

*Oral Questions***PUBLIC WORKS**

PORT STANLEY, ONTARIO—REQUEST FOR INSTALLATION OF LIFE RINGS ON PIER IN VIEW OF RECENT DROWNINGS

Mr. John Wise (Elgin): Mr. Speaker, I want to direct a question, through you, to the Minister of Public Works. My question is prompted by three recent drowning disasters in five days at the lakeshore village of Port Stanley on the shores of Lake Erie. Would the minister move with dispatch to re-install the life rings at suitable locations on the pier in that municipality to provide some greater measure of public safety?

Hon. Jean-Eudes Dubé (Minister of Public Works): Mr. Speaker, I was not aware of these incidents but I will certainly make inquiries and do whatever is within our responsibility to cure the problem.

* * *

INDIAN AFFAIRS

ALLEGED REFUSAL OF FEDERAL GOVERNMENT TO PARTICIPATE IN TRAINING OF INDIANS AS POLICEMEN ON RESERVATIONS

Mr. Norval Horner (Battleford-Kindersley): Mr. Speaker, my question is for the Minister of Indian Affairs and Northern Development. In view of the fact that the Attorney General of Saskatchewan expressed grave concern this morning over the refusal of the federal cabinet to co-operate in the plan to train 30 Indians by the RCMP, would the minister give some reason to the House and the people in Saskatchewan for this refusal to contribute to the plan in view of the fact his department initiated the idea in the first place?

Hon. Jean Chrétien (Minister of Indian Affairs and Northern Development): Mr. Speaker, I do not think anyone should suggest that we have refused. We initiated the plan and we are willing to contribute. The problem at this time is in determining the share of the federal government. This department having initiated this plan, I would suggest if that sort of statement were to be made in Saskatchewan it would not do very much to help the situation. When we are negotiating, each party tries to get the best deal possible. I am very keen to solve this problem in respect of a proposal which was initiated by my department at the beginning.

* * *

AGRICULTURE

INQUIRY AS TO INTRODUCTION OF LEGISLATION GOVERNING TRANSPORTATION OF CATTLE

Mr. Gus Mitges (Grey-Simcoe): Mr. Speaker, my question is for the Minister of Agriculture. I should like to ask when he plans to introduce the promised legislation to regulate the transportation of cattle, both by rail and by road, non-stop from western Canada to Toronto, a measure which would greatly reduce cattle losses en-route and subsequent losses after arrival in Ontario?

[Mr. Speaker.]

Mr. Guay (St. Boniface): I think they should stop in Winnipeg anyway.

Hon. E. F. Whelan (Minister of Agriculture): Mr. Speaker, I think we all appreciate the prospects for legislation being introduced in the House at this time. All I can say is that it will be introduced when the session begins in the fall. I have instructed our health of animals branch to upgrade any measure that should be taken to ensure that animals are shipped in the proper fashion.

* * *

INQUIRY OF THE MINISTRY

Hon. George Hees (Prince Edward-Hastings): Mr. Speaker, I have a question for the Acting Prime Minister. In view of the recent discovery by the Prime Minister of the great value of the monarchy, is it the intention of the government to invite the Queen to return to Canada on a third visit this year in order that she might open the annual Liberal meeting in Ottawa?

Some hon. Members: Oh, oh!

Mr. Hees: Mr. Speaker, perhaps I might rephrase my question.

Some hon. Members: Oh, oh!

An hon. Member: Don't spoil it, George.

Mr. Speaker: Order, please. The House will appreciate, I think, that in view of the procedures of the House a question of that type is not in order and the hon. member should not, therefore, be allowed to ask a supplementary question. If anyone has enough imagination to think of one which might be in order as a supplementary, I am sure the hon. member would be the one who could.

* * *

MANPOWER

ALLEGED SUPPLYING OF WORKERS AS STRIKE BREAKERS—GOVERNMENT POSITION

Mr. Mark Rose (Fraser Valley West): Mr. Speaker, my question is directed to the Minister of Manpower and Immigration. I do not know if the minister hears me, but I will proceed with the question anyway. I should like to ask whether it is the policy of the government to have Canadian manpower centres assist willingly upon request in supplying employees to firms who are involved in a legal strike, when such action in reality leads to a form of strike breaking?

Hon. Robert K. Andras (Minister of Manpower and Immigration): Mr. Speaker, our posture in this regard is one of neutrality. We do not wish to contribute to strike breaking and we will not do so.

Mr. Rose: Mr. Speaker, in view of the government's hands-off policy in respect of providing these people in cases of legally sanctioned strikes, people who might be receiving UIC benefits, and in view of the fact that