

If so, the doctrine of the fundamental affinity between the Pawnee, Caddo, Catawba, and Cherokee gains ground.

The Uche demands special investigation. The Tinquin and Timucana should be compared with it. Then why are they not? Few works are more inaccessible than a Spanish *Arte*, *Diccionario*, or *Catecismo*. The *data* for these enquiries, little known, are still less attainable. Without these, and without a minute study, of the first-hand authorities we can do but little but suggest. All that is suggested here is that the details of Florida (in its widest sense) and Louisiana must be treated under the doctrine that the aborigines are represented by the congeners of the Woccon, Catawba, Uche, Natchez, Tinquin, and Timucana, inordinately displaced by the Cherokees and Creeks; who (for a great extent of their present area) must be considered as intrusive.

---