session of the said Premises [this is what we should now call an "Interim injunction."] and the action to be considered in the meantime." On August 27th, on consent, a continuance was ordered for eight days; on Sept. 3rd the defendant not appearing the case was again "continued at the instance of Mr. Roe": on Sept. 10th the defendant still not appearing, the Declaration is set out and a judgment entered for re-entry and £9-17-0 currency of the Province for costs.

August 20th "James Fraser, Attorney to the Assignees of Thomas Cox vs. Pierre La Bute, Walter Roe for the Plaintiff filed his Declaration and the Defendant being called and appeared in person—and after some altercation, Mr. Roe the Plaintiff's Attorney moved to discontinue the suit. The Court ordered the suit to be discontinued accordingly."

July 23rd "Leith & Shepherd of Detroit, and Copartners in Trade vs. Jean Bte. Leduc, fils, of the Parish of L'Assomption, Yeoman." The Defendant admitted his signature to the note, but pleaded infancy. He was ordered to prove his plea and on Aug. 20th he "produced his Batistere", which proved that he was not a minor at the time of signing the note. His further plea that it was for his father's debt was equally ineffective and judgment went against him for note.

interest and costs.

August 20th, "Frederick Arnold vs. J. Bte. Leduck fils, Walter Roe Attorney for the Plaintiff and the Defendant appeared; and by consent of parties, Claude Rheaume and Isaac Dolson, is nominated to estimate the damages in the Detention of the plaintiff's horses, and to call in the third person in case of Differences, reserving to the Court the right of imprisonment of said horses and to report in eight days." The action was, Aug. 27th, continued for eight days; Sept. 3rd "the Court took into consideration the Report of auditors upon the matter in dispute who were nominated by consent of the parties to report on their differences" and entered judgment for the plaintiff, that Jean Bte. Leduck fils should pay him the sum of £10 of the currency of New York, equal to £6 – 5 currency of Quebec (the computation here is exact).

September 3rd "Magdalaine Peltier, spouse of Jaques Peltier, vs. Laurent Maure. The plaintiff filed her Declaration and the Defendant appeared in person. The Court having taken the matter into consideration and find that the plaintiff is under coverture and not authorized by a Letter of Attorney from her husband. It is ordered that the action be dismissed."

Sept. 3. "Antoine Jalbert v. Jonathan Schiffelin, Charles Smyth, Attorney for the Plaintiff by Procuration filed his Declaration. The