Income Tax

Mr. Speaker: Pursuant to Standing Order 43 such a motion can be presented for debate at this time only with unanimous consent of the House. Is there unanimous consent?

Some hon. Members: Agreed.

Some hon. Members: No.

TOURISM

PROPOSAL TO CREATE SEPARATE DEPARTMENT—MOTION UNDER S.O. 43

Hon. George Hees (Prince Edward-Hastings): Mr. Speaker, I rise under the provisions of Standing Order 43 to move a motion of urgent and pressing necessity. Because of the great importance of tourism to the economy of this country, and the urgent need to take constructive steps to overcome our large tourist trade deficit, which is increasing rapidly each year, I move, seconded by the hon. member for Parry Sound-Muskoka (Mr. Darling):

That the government create a separate department of tourism, with the powers which are necessary to restore the tourist industry to a healthy, profitable condition.

Mr. Speaker: Such a motion can be presented for debate at this time only with unanimous consent. Is there unanimous consent?

Some hon. Members: Agreed.

Some hon, Members: No.

INCOME TAX

REASON FOR FAILURE TO PROCEED WITH BILL C-56 OF LAST SESSION—MOTION UNDER S.O. 43

Mr. Lincoln M. Alexander (Hamilton West): Mr. Speaker, I rise under the provisions of Standing Order 43 on a matter of urgent and pressing necessity. As the Minister of Finance appears to be embarrassed by the fact that Bill C-11 has not been passed by the House while at the same time in an interview reported in the *Financial Times* he stated that reference to the bill not passing is a very unreal statement because "when the Minister of Finance proposes a tax change, it is law, effective the night of the budget", I move, seconded by the hon. member for York-Simcoe (Mr. Stevens):

That the government House leader be asked to make a statement on motions as to why Bill C-11's father, Bill C-56, was not advanced for debate after its first reading on June 15 in the last session of this parliament and as a result seven months of debating time were lost since the March 31 budget which has resulted in the present Minister of Finance continually having to suck and blow as an apologist for the government's tardiness.

Mr. Speaker: Such a motion requires unanimous consent. Is there unanimous consent?

[Mr. Baldwin.]

Some hon. Members: Agreed.

Some hon. Members: No.

AWARDS AND DECORATIONS

SUGGESTED AWARD TO SIR WILLIAM STEPHENSON—MOTION UNDER S.O. 43

Mr. Erik Nielsen (Yukon): Mr. Speaker, I should like to propose a motion under Standing Order 43 and I urge all members opposite to listen before shouting "no". Its urgency is due to the ailing health of the individual who is some 83 years old and who is the subject of this motion. My motion concerns a most singular and outstanding Canadian, Sir William Stephenson, who has been honoured by almost every western nation in the world save his own country. Canada.

Because he sought anonymity, held no military rank and wore no uniform after 1918—he never publicly displayed the decorations and medals awarded to him—and because he holds the British Military Cross, the 1914-1915 Star, the General Service Medal, the World War I Victory Medal, the French Commander of the Legion of Honour—

Mr. Speaker: Order, please. The standing order gives an opportunity to hon. members to explain the urgency of the matter. I think the hon. member might get to his motion.

Mr. Nielsen: —the Belgium Croix de Guerre with Palms, the U.S. Presidential Medal for Merit—Sir William was the first non-American to receive this decoration—and the British Badge of Knighthood, I move:

That this House urges the government to consider the award to William Stephenson of an appropriately high Canadian honour, perhaps a Companion of the Order of Canada or an honorary membership, in belated recognition of the singular and significant contribution made by this outstanding Canadian to the cause of the western world during the Second World War.

Some hon. Members: Hear, hear!

Mr. Speaker: Order, please. Standing Order 43 provides that such a motion can be presented for debate only with unanimous consent of the House. Is there unanimous consent?

Some hon. Members: Agreed.

Some hon, Members: No.

• (1417)

NATIONAL SECURITY

PROPOSED EXTENSION OF TERMS OF REFERENCE OF McDONALD INQUIRY TO INCLUDE ESPIONAGE ACTIVITIES—MOTION UNDER S.O. 43

Mr. Otto Jelinek (High Park-Humber Valley): Mr. Speaker, pursuant to the provisions of Standing Order 43, I rise on a matter of urgent and pressing necessity. Because by far the