

REVIEWS—CORRESPONDENCE.

for Henry Lentot, MDCCXL. (1740), quarto. It has long been out of print, and is very scarce.

Messrs. Stevens & Haynes, the successful publishers of the Reprints of Bellewe, Cooke, Cunningham, Brookes, New Cases, Cleozse Cases in Chancery, William Kelyng and Kelyng's Crown Cases, determined to issue a new or fourth edition of Showers' Cases in Parliament.

The volume, although beautifully printed on old-fashioned paper, in old-fashioned type, instead of being in the quarto, is in the more convenient octavo form, and contains several additional cases not to be found in any of the previous editions of the work,

The last reported case in the edition of 1740 is "*Dominus Rex versus Episcap, Cester, and Richard Pierce, Esq.*" In the edition of 1876 there are the following cases in addition, decided between the years 1726 and 1733, not hitherto reported in any series of the House of Lords :

1. Joseph Oshlen, Esq., appellant, Jonathan Smith, Esq., and others, the co-partners of the joint stock in question, and Peter Delamotte, their secretary, respondents. (This case is cited as MS. in 2 Eq. Ab. Cases, 532.)

2. Mary Thurston, widow, and executrix of Joseph Thurston, Esq., deceased, who was the eldest son and heir of Joseph Thurston, the elder, deceased, and also brother and sole executor of Thomas Thurston, the younger son of the said Joseph Thurston, appellant; John Essingue, Esq., and Mary, his wife, who was the daughter and executrix of Mary Thurston, widow, and executrix of the said Joseph Thurston the elder, respondents.

3. John Morse, gent., Samuel Clark, Esq., and Thomas Bowdler, Esq., on behalf of themselves and others, the proprietors and adventurers of the late Old East India Company, at the time of the dissolution thereof, appellants; Charles

Dubow, Esq., Arthur Moore, Esq., Edward Gibbons, Esq., and Crantham Andrews, Esq., executors of Sir Jonathan Andrews, respondents. (This case is cited as MS. in 2 Eq. Ab. Cases, 279, and 7 Vict., ch. 400, pl. 28.)

4. Sarah Eare, widow, appellant; William Parnell, respondent.

5. Sir Robert Austen, Bart., and Peter Burrell, Esq., executors and trustees of Sir Samuel Lennard, deceased, and Thomas Lennard, infants' appellants; Sir John Leigh, Bart., respondent.

These are all cases of importance, worthy of being ushered into the light of the world by enterprising publishers.

Showers' Cases are models for reporters, even in our day. The statements of the case, the arguments of counsel, and the opinions of the Judges, are all clearly and ably given.

This new edition with an old face of these valuable reports, under the able editorship of R. L. Loveland, Esq., should, in the language of the advertisement, "be welcomed by the profession, as well as enable the custodians of public libraries to complete or add to their series of English Law Reports."

CORRESPONDENCE.

Citation of United States Reports.

TO THE EDITOR OF THE LAW JOURNAL.

SIR,—It has caused a good deal of surprise in the profession that the last number of the Queen's Bench Reports should be flooded with citations of, and extracts from, American cases. These cases are of no authority in this Province, and will never be, so long as the Law of England is to be our guide.

Lord Campbell and other eminent Judges in England, although appreciating the legal acumen of many of the Judges in the States, discountenanced any attempt on the part of counsel to cite Ameri-