

Order Paper Questions
VETERANS AFFAIRS

CONCURRENCE IN FIRST REPORT OF STANDING
COMMITTEE

On the order: Motions:

May 28, 1973—Mr. Knowles (Winnipeg North Centre):

That the first report of the Standing Committee on Veterans Affairs, presented to the House on February 23, 1973, be concurred in.

Mr. Knowles (Winnipeg North Centre): Mr. Speaker, I rise on a point of order. I would simply like to say that I filed this motion in the hope that it would put pressure on the government to bring in its bill to amend the Pension Act. In the hope that that might be done in a few days I would like the motion to stand.

● (1420)

Mr. Speaker: Order, please. I will, of course, stand the motion but I wonder whether it is in order for hon. members to present an argument in support of the suggestion that a motion should stand. In any event, the hon. member for Winnipeg North Centre has made the point. He asks that the motion stand. The Chair will say that it is stood.

QUESTIONS ON THE ORDER PAPER

(Questions answered orally are indicated by an asterisk.)

[Text]

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE—PERSONNEL

Question No. 1,096—**Mr. Paproski:**

1. How many full-time employees were under salary in the Department of Agriculture on (a) January 1, 1963 (b) January 1, 1973?

2. How many people in each of these years were receiving salaries of (a) under \$10,000 (b) between \$10,000 and \$20,000 (c) over \$20,000.

Hon. E. F. Whelan (Minister of Agriculture): 1. (a) 8,964 as at January 31, 1963; (b) 9,057 as at January 31, 1973.

2.	January 31, 1963	January 31, 1973
(a)	8,746	6,085
(b)	218	2,612
(c)	Nil	360

LIP—APPLICATION FOR GRANT RELATING TO PROJECT
No. H-2804

Question No. 1,358—**Mr. Allard:**

1. On what date did the government receive an application for a grant under LIP concerning project No. H-2804?

2. On what date was the application either approved or refused?

3. What were the reasons set forth by the government for its refusal or approval of said project?

[Mr. Speaker.]

4. If the project was accepted, what was the amount granted by the government to finance it?

5. How many jobs were created by the implementation of this project?

Mr. Mark MacGuigan (Parliamentary Secretary to Minister of Manpower and Immigration): 1. November 23, 1972.

2. Rejected on February 20, 1973.

3. The Department received a far greater number of applications than funds were available for. All applications were carefully assessed and many difficult decisions not to approve had to be made.

4. N/A.

5. N/A.

LIP—APPLICATION FOR GRANT RELATING TO PROJECT
No. H-2975

Question No. 1,359—**Mr. Allard:**

1. On what date did the government receive an application for a grant under LIP concerning project No. H-2975?

2. On what date was the application either approved or refused?

3. What were the reasons set forth by the government for its refusal or approval of said project?

4. If the project was accepted, what was the amount granted by the government to finance it?

5. How many jobs were created by the implementation of this project?

Mr. Mark MacGuigan (Parliamentary Secretary to Minister of Manpower and Immigration): 1. November 24, 1972.

2. Rejected on February 1, 1973.

3. The Department received a far greater number of applications than funds were available for. All applications were carefully assessed and many difficult decisions not to approve had to be made.

4. N/A.

5. N/A.

LIP—APPLICATION FOR GRANT RELATING TO PROJECT
No. H-5898

Question No. 1,360—**Mr. Allard:**

1. On what date did the government receive an application for a grant under LIP concerning project No. H-5898?

2. On what date was the application either approved or refused?

3. What were the reasons set forth by the government for its refusal or approval of said project?

4. If the project was accepted, what was the amount granted by the government to finance it?

5. How many jobs were created by the implementation of this project?

Mr. Mark MacGuigan (Parliamentary Secretary to Minister of Manpower and Immigration): 1. January 9, 1973.

2. Rejected on February 15, 1973.

3. The Department received a far greater number of applications than funds were available for. All applica-