

Local Pickups.

—Export-Entry forms for sale at the Advocate's office.

—We have about a half ton of old type metal, suitable for habbit which we will sell cheap. Apply at the Advocate's office.

—If any of the readers of the Advocate have or know of any house conveniently located, suitable for a small family and want to let it to a good tenant, please inform this office.

—It is economy to profit by the experience of others. Thousands have been cured by Hood's Sarsaparilla, why not you?

—Daniel Sullivan lost a sled, upon which were five barrels of beef, last Friday afternoon, while driving on the ice opposite W. A. Hickson's mill wharf. By quick action he cut the harness and rescued the horse, but the sled went through the ice before he could save it. One barrel of beef was fished out later in the day.

—Frank Jardine, Indian, has an extraordinary large egg which is the product of one of his hens. It is seven and one-half inches in circumference the long way and six inches the other, and weighs four ounces.

—The Advocate may be purchased at the Newcastle Book Store.

—"No Surrender" Orange Lodge, No. 47 will give a grand social and entertainment this evening, an account of which will appear in the Advocate.

—The ice is melting very fast and it is not safe for travelling.

—Tenders for repairing the lightship "Jennie" in accordance with the specifications which may be found suspended in the Post Office will be received up to the first day of April, at the agency of the Department of Marine and Fisheries at St. John. The tenders will include caulking, scraping, painting and labor. The list is authorized and signed by F. J. Harding.

—Evangelical services will again be held every evening this week except Saturday in the Methodist church. The Rev. Mr. Moore will be the principal speaker, assisted by the Rev. John Clark and all the people are cordially invited to be present.

—The Miranicht Telephone Exchange has added to its office here a new improved Standard Bell switch board, constructed for fifty subscribers. It is neat, simple, durable, and occupies very little space and for efficiency cannot be surpassed. The need for the telephone is becoming more and more apparent and our local exchange is not behind the needs, but right up to date, and intends to give its subscribers, everything in the latest improvements. A business or professional man cannot afford to get along without a telephone.

—The ladies of St. Andrew's Guild are preparing for a sale to be held after Easter. Further particulars will appear in the Advocate next week.

—The Board of Trade meeting next Monday night, April 4. Be present as an important business will be transacted.

—If it had not been for the life saving agility and cool head of Deputy Sheriff Irving yesterday afternoon, a horse would have met a watery grave. He was more successful with catching the horse with a pole than if he had been trying to pull out lasso.

—A new Orange Hall was dedicated at New Bandon, Gloucester county, last Wednesday evening. A large number of guests from Bathurst and other places were present. The dedication services were conducted by Grand Treasurer P. E. Heine, assisted by Deputy Grand Chaplain Rev. A. P. Thomson. In the evening supper was served, after which a number of speeches were made. The hall is large and commodious and well adapted for the purpose intended.

Married.

At the Methodist Parsonage, Newcastle, by the Rev. John A. Clark, March 28th, J. W. LAWRENCE, of Newfoundland and Miss MARTHA McDONALD, of Newcastle, N. B.

Died.

At Newcastle, March 28th, WILLIAM MURRAY, aged 75 years. Funeral Wednesday 10 o'clock. (Halfday papers please copy.)

Correspondence.

Editor of Union Advocate:
DEAR SIR:—Will you kindly permit me space in your esteemed journal to pay a small tribute to Mr. J. L. Stewart. There is probably no man within the

bounds of this happy and prosperous county, if indeed in this province, more deserving the attention of a philanthropist than this poor fellow. I am compelled to believe that an unkind fate, in an evil moment impelled by a spiteful humor, placed the creature in an editor's chair.

It is clear that he is not in his proper element for nature has equipped him with a dead conscience, a mean soul, a narrow mind, a passing whim, a pleading, pity-begging consciousness, in fact everything that thoroughly fits him to stand on the street corner or go through the market places, crying in a dismal voice "Charity!" "Charity!" "A penny for charity!"

Placed as he is, his manner and fault finding no man or thing excepting himself, his no time is inopportune. His never-ending wail about provokes one to, exclaim with Whittier:

"Poor fool! with hope still mocking his despair,
He wanders, shrieking on the midnight air,
For human pity, and for Christian prayer."

For a long time everything and everybody that contradicted anything to the enforcement of the Canada Temperance Act have been targets for his invective; and in this as in all other things the poor beggar's penny is the main cause of his grief.

In his paper "The World" under date of March the 19th instant writing of the prosecution against R. Flanagan he says: "There will be a pretty big bill to be paid, for taking witnesses to Newcastle unnecessarily. All the witnesses who 'have been examined have been summoned merely on speculation'... and again: "Such fishing for evidence may be legal but it is against common decency to call men and women as witnesses in Scott Act cases when the prosecution has no reasonable ground for believing that they have purchased 'bribe of the accused'."

The first thing which attracts our attention in this article is the penny spirit of its author. The second is his ignorance, and lastly his determination to slander and abuse the hard working and faithful enforcers of the law.

Mr. Stewart knows that no kind of crime or vice can be suppressed without cost. Mr. Stewart is ignorant of the facts of the case he refers to in his article. Mr. Stewart is a slanderer for he knows by the untiring and successful efforts of the Inspector in suppressing the unlawful traffic in intoxicating drink, that he must conduct his business systematically; that he cannot be depending on mere chance in selecting his witnesses. Mr. Stewart knows, too, that it is impossible for the In-

pector to catch the offender red handed, and equally difficult to see the man supplied with the article. Therefore, it is not surprising that he is sometimes mistaken in a witness. This happens in all prosecutions of every crime or vice whatever.

A great pity the Inspector does not go to Mr. Stewart and tell him his reasons for calling a witness.

The same journal under date, March 29th treated us with two articles on the same subject. One entitled "Fishing for Evidence" the other "Weighty Witnesses and Light Evidence." They are in the same strain as all their predecessors, so it is hardly worth the trouble of criticising them. We will, however, call public attention to one example that therefrom they may judge all, for it clearly illustrates the knowing ignorance of the illustrious fool.

In his article, "Fishing for Evidence," writing of the hearing before Justice Niven on Tuesday the 22nd inst, he says, "Mr. Lawlor made a strong argument for dismissal of the complaint. A very big 'bull' had been incurred, a dozen 'men' had been dragged from their beds, 'ness' to Newcastle to testify, and the prosecution had shamelessly resorted to a 'disrespectful expedient of calling witness' 'the named in the information.' I doubt if Mr. Lawlor will feel compelled to make the report of his oration. Everybody but Stewart knows witnesses are not named in the information in such cases.

The truth is, Mr. Lawlor was not even present at the hearing, referred to above. Mr. Stewart hears of a trial, draws on his imagination to put himself in attendance, and then in his supreme conceit undertakes the task of fabricating an address for his imagined counsel.

For shame Stewart! leave the Editor's chair, take the "red" in the position for which nature has fitted you. You can have fresh and plausible lies for every charitable person you meet. This backed up by your pitiable men and the unclean and innocent with which you tell your story cannot fail of success.

Thanking you Mr. Editor, for trespassing thus on your space, I remain, Respectfully yours,
Newcastle, March 29, 1898. ENRIK.

To the Editor of Union Advocate:
DEAR SIR:—Having noticed a communication in the World of the 17th March, signed "Fisherman," not at all complimentary to "Fisherman," your correspondent having heard a number of the fishermen denounce the said effusion as unjust and void of truth in every particular and as a old fisherman and in justice to the fishermen of this province, I beg leave through the medium of your able journal, to avail myself of this earliest opportunity of presenting some facts for "Fisherman" and the public to consider.

Let us examine the facts of the case: "Fisherman" complains that the first crew of salmon last season was slaughtered. Do I understand "Fisherman" to blame Overseer John Robinson for this? The present Overseer cannot be held responsible as he had no jurisdiction over the territory at that time. He was appointed August, some eight weeks after the slaughter complained of had been committed. Were "Fisherman's" knowledge as profound as his ignorance he would be an intellectual giant. Can "Fisherman" point to any neglect of duty on the part of Robinson and his most efficient assistants since their appointment? If memory serves me right, did not Robinson, shortly after his appointment, accompany the Hon. Gardiner Kingston, destroy salmon smoke houses on a territory of the North East river? And this notwithstanding the fact that North East poachers were stationed along the route to warn the owners of the officers approach. "Fisherman" please try and be honest.

"Fisherman" would like to know how Overseer Robinson gained his knowledge of the river. I don't know. But, judging from the large number of nets captured by the Overseer and his assistants, he seems to have a better knowledge of the river than his predecessor. Question, has he hurt your corns Mr. "Fisherman?" "Fisherman" also complains that "gill-net" fishing was carried on to such an extent that the fishermen were compelled to take the law in their own hands. Now "Fisherman," do not exaggerate or give the public any cause to doubt your veracity, as many people do your motive in attacking an efficient and faithful officer. In your haste to make a point against the Overseer, you rush into print and make statements with a reckless border of despair. As a fisherman I know the facts of the case to which you refer. It is not a saying now passed into a proverb, "that 'gill-nets' when set by the expert and 'practiced hand of a North East poacher,' would deceive experienced fishermen."

In this case it is proved true. Some fishermen cutting holes in the ice to lay lawful calling holes in the ice to lay their nets and in turning them on the bows became entangled in some "gill-nets" which were brought to the surface and destroyed. The discovery was made by accident and not by design. Had they known (the nets) were there, no doubt said fishermen would have gone to some other locality to fish. The meanest kind of a lie is a half one. Don't be mean Mr. "Fisherman."

"Fisherman" wants to know where the protection is that was promised them if the Liberals came into power. How true "None are so blind as those that will not see." Has not this promise been most

faithfully kept by the invasion of that graveyard of the striped bass, known as the North channel and Hill's flats, seemingly consecrated for the sole use of North East poachers? Said poachers hold up their innocent townsfolk as a witness to their unscrupulous and unfeeling disregard of a non-resident Overseer; even when he is accompanied by efficient North East Wardens.

"Fisherman" pleads for a resident Overseer. By what special right or privilege does he expect to obtain so important an appointment for North East. Is it on the ground that said parish contributes most largely to the bass revenue? Then, on the same grounds, the fishermen of South West, Nelson and Newcastle, could come in among us and put forth equally as strong a claim. Is it on the ground of better protection? If so, past experience is against "Fisherman." And Mr. Robinson is the right man in the right place. As I and many more fishermen and residents of the North East can testify by his presence on the ice day and night. As a resident of Newcastle, in close proximity to the North East as a fishery overseer, to the Railways he is in a position to guard against the shipping of small bass and large ones in close season. Has Mr. "Fisherman" forgotten the destruction of the close season of 1896, when poachers' cords, one man, with a short net, was seen to take between 60 and 70 large bass in a single night? Yet he was only one of the many who carried on this revolting business in our midst, and all this in the District of a Resident Overseer. No doubt Mr. "Fisherman" in his political wanderings around the county, you have heard of the "hand writing on the wall." In this connection let me say that residents of North East as fishery overseers, have been weighed in the balance and found wanting. I regret to have to admit it, but we must.

Poor "Fisherman," I sympathize (?) with you in your sad, unenviable position of office. You feel keenly in the matter and in your conceit you have the impudence to threaten the present Government with your powerful (?) influence and the noble twenty-five of a majority which you claimed for the Liberal candidate. Both the Government and all concerned will laugh at your foolish babblings which sound more like the vapourings of a verulent school-boy than an aspirant for Government post. As a fisherman of thirty years experience and not as a personal friend of Overseer Robinson, I pen these lines. I like fair play and the present Minister of Marine and Fisheries is to be congratulated on the selection of Overseer Robinson and Warden Hogan, Jardine and Clouston, who perform their duties in a fearless and impartial manner. The fishermen will support their permanent appointment.

The old system of appointing Wardens in the Fall, does not work as men on short time will not do anything to cause ill will towards a neighbour, for they may be fishermen themselves next season. Thus such appointments are an injury to the fisheries.

As a defender of fishermen's rights, I would like to know from "Fisherman" about the fate of that petition recently circulated in our community, demanding the dismissal of Mr. Robinson. What success has it met with? I don't know. I would like to know from "Fisherman" about the fate of that petition recently circulated in our community, demanding the dismissal of Mr. Robinson. What success has it met with? I don't know.

Can't Eat

This is the complaint of thousands at this season. Eat

They have no appetite; food does not relish. They need the tonic of this stomach and digestive organs, which a course of Hood's Sarsaparilla will give them. It also purifies and enriches the blood, cures that distress after eating and internal misery only a dyspeptic can know, creates an appetite, overcomes that tired feeling and builds up and sustains the whole physical system. It so promptly and efficiently relieves dyspepsia, constipation and cures nervous headaches, that it seems to have almost "a magic touch."

—The Advocate may be purchased at the Newcastle Book Store.

—"No Surrender" Orange Lodge, No. 47 will give a grand social and entertainment this evening, an account of which will appear in the Advocate.

—The ice is melting very fast and it is not safe for travelling.

—Tenders for repairing the lightship "Jennie" in accordance with the specifications which may be found suspended in the Post Office will be received up to the first day of April, at the agency of the Department of Marine and Fisheries at St. John. The tenders will include caulking, scraping, painting and labor. The list is authorized and signed by F. J. Harding.

—Evangelical services will again be held every evening this week except Saturday in the Methodist church. The Rev. Mr. Moore will be the principal speaker, assisted by the Rev. John Clark and all the people are cordially invited to be present.

—The Miranicht Telephone Exchange has added to its office here a new improved Standard Bell switch board, constructed for fifty subscribers. It is neat, simple, durable, and occupies very little space and for efficiency cannot be surpassed. The need for the telephone is becoming more and more apparent and our local exchange is not behind the needs, but right up to date, and intends to give its subscribers, everything in the latest improvements. A business or professional man cannot afford to get along without a telephone.

—The ladies of St. Andrew's Guild are preparing for a sale to be held after Easter. Further particulars will appear in the Advocate next week.

—The Board of Trade meeting next Monday night, April 4. Be present as an important business will be transacted.

—If it had not been for the life saving agility and cool head of Deputy Sheriff Irving yesterday afternoon, a horse would have met a watery grave. He was more successful with catching the horse with a pole than if he had been trying to pull out lasso.

—A new Orange Hall was dedicated at New Bandon, Gloucester county, last Wednesday evening. A large number of guests from Bathurst and other places were present. The dedication services were conducted by Grand Treasurer P. E. Heine, assisted by Deputy Grand Chaplain Rev. A. P. Thomson. In the evening supper was served, after which a number of speeches were made. The hall is large and commodious and well adapted for the purpose intended.

At the Methodist Parsonage, Newcastle, by the Rev. John A. Clark, March 28th, J. W. LAWRENCE, of Newfoundland and Miss MARTHA McDONALD, of Newcastle, N. B.

At Newcastle, March 28th, WILLIAM MURRAY, aged 75 years. Funeral Wednesday 10 o'clock. (Halfday papers please copy.)

Editor of Union Advocate:
DEAR SIR:—Will you kindly permit me space in your esteemed journal to pay a small tribute to Mr. J. L. Stewart. There is probably no man within the

bounds of this happy and prosperous county, if indeed in this province, more deserving the attention of a philanthropist than this poor fellow. I am compelled to believe that an unkind fate, in an evil moment impelled by a spiteful humor, placed the creature in an editor's chair.

It is clear that he is not in his proper element for nature has equipped him with a dead conscience, a mean soul, a narrow mind, a passing whim, a pleading, pity-begging consciousness, in fact everything that thoroughly fits him to stand on the street corner or go through the market places, crying in a dismal voice "Charity!" "Charity!" "A penny for charity!"

Placed as he is, his manner and fault finding no man or thing excepting himself, his no time is inopportune. His never-ending wail about provokes one to, exclaim with Whittier:

"Poor fool! with hope still mocking his despair,
He wanders, shrieking on the midnight air,
For human pity, and for Christian prayer."

For a long time everything and everybody that contradicted anything to the enforcement of the Canada Temperance Act have been targets for his invective; and in this as in all other things the poor beggar's penny is the main cause of his grief.

In his paper "The World" under date of March the 19th instant writing of the prosecution against R. Flanagan he says: "There will be a pretty big bill to be paid, for taking witnesses to Newcastle unnecessarily. All the witnesses who 'have been examined have been summoned merely on speculation'... and again: "Such fishing for evidence may be legal but it is against common decency to call men and women as witnesses in Scott Act cases when the prosecution has no reasonable ground for believing that they have purchased 'bribe of the accused'."

The first thing which attracts our attention in this article is the penny spirit of its author. The second is his ignorance, and lastly his determination to slander and abuse the hard working and faithful enforcers of the law.

Mr. Stewart knows that no kind of crime or vice can be suppressed without cost. Mr. Stewart is ignorant of the facts of the case he refers to in his article. Mr. Stewart is a slanderer for he knows by the untiring and successful efforts of the Inspector in suppressing the unlawful traffic in intoxicating drink, that he must conduct his business systematically; that he cannot be depending on mere chance in selecting his witnesses. Mr. Stewart knows, too, that it is impossible for the In-

pector to catch the offender red handed, and equally difficult to see the man supplied with the article. Therefore, it is not surprising that he is sometimes mistaken in a witness. This happens in all prosecutions of every crime or vice whatever.

A great pity the Inspector does not go to Mr. Stewart and tell him his reasons for calling a witness.

The same journal under date, March 29th treated us with two articles on the same subject. One entitled "Fishing for Evidence" the other "Weighty Witnesses and Light Evidence." They are in the same strain as all their predecessors, so it is hardly worth the trouble of criticising them. We will, however, call public attention to one example that therefrom they may judge all, for it clearly illustrates the knowing ignorance of the illustrious fool.

In his article, "Fishing for Evidence," writing of the hearing before Justice Niven on Tuesday the 22nd inst, he says, "Mr. Lawlor made a strong argument for dismissal of the complaint. A very big 'bull' had been incurred, a dozen 'men' had been dragged from their beds, 'ness' to Newcastle to testify, and the prosecution had shamelessly resorted to a 'disrespectful expedient of calling witness' 'the named in the information.' I doubt if Mr. Lawlor will feel compelled to make the report of his oration. Everybody but Stewart knows witnesses are not named in the information in such cases.

The truth is, Mr. Lawlor was not even present at the hearing, referred to above. Mr. Stewart hears of a trial, draws on his imagination to put himself in attendance, and then in his supreme conceit undertakes the task of fabricating an address for his imagined counsel.

For shame Stewart! leave the Editor's chair, take the "red" in the position for which nature has fitted you. You can have fresh and plausible lies for every charitable person you meet. This backed up by your pitiable men and the unclean and innocent with which you tell your story cannot fail of success.

Thanking you Mr. Editor, for trespassing thus on your space, I remain, Respectfully yours,
Newcastle, March 29, 1898. ENRIK.

To the Editor of Union Advocate:
DEAR SIR:—Having noticed a communication in the World of the 17th March, signed "Fisherman," not at all complimentary to "Fisherman," your correspondent having heard a number of the fishermen denounce the said effusion as unjust and void of truth in every particular and as a old fisherman and in justice to the fishermen of this province, I beg leave through the medium of your able journal, to avail myself of this earliest opportunity of presenting some facts for "Fisherman" and the public to consider.

Let us examine the facts of the case: "Fisherman" complains that the first crew of salmon last season was slaughtered. Do I understand "Fisherman" to blame Overseer John Robinson for this? The present Overseer cannot be held responsible as he had no jurisdiction over the territory at that time. He was appointed August, some eight weeks after the slaughter complained of had been committed. Were "Fisherman's" knowledge as profound as his ignorance he would be an intellectual giant. Can "Fisherman" point to any neglect of duty on the part of Robinson and his most efficient assistants since their appointment? If memory serves me right, did not Robinson, shortly after his appointment, accompany the Hon. Gardiner Kingston, destroy salmon smoke houses on a territory of the North East river? And this notwithstanding the fact that North East poachers were stationed along the route to warn the owners of the officers approach. "Fisherman" please try and be honest.

"Fisherman" would like to know how Overseer Robinson gained his knowledge of the river. I don't know. But, judging from the large number of nets captured by the Overseer and his assistants, he seems to have a better knowledge of the river than his predecessor. Question, has he hurt your corns Mr. "Fisherman?" "Fisherman" also complains that "gill-net" fishing was carried on to such an extent that the fishermen were compelled to take the law in their own hands. Now "Fisherman," do not exaggerate or give the public any cause to doubt your veracity, as many people do your motive in attacking an efficient and faithful officer. In your haste to make a point against the Overseer, you rush into print and make statements with a reckless border of despair. As a fisherman I know the facts of the case to which you refer. It is not a saying now passed into a proverb, "that 'gill-nets' when set by the expert and 'practiced hand of a North East poacher,' would deceive experienced fishermen."

In this case it is proved true. Some fishermen cutting holes in the ice to lay lawful calling holes in the ice to lay their nets and in turning them on the bows became entangled in some "gill-nets" which were brought to the surface and destroyed. The discovery was made by accident and not by design. Had they known (the nets) were there, no doubt said fishermen would have gone to some other locality to fish. The meanest kind of a lie is a half one. Don't be mean Mr. "Fisherman."

"Fisherman" wants to know where the protection is that was promised them if the Liberals came into power. How true "None are so blind as those that will not see." Has not this promise been most

faithfully kept by the invasion of that graveyard of the striped bass, known as the North channel and Hill's flats, seemingly consecrated for the sole use of North East poachers? Said poachers hold up their innocent townsfolk as a witness to their unscrupulous and unfeeling disregard of a non-resident Overseer; even when he is accompanied by efficient North East Wardens.

"Fisherman" pleads for a resident Overseer. By what special right or privilege does he expect to obtain so important an appointment for North East. Is it on the ground that said parish contributes most largely to the bass revenue? Then, on the same grounds, the fishermen of South West, Nelson and Newcastle, could come in among us and put forth equally as strong a claim. Is it on the ground of better protection? If so, past experience is against "Fisherman." And Mr. Robinson is the right man in the right place. As I and many more fishermen and residents of the North East can testify by his presence on the ice day and night. As a resident of Newcastle, in close proximity to the North East as a fishery overseer, to the Railways he is in a position to guard against the shipping of small bass and large ones in close season. Has Mr. "Fisherman" forgotten the destruction of the close season of 1896, when poachers' cords, one man, with a short net, was seen to take between 60 and 70 large bass in a single night? Yet he was only one of the many who carried on this revolting business in our midst, and all this in the District of a Resident Overseer. No doubt Mr. "Fisherman" in his political wanderings around the county, you have heard of the "hand writing on the wall." In this connection let me say that residents of North East as fishery overseers, have been weighed in the balance and found wanting. I regret to have to admit it, but we must.

Poor "Fisherman," I sympathize (?) with you in your sad, unenviable position of office. You feel keenly in the matter and in your conceit you have the impudence to threaten the present Government with your powerful (?) influence and the noble twenty-five of a majority which you claimed for the Liberal candidate. Both the Government and all concerned will laugh at your foolish babblings which sound more like the vapourings of a verulent school-boy than an aspirant for Government post. As a fisherman of thirty years experience and not as a personal friend of Overseer Robinson, I pen these lines. I like fair play and the present Minister of Marine and Fisheries is to be congratulated on the selection of Overseer Robinson and Warden Hogan, Jardine and Clouston, who perform their duties in a fearless and impartial manner. The fishermen will support their permanent appointment.

The old system of appointing Wardens in the Fall, does not work as men on short time will not do anything to cause ill will towards a neighbour, for they may be fishermen themselves next season. Thus such appointments are an injury to the fisheries.

As a defender of fishermen's rights, I would like to know from "Fisherman" about the fate of that petition recently circulated in our community, demanding the dismissal of Mr. Robinson. What success has it met with? I don't know. I would like to know from "Fisherman" about the fate of that petition recently circulated in our community, demanding the dismissal of Mr. Robinson. What success has it met with? I don't know.

Can't Eat

This is the complaint of thousands at this season. Eat

They have no appetite; food does not relish. They need the tonic of this stomach and digestive organs, which a course of Hood's Sarsaparilla will give them. It also purifies and enriches the blood, cures that distress after eating and internal misery only a dyspeptic can know, creates an appetite, overcomes that tired feeling and builds up and sustains the whole physical system. It so promptly and efficiently relieves dyspepsia, constipation and cures nervous headaches, that it seems to have almost "a magic touch."

—The Advocate may be purchased at the Newcastle Book Store.

—"No Surrender" Orange Lodge, No. 47 will give a grand social and entertainment this evening, an account of which will appear in the Advocate.

—The ice is melting very fast and it is not safe for travelling.

—Tenders for repairing the lightship "Jennie" in accordance with the specifications which may be found suspended in the Post Office will be received up to the first day of April, at the agency of the Department of Marine and Fisheries at St. John. The tenders will include caulking, scraping, painting and labor. The list is authorized and signed by F. J. Harding.

—Evangelical services will again be held every evening this week except Saturday in the Methodist church. The Rev. Mr. Moore will be the principal speaker, assisted by the Rev. John Clark and all the people are cordially invited to be present.

—The Miranicht Telephone Exchange has added to its office here a new improved Standard Bell switch board, constructed for fifty subscribers. It is neat, simple, durable, and occupies very little space and for efficiency cannot be surpassed. The need for the telephone is becoming more and more apparent and our local exchange is not behind the needs, but right up to date, and intends to give its subscribers, everything in the latest improvements. A business or professional man cannot afford to get along without a telephone.

—The ladies of St. Andrew's Guild are preparing for a sale to be held after Easter. Further particulars will appear in the Advocate next week.

—The Board of Trade meeting next Monday night, April 4. Be present as an important business will be transacted.

—If it had not been for the life saving agility and cool head of Deputy Sheriff Irving yesterday afternoon, a horse would have met a watery grave. He was more successful with catching the horse with a pole than if he had been trying to pull out lasso.

—A new Orange Hall was dedicated at New Bandon, Gloucester county, last Wednesday evening. A large number of guests from Bathurst and other places were present. The dedication services were conducted by Grand Treasurer P. E. Heine, assisted by Deputy Grand Chaplain Rev. A. P. Thomson. In the evening supper was served, after which a number of speeches were made. The hall is large and commodious and well adapted for the purpose intended.

At the Methodist Parsonage, Newcastle, by the Rev. John A. Clark, March 28th, J. W. LAWRENCE, of Newfoundland and Miss MARTHA McDONALD, of Newcastle, N. B.

At Newcastle, March 28th, WILLIAM MURRAY, aged 75 years. Funeral Wednesday 10 o'clock. (Halfday papers please copy.)

Editor of Union Advocate:
DEAR SIR:—Will you kindly permit me space in your esteemed journal to pay a small tribute to Mr. J. L. Stewart. There is probably no man within the

bounds of this happy and prosperous county, if indeed in this province, more deserving the attention of a philanthropist than this poor fellow. I am compelled to believe that an unkind fate, in an evil moment impelled by a spiteful humor, placed the creature in an editor's chair.

It is clear that he is not in his proper element for nature has equipped him with a dead conscience, a mean soul, a narrow mind, a passing whim, a pleading, pity-begging consciousness, in fact everything that thoroughly fits him to stand on the street corner or go through the market places, crying in a dismal voice "Charity!" "Charity!" "A penny for charity!"

Placed as he is, his manner and fault finding no man or thing excepting himself, his no time is inopportune. His never-ending wail about provokes one to, exclaim with Whittier:

"Poor fool! with hope still mocking his despair,
He wanders, shrieking on the midnight air,
For human pity, and for Christian prayer."

For a long time everything and everybody that contradicted anything to the enforcement of the Canada Temperance Act have been targets for his invective; and in this as in all other things the poor beggar's penny is the main cause of his grief.

In his paper "The World" under date of March the 19th instant writing of the prosecution against R. Flanagan he says: "There will be a pretty big bill to be paid, for taking witnesses to Newcastle unnecessarily. All the witnesses who 'have been examined have been summoned merely on speculation'... and again: "Such fishing for evidence may be legal but it is against common decency to call men and women as witnesses in Scott Act cases when the prosecution has no reasonable ground for believing that they have purchased 'bribe of the accused'."

The first thing which attracts our attention in this article is the penny spirit of its author. The second is his ignorance, and lastly his determination to slander and abuse the hard working and faithful enforcers of the law.

Mr. Stewart knows that no kind of crime or vice can be suppressed without cost. Mr. Stewart is ignorant of the facts of the case he refers to in his article. Mr. Stewart is a slanderer for he knows by the untiring and successful efforts of the Inspector in suppressing the unlawful traffic in intoxicating drink, that he must conduct his business systematically; that he cannot be depending on mere chance in selecting his witnesses. Mr. Stewart knows, too, that it is impossible for the In-

pector to catch the offender red handed, and equally difficult to see the man supplied with the article. Therefore, it is not surprising that he is sometimes mistaken in a witness. This happens in all prosecutions of every crime or vice whatever.

A great pity the Inspector does not go to Mr. Stewart and tell him his reasons for calling a witness.

The same journal under date, March 29th treated us with two articles on the same subject. One entitled "Fishing for Evidence" the other "Weighty Witnesses and Light Evidence." They are in the same strain as all their predecessors, so it is hardly worth the trouble of criticising them. We will, however, call public attention to one example that therefrom they may judge all, for it clearly illustrates the knowing ignorance of the illustrious fool.

In his article, "Fishing for Evidence," writing of the hearing before Justice Niven on Tuesday the 22nd inst, he says, "Mr. Lawlor made a strong argument for dismissal of the complaint. A very big 'bull' had been incurred, a dozen 'men' had been dragged from their beds, 'ness' to Newcastle to testify, and the prosecution had shamelessly resorted to a 'disrespectful expedient of calling witness' 'the named in the information.' I doubt if Mr. Lawlor will feel compelled to make the report of his oration. Everybody but Stewart knows witnesses are not named in the information in such cases.

The truth is, Mr. Lawlor was not even present at the hearing, referred to above. Mr. Stewart hears of a trial, draws on his imagination to put himself in attendance, and then in his supreme conceit undertakes the task of fabricating an address for his imagined counsel.

For shame Stewart! leave the Editor's chair, take the "red" in the position for which nature has fitted you. You can have fresh and plausible lies for every charitable person you meet. This backed up by your pitiable men and the unclean and innocent with which you tell your story cannot fail of success.

Thanking you Mr. Editor, for trespassing thus on your space, I remain, Respectfully yours,
Newcastle, March 29, 1898. ENRIK.

To the Editor of Union Advocate:
DEAR SIR:—Having noticed a communication in the World of the 17th March, signed "Fisherman," not at all complimentary to "Fisherman," your correspondent having heard a