

nd Cana
their lease
ing the
of Alaska

he U.S.
in offend
or to the
into the
Canning

H.

ton, Mr.

it is the
Dixon
o Japan
ting the
ne denies
at the
ld bear
Simpson
l Canal
boundary

remains
Canning
vigation
Russian
instead
y along
mainland
le state
continent
y settle
base of
t on the
ishment

aters of
tracted
e treaty
ement
ly 12th,

ade any
mouth

Sitkeen River. In 1831, the Hudson's Bay Company erected a trading post at the mouth of the River. In examining the records of that date, I find that United States vessels were in the habit of trading with the natives near the entrance of Nass River, and that a Hudson's Company's vessel going towards Sitka was fired upon by the Russians on nearing Fort Ross.

To keep the United States vessels from interfering in the fur trade on Hudson Bay, any, in 1837 or '38, leased the frontier from Russia, and so obtained the sole monopoly of trade with the native. This arrangement obviated the necessity for keeping a separate fort, and was so acted upon until the Hudson's Bay Company's lease expired, which has been renewed a few times.

By the lease referred to, the Treaty boundary was for the time being lost sight of by British Columbia, until, in 1867, Mr. Secretary Seward purchased Alaska from Russia, with rights under the treaty. He at once took what I believe to have been unauthorised possession of the region between Portland Canal and Clarence Straits south of Latitude 56°, to form of the Treaty of 1825 as the hypothetical basis of an apocryphal line which had been published about the year 1849.

I find that in 1862 John Arrowsmith, of 35, Heriot Row, South Kensington, published a map in connection with a book treating of the fur trade of British Columbia, which, the boundary as proceeding from the northern end of Portland Canal in a north-easterly direction, as shown in the Russian atlas referred to. The map has the following marginal notes: "Map is copyright, having been constructed from a variety of original material, and at £100." Doubtless the Russian atlas of 1849 implied a portion of the 'foreign coast' which made up Arrowsmith's map. It is not shown, however, by what authority the boundary *via* Portland Canal was placed on the Russian atlas in 1849, or on Mr. Arrowsmith's map in 1862. It is quite likely that neither the Russian Hydrographic nor Mr. Arrowsmith knew anything of the details of the Treaty, but that the former, finding the Portland Canal on Vancouver's map, joined the boundary to its northern end, and the latter copied his erroneous example.

The Hydrographic Department of the Admiralty informs me that not until 1863 did "Dix" or "Eldin" appear on the Admiralty charts, as the island was formerly named "Isle of York" by Captain Vancouver, and quoted as a land mark in Sir Charles Bagot's instructions in 1824, relative to the Russian Anglo-Treaty. The name of the island, as I have it, founded upon a Russian chart of 1818. The name "Dixie Island" in Clarence Straits, the southern of the group of Gravina Islands, appears first on the Admiralty charts in 1863, having been taken from the United States surveys and sketches.

The United States authorities have been most persistent and indefatigable in placing names in connection with Alaska, to suit their Portland Canal boundary, which is now to be found almost every map and other clearly published, so that the public are thus led to believe that Alaska extends south to Portland Canal, including Clarence Straits. Mr. Ward, however, approaches that part of the boundary very cautiously. In his letter to Lord Stanley (November 29th, 1865) in refuting Mr. Phelps, etc., he modestly and plausibly says: "It is conceived that this western part of the boundary line can ever be called in question by the governments. Get only an easy way to obtain possession of the assumed *territories* of British Columbia, link together with a most important strategical position which would render Clarence Straits a closed sea."

I am greatly mistaken if the present Colonial Secretary will allow British Columbia to be utilized and dismembered on any such assumption, and contrary to the policy enunciated in the Queen's Speech, which says to "My Lords and Gentlemen, that the *extension* and improvement of the naval defences of the Empire is the most important subject to which your attention can be directed, and will doubtless occupy your most earnest attention."

"Should the Portland Canal region be *open* *open* to a foreign power, it would not be surprising if Fort TOSCAS would soon be re-mounted for the defence of Portland Canal and its sheltered waters. In all my researches regarding the negotiations and formation of the Treaty of 1825, I have been unable to discover a scrap of evidence to warrant or justify the assumption of the Portland Canal boundary line by the United States."

A. B.