

ting for Trinity, who played a very weak team and were fortunate in disposing of their adversaries for less than 100 runs. Garratt's 24 and J. B. Laing's 23 were the leading scores for Whitby. The latter also took 4 wickets for 15 runs, Mathison having 6 for 15 in the first innings, and Trousdell 5 for 23 in the second. Logan's 6 wickets cost 42 runs, Campbell getting 3 for 19.

Trinity College, June 26.

	1st in.	2nd in.	Total.
Trinity College School	66	57	123
Trinity College	42	40+	82

Drawn. †For 2 wickets.

Billings headed the School with 2 (n. o.) and 43. Other scorers on the same side were Stennett (16 and 2), Wood (3 and 15), Roberts (12 and 0), Jones (9 and 6). For the College, A. Allen headed the account with a brace of 13's, and G. W. Allan was left 'not out' with 19 when stumps were drawn. Roberts, for the School, bowled 144 balls for 20 runs and 5 wickets. Moore, Cruttenden, Allen, and Allan were the most successful for the home team. Rain greatly interfered with the comfort of the players. In this match no graduates take part.

Trinity College, June 27.

	1st in.	2nd in.	Total.
Trinity College	31	50	81
Hamilton	119	..	119

Hamilton won in an innings by 38 runs.

The visitors played a very strong team and, as might be expected, won easily against the rather weak eleven of the University mainly through the splendid batting of H. C. Simonds, who scored 68 (n. o.) almost without a chance—the only other double figure being 10 by the last man, Robertson. The Trinity fielding was capital, and Logan bowled 120 balls for 59 runs and 5 wickets. Spragge (4 and 16), Irving (5 and 12), and D. M. Howard (11 and 0), alone did anything for Trinity with the bat. R. B. Ferrie bowled remarkably well, obtaining 6 wickets for 15 runs in the first innings, 7 for 14 in the second.

In addition to the foregoing, a semi-formal match was played on three afternoons in May against U. C. College, who had the assistance of one of their masters; Trinity playing one graduate. Excellent cricket was shewn on both sides, the difference in the totals being but 22 runs. For the School, Mr. Jackson scored 1 and 42 (n. o.); Ogden, 28 (n. o.) and 0; Vickers, 9 and 17. On the part of the College, H. J. Campbell was to the fore with two spirited innings of 38

and 32, Howard scoring 6 and 16; Allen, 9 and 9.

BATTING AVERAGES.

NAMES.	Innings.	Runs.	Not out in an Inn.	Not out in a Match.	Not out.	Average.
K. W. Spragge	5	101	2	2	0	20.20
H. J. Campbell	11	103	4	2	0	15.36
A. F. Campbell	4	44	2	1	0	11.00
P. J. Irving	5	81	2	2	1	10.12
C. J. Logan	5	37	2	2	1	7.40
R. J. Moore	9	67	13	2	0	6.30
A. Allen	9	63	10	1	1	5.60
J. B. Laing	12	63	10	1	1	5.25
G. W. Allan	5	26	8	8	0	5.20
R. B. Ferrie	5	26	8	8	0	5.20
G. H. Coldwell	12	54	8	11	1	4.50
D. M. Howard	11	40	11	11	0	4.18
W. M. Cruttenden	1	4	2	2	0	4.00
W. Farncomb	7	3	1	1	0	0.43

The * signifies "not out." Other members who played less than 3 innings are omitted.

BOWLING ANALYSIS.

NAMES.	Innings.	Balls.	Maidens.	Runs.	Wickets.	Wides.	Runs per Wicket.
D. Howard	11	683	22	260	37	2	7.02
Campbell	4	278	12	79	19	16	4.15
Logan	4	214	19	153	13	0	7.92
Moore	4	222	10	71	9	4	7.88
Howard	4	203	10	71	9	1	8.22
Allen	4	123	4	53	6	1	8.53

Of those who bowled less than 100 balls, Cruttenden had 4 wickets for 12 runs and 6 wides, Allan 1 for *, Coldwell 2 for 10, Ferrie 1 for 8.

Rouge et Noir.

PUBLISHED QUARTERLY BY THE STUDENTS OF TRINITY COLLEGE.

Contributions and literary matter of all kinds solicited from the Alumni and friends of the University.

All matter intended for publication to be addressed to the Editors, Trinity College.

No notice can be taken of anonymous contributions. All matter to be signed by the author, not necessarily, &c.

Advertisements, subscriptions, and business communications, should be directed to C. L. SHAW, Secretary-Treasurer.

Terms, post paid—Single numbers, 15 cents; Annual subscription, 50 cents.

TRINITY COLLEGE, TORONTO.

MAY, 1880.

WE heartily endorse the opinion expressed by our correspondent 'B. A.,' but as far as we have been able to ascertain, nothing has been done towards getting the paintings he speaks of. The walls of the hall seem to be built most suitably for such portraits; and we would that we had many more to fill the spaces between the windows,—reminders of those who have honoured Trinity in times past. The walls in most Colleges of any age are lined with paintings,—the Hall at Harvard

is a curiosity in this respect,—and why should we not build on the good foundation already laid? Trinity College School has in its dining-hall an excellent painting of our late Bishop Bethune, which might easily be copied for us. One of our first Chancellor should also be there.

Might we suggest to all graduates and well-wishers the great propriety of at once opening a subscription list for the purpose of presenting the Provost with his portrait as soon as possible. This, all will agree, *must* be done, and no time should be lost, since his departure for England cannot be far distant.

IN our Correspondents' column will be found the beginning, and the latter part, of a letter received from 'A Graduate.' We feel that an explanation is perhaps due, as to why the body of the letter has been omitted. The reason is this: it was a criticism—a most unsparing criticism, of an essay that appeared in the first number of 'Rouge et Noir.' Now, the first number, be it remembered, was not that of a College paper, but was merely a private enterprise: besides which, the article alluded to, although from the pen of an editor, was not from the editorial pen, and therefore did not fall within the range of such criticism. For these reasons, and others, it has been thought advisable to omit that particular part of our correspondent's letter, as we judged that it had been written under the prompting of a false impression as to the real state of the case.

Of the latter part of the letter, however, we have somewhat to say. We stand on the certainly very 'superior pedestal of intellectual independence,' true enough; and yet we are not at all above acknowledging and availing ourselves of hints and ideas, come from whatsoever source they may, always provided they are worthy and good.

A happy thought may occur to a very ordinary mind, which has escaped a superior and well-trained intellect: should it therefore be lost? And yet we do not wish to be thought to be drawing such a comparison between