Ferguson, V.C., appointed 1881.
25. Fri.... Princess Helena born, 1846.
27. Sun.... *First Sunday after Trinity.*
29. Tues.. Battle of Sackett's Harbour, 1813.
30. Wed.... Proudfoot, V.C., appointed 1874.

TORONTO, MAY 15, 1883.

We copy from the *Philadelphia Legal Intelligencer*, a report of the judgment on the demurrer to the indictment in the Phipps' Extradition Case. In the judgment in the Court of Appeal, Mr. Justice Patterson expressed an opinion that the indictment did not charge the crime of forgery, but merely a misdemeanor under the statute, and this point was much relied on by defendant's counsel in the argument, though the case did not eventually turn on this view. The Philadelphia Court holds the offence was forgery in whatever form the indictment might be. We understand that though the offence was tried in the Court of Sessions, Judge Allison is really Judge of the higher Court, and would rank with the Judges of our Court of Queen's Bench or Common Pleas here.

LAWYERS, though they have sharp passages on behalf of clients, do not often come personally to such close quarters as have Mr. Marsh and Mr. Titus, whose correspondence in reference to the Wright case is given in full in another place.

It will be remembered that Miss Wright, some time ago, shot a young man named Ryan, whom she supposed was on her premises for no good purpose. She was found guilty, but afterwards pardoned. She was defended by a Mr. Titus, to whom, it is said, she gave, at his request, \$200 to buy up the jury, as well as other money for her defence. How this was, or why the jury, if bought, did not "stay bought," we know not, but through Mr. Marsh an order was made for the taxation of Mr. Titus' bill, and overcharges to the extent of \$173 were ordered to be refunded by the latter to Miss Wright. Mr. Titus, subsequently to his defending Miss Wright against the prosecution instituted by the Ryan family, accepted a retainer from the latter to sue Miss Wright in a civil action for the killing of the deceased. The action was brought in the name of the father, but the instructions came from a brother-in-law of the deceased, not from the father. The release spoken of in the letter of the 18th April referred to a proposed release of any cause of action accruing to the Ryans by reason of the killing above referred to. Based on these letters of Mr. Marsh, and under 32-33 Vict. cap. 21, sect. 43, Mr. Titus laid an information against him, and had him arrested and brought before a Bench of Magistrates at Brighton, when he was committed for trial. We judge from an expression in the letter of 24th April that Mr. Marsh believed that Mr. Titus was using knowledge acquired from Miss Wright in professional confidence as a means of stirring up litigation against a former client. If this were so the threat of striking Mr. Titus off the roll would not seem at all inappropriate, and if it is true that the same gentleman got money from his client to buy up the jury, a more severe